

**Projections of the Population of Texas and Counties in Texas  
by Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050**

UNIVERSITY of  
**HOUSTON**

---

HOBBY CENTER FOR PUBLIC POLICY


Md. Nazrul Hoque, Ph.D.  
Hobby Center for Public Policy  
University of Houston  
306 McElhinney Hall  
Houston, TX 77204-5021  
Email: [mnhoque@uh.edu](mailto:mnhoque@uh.edu)

Clyde McNeil, MBA  
C.T. Bauer College of Business  
University of Houston  
Houston, TX 77201-5021

Jim Granato, Ph.D.  
Director  
Hobby Center for Public Policy  
University of Houston  
306 McElhinney Hall  
Houston, TX 77204-5021  
Email: [jgranato@uh.edu](mailto:jgranato@uh.edu)

**Projections of the Population of Texas and Counties in Texas  
by Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050**

Texas is one of the fastest growing states in the United States. The population of Texas will increase from 25.1 million in 2010 to 31.2, 40.5 or 54.4 million in 2050 depending on the projection scenario (Figure 1 and Table 1). These projections were prepared by the personnel of the University of Houston Hobby Center for Public Policy and the Texas State Data Center. Population projections were completed using a cohort-component projection technique. The basic characteristics of this technique are the use of separate cohorts - persons with one or more common characteristics - and the separate projection of each of the major components of population change - fertility, mortality, and migration for each cohort. The scenarios shown make the same assumptions about birth and death rates, but assume different net migration rates based on alternations of 2000 to 2010 age, sex, and race/ethnicity specific patterns of net migration. The 0.0 scenario assumes net migration is equal to zero (meaning there is no migration or in and out migration are equal) and growth occurred only as a result of natural increase (the difference between the number of births and number of deaths). The 0.5 scenario assumes rates of net migration equal to one-half of the 2000-2010 trend and the 1.00 scenario assumes a continuation of 2000-2010 patterns of the net migration.



Projections are shown for the total population and for each of four racial/ethnic groups: Non-Hispanic White (Anglo), Non-Hispanic Black or African American, Hispanic of all races and Non-Hispanic Others (mainly Asian and Others). These consist of the following census categories: Non-Hispanic White alone, Non-Hispanic Black or African American alone, Hispanics of all races, and persons in all other non-Hispanic racial groups referred to as the Other population group. The Other population group also includes all persons listing two or more races.

The data in Table 1 suggest that the Texas population will continue to grow and will be increasingly diverse. From 2010 to 2050, under the 0.0 migration scenario, the Texas population would increase from 25,145,561 to 31,246,355 in 2050. This growth represents an annualized rate of growth of 0.6 percent for the total projection period of 2010 through 2050. Under the 0.5 migration scenario, the Texas population would increase to 40,502,749 million in 2050 with an annualized growth rate of 1.5 percent. Under the 1.0 migration scenario, the Texas population would increase to 54,369,297


million in 2050 with an annualized growth rate of 2.9 percent.

What is equally evident from the data in Table 1 is that the projected growth will involve substantial levels of net in-migration. Under the 0.50 scenario, net migration would be 9,256,394, and under the 1.0 scenario, net migration would be 23,122,942. Although it is impossible to discern what proportion of the projected net migration would involve in-migration from other states versus immigration from other nations, under the 1.0 scenario, a substantial proportion of the total growth would be due to in-migrants and immigrants, and their descendants. What this suggests is that the future growth of the Texas population is likely to be impacted by events that occur outside the state rather than relying on natural increase of current residents. The 0.0 scenario shows that Texas would increase its population by more than 0.6 percent per year even if its only growth were through natural increase. In other words, even if Texas experienced no net in-migration or immigration, its population would grow as rapidly as that projected for the nation. Under a wide array of circumstances, the Texas population is likely to continue to grow in the coming decades.

### **Continuing Growth in Minority Populations, 2010-2050**


The data in Tables 2 and 3 and also in Figures 2 and 3 show that the pattern of rapid growth in minority populations in recent decades is likely to continue, but the ethnic differentials in rates of growth are most represented by Table 2. For example, from 2010 to 2050 under the 0.0 scenario, the Anglo population is projected to decline by 6.8 percent, but the Black population increases by 12.4 percent, the Hispanic population by 64.4 percent, and the Other population by 30.9 percent. Under the 0.5 scenario, the

Anglo population is projected to decline by only 1.2 percent while the Black population would increase by 40.8 percent, the Hispanic population would increase by 127.4 percent, and the Other population by 161.0 percent. Under the 1.0 scenario, the Anglo population is projected to grow by only 4.9 percent, the Black population would increase by 76.6 percent, the Hispanic population by 215.6 percent, and the Other population by 432.5 percent.



As a result of such differentials in growth, the proportion of the population that is minority increases rapidly. Under the 1.00 scenario the proportion of Anglo population decreases from 45.3 percent in 2010 to 22.0 percent in 2050. Black population decreases from 11.5 percent in 2010 to 9.4 percent in 2050. On the other hand, the proportion of

the population that is Hispanic increases from 37.6 percent in 2010 to 54.9 percent by 2050. Other population increases from 5.6 percent in 2010 to 13.7 percent by 2050.



Examined in terms of the total impact of each ethnic group on the net change in the population of Texas under the 0.0 scenario, more than 100 percent of the growth would be due to minority population growth. The reason is because the Anglo population will have below replacement fertility level. Under the 1.0 scenario, 98.1 percent of the net growth in the population of Texas from 2010 to 2050 would be due to minority population growth (see Table 3). The Anglo population would account only for 1.9 percent of the growth, the Black population for 7.6 percent, the Hispanic population for 69.8 percent, and the Other population for 20.7 percent of the total net population change in the population of Texas from 2010 through 2050. Texas's population growth will be increasingly dependent on minority population growth with Hispanics playing a

particularly important role in the growth of minority populations in the state.

### **An Aging Population, 2010-2050**

The Texas population is relatively young with a median age of 33.6 years in 2010, the second youngest population of any state in the United States (only Utah had a younger population). The projections of the age distribution of the future Texas population are shown in Tables 4 and 5. As the data in these tables suggest, the Texas population will age substantially over 40 years. The population 65 years of age or older was only 10.3 percent of the total population in 2010 (compared to 13.0 percent in the nation as a whole) but would increase to 19.5 or 17.4 percent by 2050 depending on the migration scenario of 0.5 and 1.0, respectively. Similarly, median age would increase from 33.6 in 2010 to 39.3 or 38.5 in 2050, depending on the migration scenario of 0.5 or 1.0. Under the 1.00 scenario, the median age for Anglos would increase from 41.3 in 2010 to 46.8 by 2050, the median age for Blacks would increase from 32.1 to 42.7 by 2050, the median age for Hispanics would increase from 27.0 to 34.8 by 2050, and for Others it would increase from 31.2 to 39.0 by 2050.

Two aspects of the aging process are important to note. First, the aging process is more accentuated in the first part of the projection period than during the second part of the period. Under the 1.0 scenario, the proportion of the population that is 65 years of age or older shows rapid increase from 2010 to 2030 and level by 2040. The proportion of population that is 65 years of age and over increases from 10.3 percent in 2010 to 13.1 percent in 2020 and 16.0 percent in 2030. By 2040, however, the percent of the population that is elderly increases only to 16.9 percent and by 2050 it is 17.4 percent.




The reason that the elderly population increases more rapidly after 2010 is because of the impact of the baby-boom generation. This group includes those persons born in the years 1946 through 1964 was approximately one-third of the Texas population in 2010. Since their numbers are so large, changes in their ages disproportionately impact the overall age structure of the population. This group begins to enter elderly ages in 2011. At present, it might be more appropriate to refer to the Texas population as a middle-age population rather than an elderly population.

A second important feature of the aging process in the Texas population is that it varies widely by ethnicity. In 2010, 15.4 percent of Anglos were 65 years of age or older but only 7.6 percent of Blacks, 5.6 percent of Hispanics and 6.5 percent of the Others population were in elderly ages. Although all ethnic populations show increased aging over the projection period, by 2050 (under the 1.0 scenario), the proportion of the Anglo population that is 65 years of age or older increases to 28.0 percent while the Black and Hispanic populations show 19.9 and 13.3 percent respectively in the elderly ages by 2050. The Other population will increase from 6.5 percent in 2010 to 14.9 percent in 2050.

The comparison of population pyramids for 2010 and 2050 shows that Texas's population is projected to continue to age due to low fertility and higher life expectancy. The proportion of children (under age 15) is expected to decline from 22.8 percent in 2010 to 18.8 percent in 2050. The proportion of population 65 years of age and older is expected to increase from 10.3 percent in 2010 to 17.4 in 2050. The proportion of the working age population is expected to decline from 66.9 percent in 2010 to 63.8 percent in 2050. As a result, the dependency ratio will increase from 49.6 in 2010 to 56.7 in 2050.

The aged dependency ratio will increase from 15.5 in 2010 to 27.2 in 2050, which means in 2010 there were 6.5 working age people for each person of 65 years of age and above while in 2050 there would be less than 4 persons.



Overall, then, the projected population patterns for Texas suggest that it will likely continue to show relatively high rates of growth. This growth, together with the existing characteristics of the population, will increase the ethnic diversity of the population and cause it to become an increasingly older population with the demands on services associated with increased age and diversity.

### **Patterns and Trends for Metropolitan Statistical Areas in Texas, 2010-2050**

In this section, we briefly examine the trends in population growth, ethnicity and age projected to occur in the 25 Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) in Texas.


Because of the large number of areas involved, only summary data are presented in this section. In addition, because of the large number of individual MSAs, the discussion emphasizes patterns among MSAs for the total projection period from 2010 to 2050, but data for intermediate periods are shown in the tables. In most cases, trends between 2010 and 2050 are incremental so that one can assume that the direction of the trend from 2010 to 2050 applies to intermediate periods as well.

The data in Tables 6 and 7 shows that the Metropolitan Statistical Areas are expected to generally maintain their relative order by population size except San Antonio-New Braunfels. Under the 1.0 scenario, Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington would remain the largest MSA with a projected population of 16,367,293 million, followed by the Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land MSA with a projected population of 14,221,267 million. In 2050, Austin-Round Rock would be the third largest MSA with a population of 5,176,940 million. San Antonio-New Braunfels would be the fourth largest MSA in 2050 with a population of 4,294,232 million. These four MSAs contained 64.5 percent of the state's population in 2010 and are projected (under the 1.0 scenario) to account for almost 74 percent of the total population of Texas by 2050.

Under the 1.00 scenario, Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington MSA would increase its population from 6,426,214 in 2010 to 16,367,293 in 2050. Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land MSA would increase its population from 5,920,416 in 2010 to 14,221,267 in 2050. During the projection period, under the 1.00 scenario, Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington MSA would gain the most population of 9,941,079, followed by Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land MSA with a gain of 8,300,079, and Austin-Round Rock with a gain of 3,460,651 persons.

In terms of percent population change, under the 1.00 scenario, Austin-Round Rock would gain the most, with an increase of 201.6 percent during the projection period of 2010-2050, followed by Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington MSA with an increase of 154.7 percent, Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land with an increase of 140.2 percent, McAllen-Edinburg-Mission with an increase of 129.7 percent, and the Killeen-Temple MSA with an increase of 112.9 percent. The Laredo MSA is projected to increase its population by nearly 111.7 percent from 2010 to 2050. The Texarkana MSA would gain the least population during the projected period (5.9 percent).

Figure 5: Projected Percent Population Change in Metropolitan Statistical Areas in Texas, 2010-2050



Overall the data in Table 6 suggest that growth will continue to be concentrated among

the state's largest metropolitan areas and in areas along the Texas-Mexico border with reduced rates of growth occurring in the more rural parts of the state. In fact, the four largest MSAs contained 64.5 percent of the population of Texas in 2010 and are projected to contain (under the 1.0 scenario) 73.7 percent of the population in 2050. Data in Tables 7, 8 and 9 also suggests that for nearly all MSAs, as for the state as a whole, the growth of minority populations is projected to be pervasive. In virtually all areas, minority growth exceeds that for the Anglo population. In most MSAs, the Anglo decline will be offset by minority increases. Similarly, the proportion of the total population that is minority would increase rapidly. Under the 1.00 scenario, the minority population will increase in the Abilene MSA from 31.7 percent in 2010 to 55.7 percent in 2050, Amarillo from 35.4 percent in 2010 to 69.6 percent in 2050, the Austin-Round Rock MSA from 45.3 in 2010 to 69.0 percent in 2050, Beaumont-Port Arthur from 40.7 percent in 2010 to 67.1 in 2050, the Brownsville-Harlingen MSA from 89.3 percent to 96.6 in 2050, Bryan-College Station from 40.1 percent in 2010 to 64.8 in 2050, Corpus Christi from 63.6 percent in 2010 to 82.8 percent in 2050, the Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington MSA from 49.5 percent in 2010 to 78.4 percent in 2050, El Paso from 86.9 percent in 2010 to 95.4 percent in 2050, the Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land MSA from 60.4 to 83.8 in 2050, the Killeen-Temple MSA from 45.9 to 67.7 percent in 2050, the Laredo MSA from 96.6 percent in 2010 to 98.5 in 2050, the Longview MSA from 34.0 percent in 2010 to 68.1 percent in 2050, Lubbock from 43.1 percent in 2010 to 65.8 percent in 2050, the McAllen-Edinburg-Mission MSA from 92.2 percent in 2010 to 96.1 percent in 2050, Midland from 46.8 percent in 2010 to 76.5 percent in 2050, the Odessa MSA from 58.9 percent in 2010 to 86.0 percent in 2050, the San Angelo MSA from 41.9 percent in 2010

to 63.1 percent in 2050, the San Antonio-New Braunfels MSA from 64.0 percent in 2010 to 78.0 percent in 2050, the Sherman-Denison MSA from 21.3 percent in 2010 to 56.4 in 2050, Texarkana from 33.7 percent in 2010 to 54.8 percent in 2050, the Tyler MSA from 37.8 percent in 2010 to 69.8 percent in 2050, the Victoria MSA from 51.3 percent in 2010 to 72.8 percent in 2050, the Waco MSA from 41.6 percent in 2010 to 69.7 percent in 2050, and Wichita Falls from 28.6 percent in 2010 to 53.6 percent in 2050.


In 2010, 16 of 25 MSAs had 50 percent or more of Anglo population, but under the projected population none of the MSAs would have 50 percent or more of the Anglo population in 2050. Finally, the pervasiveness of minority population growth is evident in the data in Table 8 which indicate that the number of Anglos would show an absolute decline in 19 of 25 MSAs of the state from 2010 to 2050 and there would not be a single region in which Anglo population growth would account for a majority of that MSA's population growth.

### **Patterns and Trends for Council of Government Regions in Texas, 2010-2050**

In this section, we briefly examine the trends in population growth, ethnicity and age projected to occur in the 24 Council of Government (COG) Regions in Texas. Because of the large number of areas involved, only summary data are presented in this section. In addition, because of the large number of individual COG Regions, the discussion emphasizes patterns among COGs for the total projection period from 2010 to 2050, but data for intermediate periods are shown in the tables by race/ethnicity. In most cases, trends between 2010 and 2050 are incremental so one can assume that the direction of the trend from 2010 to 2050 applies to intermediate periods as well.

The data in Table 10 show that the Council of Government Regions is expected to generally maintain their relative order by population size. In 2050, as in 2010, the North Central Texas COG containing Dallas and Fort Worth would be the largest region of the state, followed by the Houston-Galveston COG. In 2010, the Capital Area Planning Council (which includes the City of Austin) was the fourth largest Council of Government Region, however, by 2050 it would be the third largest COG. In 2010, the Alamo Area COG (which includes San Antonio) was the third largest Council of Government Region, and by 2050 it would be the fourth largest Region. These four Council of Government Regions contained 66.4 percent of the state's population in 2010 and are projected (under the 1.0 scenario) to account for 75.0 percent of the total population of Texas by 2050.

**Figure 6: Projected Percent Population Change in Texas Council of Governments Regions, 2010-2050**



However, due to quite different rates of overall growth, the relative population sizes of the areas would change dramatically such that the North Central Region would become substantially larger than the Houston-Galveston Region by 2050. If the projected patterns prevail (under the 1.0 scenario), the North Central Texas Region would have a population of more than 16.5 million in 2050 compared to 14.4 million in the Houston-Galveston area. Similarly, although the population of the Alamo Area COG was more than 60 percent larger than that for the Capital Area Planning Region in 2010, by 2050, the Capital Area is projected (under the 1.0 scenario) to have a larger population than the Alamo Area, 5.4 and 4.5 million, respectively. Under the 1.00 scenario, the North Central Texas COG would gain the most population with 10.0 million, followed by the Houston-Galveston COG with a gain of 8.3 million, the Capital Area with a gain of 3.5 million, the Alamo Area with a gain of 2.2 million, and the Lower Rio Grande Valley with a gain of 1.4 million.

In terms of percent population change, the Capital Area would increase its population by 192.8 percent, followed by North Central Texas by 152.9 percent, Houston-Galveston by 136.8 percent, Lower Rio Grande Valley by 112.9 percent, Central Texas by 103.4 percent, Alamo Area 97.8 percent, South Texas by 93.3 percent. Concho Valley is expected to increase its population by only 11.3 percent from 2010 to 2050.

Overall the data in Tables 10 and 11 suggest that growth will continue to be concentrated among the state's largest metropolitan centers and in areas along the Texas-Mexico border with reduced rates of growth occurring in the more rural parts of the state. In fact, if one examines the proportion of the state's population accounted for by the eight largest regions in 2010 and those eight projected to decrease or increase less



than 10 percent from 2010 to 2050, the concentration of the state's population is evident. The eight largest regions contained 75.6 percent of the population of Texas in 2010 and are projected to contain (under the 1.0 scenario) 84.1 percent of the population in 2050. On the other hand, those eight areas with the slowest population growth from 2010 to 2050 contained 12.4 percent of the state's population in 2010 but would have only 6.1 percent in 2050. The future distribution of the Texas population thus promises to present significant and diverse challenges for service provision. Substantial increases in services will be required in large metropolitan centers and along the Texas-Mexico border while even fewer people will be spread over large areas to be served in other regions. As a result of the expected patterns of population distribution in Texas, both problems of creating sufficient services in time to meet the needs of rapidly growing areas and those of providing adequate access to services in sparsely settled areas are likely to remain realities of service provision in Texas.

Data in Tables 9 through 12 show that in nearly all COGs, as for the state as a whole, the growth of minority populations is projected to be pervasive. In virtually all areas, minority growth exceeds that for the Anglo population. Similarly, the proportion of the total population that is minority would increase rapidly. In 2010, only the Alamo Area (37.2 percent), Coastal Bend (34.0 percent), Houston-Galveston (39.9 percent), Lower Rio Grande (8.8 percent), Middle Rio Grande (15.1 percent), Permian Basin (46.5 percent), Rio Grande (13.8 percent), and South Texas (3.6 percent) COGs were less than 50 percent Anglo population. In sum, in 2010 there were only 8 of 24 Council of Government Region with Anglo population less than 50 percent while by 2050 (under the 1.0 scenario), all of the state's 24 COG Regions would have less than 50 percent Anglos


population. Finally, the pervasiveness of minority population growth is evident in the data in Table 12 which indicate that the number of Anglos would show an absolute decline in 19 of 24 regions of the state from 2010 to 2050 (under the 1.0 scenario) and there would not be a single region in which Anglo population growth would account for a majority of that region's population growth.

The final pattern noted for the state that is also evident for COGs is that of the aging of the population (see Tables 11). Although there is clear evidence of differences in median ages among regions (as regions with larger minority populations generally have lower median ages), the pervasiveness of the aging trend is apparent. In all regions the median age of the population in 2050 is older than in 2010 (under the 1.0 scenario). Larger proportions of elderly persons will be a reality impacting service needs in virtually every region of the state in the 21<sup>st</sup> century.

### **Patterns and Trends in Counties in Texas, 2010-2050**

In this section, we briefly examine the patterns and trends of population change in Texas counties. Under the 1.00 scenario, during the projected period Harris County will gain the most population (3,435,368) and retain its status as the most populous county in Texas. Collin County will gain the second most with an increase of 3,019,499 persons, followed by Denton County with an increase of 2,368,983 persons, Fort Bend County with an increase of 2,153,178 persons, and Tarrant County with 1,688,000. Under the same scenario, Newton County will lose the most (2,872), followed by Coke County (958), and Hutchinson County (883), Jackson County (709), and Fisher County (679).

**Figure 7: Projected Percent Population Change in Texas Counties, 2010-2050**



In terms of percent population change, under the 1.00 scenario, Hays County will gain the most with an increase of 424.5 percent, followed by Collin County increasing by 386.0 percent, Fort Bend County increases by 367.8 percent, Williamson County increases by 367.7 percent, and Denton County will increase by 357.5 percent. Loving County may lose the most with a decline of 51.2 percent, followed by Coke County with a decline of 28.9 percent, Motley County with a decline of 24.1 percent, and Newton County with a decline of 19.9 percent. In sum, 36 of 254 counties may lose population during the projections period under the 1.00 scenario.

## Summary and Implications

In this section we describe the basic demographic changes projected to impact Texas and regions throughout Texas through 2050. The results show several important patterns including the following:

1. The Texas population is likely to increase substantially under a wide variety of circumstances. Thus, under the 1.0 scenario that assumes 2000-2010 patterns of net in-migration, the population of the state would reach 54,369,273 million by 2050, an increase of more than 116 percent from 2010. Even under the assumption that growth occurs only as a result of natural increase (that net migration is zero), the population of the state would increase by nearly 4.8 million from 2010 to 2050, an increase of 24.3 percent.

2. A large proportion of the increase under the 1.0 scenario is projected to be due to in-migrants to Texas from other states in the United States and from immigrants to Texas from other nations. More than 79 percent of the net growth in the state's population is projected to be due to in-migrants and immigrants and their descendants.

3. The Texas population will become increasingly ethnically diverse. Whereas the Anglo population (under the 1.0 scenario) is projected to increase by 4.9 percent from 2010 to 2050, the Black population would increase by 76.6 percent, the Hispanic population by 215.6 percent, and the Other population by 432.5 percent. By 2050, the proportion of the population that is Anglo would be only 22 percent while the Hispanic population would account for 54.9 percent, the Black population for 9.4 percent, and the Other population for 13.7 percent of the total population of Texas. Of the total net

change in the population of Texas from 2010 to 2050, 98.1 percent is projected to be due to growth in the minority population of the state. Under the 1.0 scenario, Hispanic population expected to surpass the Anglo population by 2017. In 2017, the proportion of the Hispanic population would be 41.0 percent compared with the Anglo proportion of 40.9 percent.

4. The population will also become older than it is at present. By 2050, 17.4 percent of the population, compared to 10.3 percent in 2010, would be 65 years of age or older under the 1.0 scenario. Most of the aging of the population in proportional terms will occur during the periods of 2010 to 2030 when the baby boomers (those born between 1946 and 1964) begin to enter elderly ages. Thus, between 2010 and 2030, the population 65 years of age and older shows the most rapid proportional growth of any age group, increasing from 10.3 percent in 2010 to 13.1 in 2020, and 16.0 percent of the population by 2030.

5. The Texas population in the early part of the next century will likely be characterized by growth, diversity and maturity. The demands likely to be placed on state services can be expected to reflect these characteristics.

6. Patterns of regional population change show rapid population growth in the state's large urban centers with growth in the North Central Region, Houston-Galveston, the Capital Area, and the Alamo Area COGs potentially leading to very large urban concentrations (all with more than 2 million persons) in these regions by 2050. In addition, rapid growth is projected to occur in regions along the Texas-Mexico border. Slow growth will occur in the Panhandle, South East Texas and West Texas. Overall, the patterns of regional growth examined here suggest a further concentration of the

Texas population.

7. Changes in ethnic and age characteristics projected for the state are generally pervasive across regions of the state. In 2010, there were 16 of Council of Government Regions with more than 50 percent of their population identified as Anglo. By 2050, none of the 24 regions would have more than 50 percent of the population identified as Anglo and all 24 regions would increase their minority proportions. Similarly, all 24 regions show an aging of their population bases over the projection period.

Overall, then, the data in this report show Texas to be a state with a population which is likely to experience substantial growth in the coming decades and one which will likely become increasingly diverse and mature. The results show that such growth will not be as evident in the state's rural areas as in its larger metropolitan areas and in regions along the Texas-Mexico border. However, the patterns of increasing diversity are likely to occur in virtually all parts of the state. Although all projections are subject to error and must be used with caution, it appears that the Texas population is one that will likely grow and require substantial additional services as well as an increasing diversity of services in the coming decades.

Acknowledgement:

The author would like to acknowledge the help of Dr. Jeffrey A. Jordan for producing the Figures 5 through 7. The author would also like to acknowledge the help of Lisa Espinoza for formatting all the tables and Beverly Pecotte for editorial assistance.

References:

Texas Population Estimates and Projections Program

2014 **Projections of the Population of Texas and Counties in Texas by Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity for 2010-2050.** Texas State Data Center, University of Texas at San Antonio.

Table 1: Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 for Texas Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration

Year	Anglo		Black		Hispanic		Other		Total
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)									
2010	11,397,345	45.3	2,886,825	11.5	9,460,921	37.6	1,400,470	5.6	25,145,561
2020	11,536,110	42.4	3,094,463	11.4	11,044,873	40.5	1,563,164	5.7	27,238,610
2030	11,422,646	39.4	3,226,030	11.1	12,657,347	43.7	1,688,187	5.8	28,994,210
2040	11,070,270	36.5	3,268,073	10.8	14,178,639	46.8	1,788,322	5.9	30,305,304
2050	10,619,646	33.9	3,244,154	10.4	15,549,474	49.8	1,833,081	5.9	31,246,355
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)									
2010	11,397,345	45.3	2,886,825	11.5	9,460,921	37.6	1,400,470	5.6	25,145,561
2020	11,723,184	40.7	3,274,738	11.4	11,963,951	41.5	1,851,409	6.4	28,813,282
2030	11,792,588	36.0	3,616,745	11.1	14,900,906	45.6	2,369,978	7.3	32,680,217
2040	11,593,202	31.7	3,876,830	10.6	18,095,574	49.5	2,984,989	8.2	36,550,595
2050	11,265,371	27.9	4,065,757	10.0	21,516,362	53.1	3,655,259	9.0	40,502,749
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)									
2010	11,397,345	45.3	2,886,825	11.5	9,460,921	37.6	1,400,470	5.6	25,145,561
2020	11,914,045	39.0	3,466,308	11.3	12,968,026	42.5	2,193,599	7.2	30,541,978
2030	12,176,401	32.8	4,055,033	10.9	17,575,656	47.3	3,347,994	9.0	37,155,084
2040	12,143,626	27.1	4,599,532	10.2	23,156,968	51.5	5,055,770	11.2	44,955,896
2050	11,954,615	22.0	5,097,826	9.4	29,859,012	54.9	7,457,844	13.7	54,369,297



Table 2: Percent Change for Selected Time Periods for Projected Population by Race/Ethnicity for Texas Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration

Time	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other	Total
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010-2020	1.2	7.2	16.7	11.6	8.3
2020-2030	-1.0	4.3	14.6	8.0	6.4
2030-2040	-3.1	1.3	12.0	5.9	4.5
2040-2050	-4.1	0.7	9.7	2.5	3.1
2010-2050	-6.8	12.4	64.4	30.9	24.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010-2020	2.9	13.4	26.5	32.2	14.6
2020-2030	0.6	10.4	24.5	28.0	13.4
2030-2040	-1.7	7.2	21.4	26.0	11.8
2040-2050	-2.8	4.9	18.9	22.5	10.8
2010-2050	-1.2	40.8	127.4	161.0	61.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010-2020	4.5	20.1	37.1	56.6	21.5
2020-2030	2.2	17.0	35.5	52.6	21.7
2030-2040	-0.3	13.4	31.8	51.0	21.0
2040-2050	-1.6	10.8	28.9	47.5	20.9
2010-2050	4.9	76.6	215.6	432.5	116.2

Table 3: Percent of Net Change in Texas Population from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-777,699	-12.8
Black	357,329	5.9
Hispanic	6,088,553	99.8
Other	432,611	7.1
Total	6,100,794	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-131,974	-0.9
Black	1,178,932	7.7
Hispanic	12,055,441	78.5
Other	2,254,789	14.7
Total	15,357,188	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	557,270	1.9
Black	2,211,001	7.6
Hispanic	20,398,091	69.8
Other	6,057,374	20.7
Total	29,223,736	100.0

Table 4: Population by Age Group and Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projected Population by Age Group and by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 for Texas Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity Specific Net Migration

Age Group	Anglo		Black		Hispanic		Other		Total	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)										
2010										
00-14	1,913,245	16.8	664,360	23.0	2,807,175	29.7	353,810	25.3	5,738,590	22.8
15-64	7,724,035	67.8	2,004,091	69.4	6,120,825	64.7	956,134	68.2	16,805,085	66.9
65+	1,760,065	15.4	218,374	7.6	532,921	5.6	90,526	6.5	2,601,886	10.3
2020										
00-14	1,891,604	16.4	641,060	20.7	2,933,251	26.6	325,710	20.8	5,791,625	21.3
15-64	7,282,754	63.1	2,108,948	68.2	7,214,560	65.3	1,052,192	67.3	17,658,454	64.8
65+	2,361,752	20.5	344,455	11.1	897,062	8.1	185,262	11.9	3,788,531	13.9
2030										
00-14	1,801,344	15.8	615,070	19.1	3,139,115	24.8	285,342	16.9	5,840,871	20.1
15-64	6,691,302	58.5	2,099,874	65.1	8,063,560	63.7	1,099,000	65.1	17,953,736	62.0
65+	2,930,000	25.7	511,086	15.8	1,454,672	11.5	303,845	18.0	5,199,603	17.9
2040										
00-14	1,672,071	15.1	576,901	17.7	3,358,137	23.7	291,359	16.3	5,898,468	19.5
15-64	6,425,962	58.1	2,095,881	64.1	8,753,061	61.7	1,065,536	59.6	18,340,440	60.5
65+	2,972,237	26.8	595,291	18.2	2,067,441	14.6	431,427	24.1	6,066,396	20.0
2050										
00-14	1,607,421	15.1	543,891	16.8	3,495,873	22.5	275,777	15.0	5,922,962	19.0
15-64	6,118,931	57.7	2,062,551	63.5	9,454,738	60.8	1,031,400	56.3	18,667,620	59.7
65+	2,893,294	27.2	637,712	19.7	2,598,863	16.7	525,904	28.7	6,655,773	21.3

Table 4: Continued

Age Group	Anglo		Black		Hispanic		Other		Total	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)										
2010										
00-14	1,913,245	16.8	664,360	23.0	2,807,175	29.7	353,810	25.3	5,738,590	22.8
15-64	7,724,035	67.8	2,004,091	69.4	6,120,825	64.7	956,134	68.2	16,805,085	66.9
65+	1,760,065	15.4	218,374	7.6	532,921	5.6	90,526	6.5	2,601,886	10.3
2020										
00-14	1,917,330	16.4	666,351	20.3	3,103,152	25.9	396,006	21.4	6,082,839	21.1
15-64	7,398,516	63.1	2,246,260	68.6	7,926,632	66.3	1,260,183	68.1	18,831,591	65.4
65+	2,407,338	20.5	362,127	11.1	934,167	7.8	195,220	10.5	3,898,852	13.5
2030										
00-14	1,843,762	15.6	675,679	18.7	3,638,908	24.4	424,674	17.9	6,583,023	20.1
15-64	6,901,785	58.6	2,371,444	65.6	9,673,408	64.9	1,601,846	67.6	20,548,483	62.9
65+	3,047,041	25.8	569,622	15.7	1,588,590	10.7	343,458	14.5	5,548,711	17.0
2040										
00-14	1,732,313	14.9	669,041	17.3	4,199,136	23.2	527,173	17.7	7,127,663	19.5
15-64	6,719,000	58.0	2,503,342	64.5	11,512,326	63.6	1,919,327	64.3	22,653,995	62.0
65+	3,141,889	27.1	704,447	18.2	2,384,112	13.2	538,489	18.0	6,768,937	18.5
2050										
00-14	1,685,809	15.0	661,676	16.3	4,701,715	21.9	612,643	16.8	7,661,843	18.9
15-64	6,471,394	57.4	2,599,631	63.9	13,604,329	63.2	2,283,167	62.4	24,958,521	61.6
65+	3,108,168	27.6	804,450	19.8	3,210,318	14.9	759,449	20.8	7,882,385	19.5

Table 4: Continued

Age Group	Anglo		Black		Hispanic		Other		Total	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)										
2010										
00-14	1,913,245	16.8	664,360	23.0	2,807,175	29.7	353,810	25.3	5,738,590	22.8
15-64	7,724,035	67.8	2,004,091	69.4	6,120,825	64.7	956,134	68.2	16,805,085	66.9
65+	1,760,065	15.4	218,374	7.6	532,921	5.6	90,526	6.5	2,601,886	10.3
2020										
00-14	1,943,494	16.3	692,866	20.0	3,283,884	25.3	479,860	21.9	6,400,104	21.0
15-64	7,516,333	63.1	2,392,624	69.0	8,710,920	67.2	1,507,914	68.7	20,127,791	65.9
65+	2,454,218	20.6	380,818	11.0	973,222	7.5	205,825	9.4	4,014,083	13.1
2030										
00-14	1,887,375	15.5	742,329	18.3	4,216,233	24.0	627,406	18.7	7,473,343	20.1
15-64	7,119,240	58.5	2,677,579	66.0	11,623,660	66.1	2,331,791	69.7	23,752,270	63.9
65+	3,169,786	26.0	635,125	15.7	1,735,763	9.9	388,797	11.6	5,929,471	16.0
2040										
00-14	1,794,798	14.8	775,958	16.9	5,253,688	22.7	944,637	18.7	8,769,081	19.5
15-64	7,026,004	57.8	2,989,632	65.0	15,152,142	65.4	3,435,652	67.9	28,603,430	63.6
65+	3,322,824	27.4	833,942	18.1	2,751,138	11.9	675,481	13.4	7,583,385	16.9
2050										
00-14	1,768,144	14.8	805,218	15.8	6,320,815	21.2	1,342,001	18.0	10,236,178	18.8
15-64	6,845,066	57.2	3,276,166	64.3	19,567,650	65.5	5,001,372	67.1	34,690,254	63.8
65+	3,341,405	28.0	1,016,442	19.9	3,970,547	13.3	1,114,471	14.9	9,442,865	17.4

Table 5: Median Age in 2010 and Projected Median Age from 2020 to 2050 by Race/Ethnicity for Texas Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other	Total
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	41.3	32.1	27.0	31.2	33.6
2020	43.2	34.9	29.5	36.1	36.0
2030	44.9	37.7	31.8	40.1	37.8
2040	45.9	40.0	33.8	43.0	39.1
2050	46.0	41.6	35.2	46.3	40.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	41.3	32.1	27.0	31.2	33.6
2020	43.3	35.1	29.3	34.8	35.6
2030	45.1	37.9	31.4	37.2	37.0
2040	46.2	40.3	33.6	39.1	38.2
2050	46.4	42.2	34.9	41.7	39.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	41.3	32.1	27.0	31.2	33.6
2020	43.4	35.3	29.0	33.7	35.1
2030	45.4	38.1	31.2	35.2	36.2
2040	46.6	40.6	33.5	36.6	37.4
2050	46.8	42.7	34.8	39.0	38.5

Table6: Total Population and Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projected Population and Percent Population Change 2010-2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Metropolitan Statistical Areas in Texas - Ranked by Total Population Size in 2010

Metropolitan Statistical Area	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)										
Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington	6,426,214	6,957,648	7,379,698	7,664,893	7,796,401	8.3	6.1	3.9	1.7	21.3
Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land	5,920,416	6,433,891	6,834,855	7,108,254	7,244,050	8.7	6.2	4.0	1.9	22.4
San Antonio-New Braunfels	2,142,508	2,313,475	2,450,959	2,538,919	2,594,968	8.0	5.9	3.6	2.2	21.1
Austin-Round Rock	1,716,289	1,877,175	1,985,268	2,062,870	2,109,573	9.4	5.8	3.9	2.3	22.9
El Paso	804,123	892,932	976,981	1,045,601	1,105,730	11.0	9.4	7.0	5.8	37.5
McAllen-Edinburg-Mission	774,769	890,857	1,015,658	1,136,305	1,255,303	15.0	14.0	11.9	10.5	62.0
Corpus Christi	428,185	456,331	480,606	496,505	509,515	6.6	5.3	3.3	2.6	19.0
Brownsville-Harlingen	406,220	464,304	528,434	592,589	662,685	14.3	13.8	12.1	11.8	63.1
Killeen-Temple	405,300	450,696	486,290	519,834	546,533	11.2	7.9	6.9	5.1	34.8
Beaumont-Port Arthur	403,190	421,656	435,716	442,858	446,711	4.6	3.3	1.6	0.9	10.8
Lubbock	290,805	312,063	331,685	347,881	362,933	7.3	6.3	4.9	4.3	24.8
Waco	252,772	266,848	280,061	289,405	297,211	5.6	5.0	3.3	2.7	17.6
Amarillo	251,933	268,579	283,195	294,210	301,472	6.6	5.4	3.9	2.5	19.7
Laredo	250,304	293,121	338,098	381,800	425,655	17.1	15.3	12.9	11.5	70.1
College Station-Bryan	228,660	249,325	270,140	288,619	309,861	9.0	8.3	6.8	7.4	35.5
Longview	214,369	224,761	232,304	236,711	239,274	4.8	3.4	1.9	1.1	11.6
Tyler	209,714	220,574	227,673	231,380	233,182	5.2	3.2	1.6	0.8	11.2
Abilene	165,252	175,288	181,945	186,101	189,192	6.1	3.8	2.3	1.7	14.5
Wichita Falls	151,306	157,032	162,916	167,070	169,945	3.8	3.7	2.5	1.7	12.3
Midland	141,671	154,161	164,551	172,583	178,734	8.8	6.7	4.9	3.6	26.2
Odessa	137,130	153,315	167,301	180,950	193,477	11.8	9.1	8.2	6.9	41.1
Sherman-Denison	120,877	124,234	125,852	125,110	123,168	2.8	1.3	-0.6	-1.6	1.9
San Angelo	111,823	117,555	123,004	127,718	132,112	5.1	4.6	3.8	3.4	18.1
Victoria	94,003	101,405	108,051	113,241	118,544	7.9	6.6	4.8	4.7	26.1
Texarkana	92,565	95,735	98,202	98,772	98,626	3.4	2.6	0.6	-0.1	6.5

Table6: Continued

Metropolitan Statistical Area	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010- 2020	%Change 2020- 2030	%Change 2030- 2040	%Change 2040- 2050	%Change 2010- 2050
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)										
Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington	6,426,214	7,404,982	8,485,436	9,643,009	10,838,399	15.2	14.6	13.6	12.4	68.7
Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land	5,920,416	6,897,952	7,924,671	8,964,115	10,004,950	16.5	14.9	13.1	11.6	69.0
San Antonio-New Braunfels	2,142,508	2,471,484	2,802,711	3,103,481	3,387,802	15.4	13.4	10.7	9.2	58.1
Austin-Round Rock	1,716,289	2,077,981	2,441,548	2,829,932	3,255,574	21.1	17.5	15.9	15.0	89.7
El Paso	804,123	926,532	1,053,889	1,168,178	1,277,950	15.2	13.7	10.8	9.4	58.9
McAllen-Edinburg-Mission	774,769	948,305	1,145,413	1,345,740	1,553,142	22.4	20.8	17.5	15.4	100.5
Corpus Christi	428,185	465,145	500,143	524,797	545,602	8.6	7.5	4.9	4.0	27.4
Brownsville-Harlingen	406,220	479,754	560,637	641,946	728,518	18.1	16.9	14.5	13.5	79.3
Killeen-Temple	405,300	477,518	547,096	621,249	696,115	17.8	14.6	13.6	12.1	71.8
Beaumont-Port Arthur	403,190	427,759	452,948	475,501	498,736	6.1	5.9	5.0	4.9	23.7
Lubbock	290,805	320,076	351,288	380,643	410,896	10.1	9.8	8.4	7.9	41.3
Waco	252,772	271,168	291,572	308,660	325,432	7.3	7.5	5.9	5.4	28.7
Amarillo	251,933	278,000	306,787	335,200	363,218	10.3	10.4	9.3	8.4	44.2
Laredo	250,304	305,881	367,576	429,823	494,081	22.2	20.2	16.9	14.9	97.4
College Station-Bryan	228,660	263,661	302,430	341,087	387,785	15.3	14.7	12.8	13.7	69.6
Longview	214,369	234,459	256,095	278,405	302,763	9.4	9.2	8.7	8.7	41.2
Tyler	209,714	231,653	254,486	276,544	299,745	10.5	9.9	8.7	8.4	42.9
Abilene	165,252	175,677	183,516	188,921	192,719	6.3	4.5	2.9	2.0	16.6
Wichita Falls	151,306	156,518	162,762	167,401	170,667	3.4	4.0	2.9	2.0	12.8
Midland	141,671	159,634	178,392	196,130	213,872	12.7	11.8	9.9	9.0	51.0
Odessa	137,130	157,045	177,335	198,503	220,012	14.5	12.9	11.9	10.8	60.4
Sherman-Denison	120,877	128,734	136,387	142,117	147,280	6.5	5.9	4.2	3.6	21.8
San Angelo	111,823	117,615	123,685	128,473	132,422	5.2	5.2	3.9	3.1	18.4
Victoria	94,003	101,497	108,521	114,028	119,409	8.0	6.9	5.1	4.7	27.0
Texarkana	92,565	95,768	98,803	100,006	100,503	3.5	3.2	1.2	0.5	8.6



Table6: Continued

Metropolitan Statistical Area	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010- 2020	%Change 2020- 2030	%Change 2030- 2040	%Change 2040- 2050	%Change 2010- 2050
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)										
Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington	6,426,214	7,920,671	9,970,678	12,728,992	16,367,293	23.3	25.9	27.7	28.6	154.7
Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land	5,920,416	7,413,214	9,278,789	11,519,566	14,221,267	25.2	25.2	24.1	23.5	140.2
San Antonio-New Braunfels	2,142,508	2,635,183	3,182,644	3,735,981	4,294,232	23.0	20.8	17.4	14.9	100.4
Austin-Round Rock	1,716,289	2,306,857	3,035,547	3,960,317	5,176,940	34.4	31.6	30.5	30.7	201.6
El Paso	804,123	956,347	1,117,352	1,256,169	1,374,133	18.9	16.8	12.4	9.4	70.9
McAllen-Edinburg-Mission	774,769	1,005,539	1,271,656	1,531,900	1,779,370	29.8	26.5	20.5	16.2	129.7
Corpus Christi	428,185	470,995	511,462	535,772	549,777	10.0	8.6	4.8	2.6	28.4
Brownsville-Harlingen	406,220	493,571	584,883	668,322	741,902	21.5	18.5	14.3	11.0	82.6
Killeen-Temple	405,300	504,546	609,745	729,596	862,962	24.5	20.9	19.7	18.3	112.9
Beaumont-Port Arthur	403,190	432,734	469,376	511,586	563,180	7.3	8.5	9.0	10.1	39.7
Lubbock	290,805	327,424	368,058	407,438	447,819	12.6	12.4	10.7	9.9	54.0
Waco	252,772	274,757	301,130	324,043	346,550	8.7	9.6	7.6	6.9	37.1
Amarillo	251,933	287,313	332,477	386,410	450,905	14.0	15.7	16.2	16.7	79.0
Laredo	250,304	317,733	392,768	464,297	529,784	26.9	23.6	18.2	14.1	111.7
College Station-Bryan	228,660	278,843	337,071	398,066	472,029	21.9	20.9	18.1	18.6	106.4
Longview	214,369	245,142	284,782	334,759	397,916	14.4	16.2	17.5	18.9	85.6
Tyler	209,714	243,064	283,362	329,198	382,835	15.9	16.6	16.2	16.3	82.6
Abilene	165,252	175,333	182,823	188,637	192,599	6.1	4.3	3.2	2.1	16.5
Wichita Falls	151,306	154,865	159,416	162,286	163,597	2.4	2.9	1.8	0.8	8.1
Midland	141,671	164,862	191,558	219,061	247,378	16.4	16.2	14.4	12.9	74.6
Odessa	137,130	159,521	184,384	210,246	234,964	16.3	15.6	14.0	11.8	71.3
Sherman-Denison	120,877	133,647	148,507	163,197	180,032	10.6	11.1	9.9	10.3	48.9
San Angelo	111,823	116,707	121,421	123,650	123,502	4.4	4.0	1.8	-0.1	10.4
Victoria	94,003	101,363	107,571	111,865	114,873	7.8	6.1	4.0	2.7	22.2
Texarkana	92,565	95,118	97,314	97,949	98,030	2.8	2.3	0.7	0.1	5.9

Table 7:  
 Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent  
 of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative  
 Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Abilene Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	68.3	7.0	21.2	3.5
2020	65.9	7.2	23.1	3.8
2030	63.5	7.3	25.1	4.1
2040	61.2	7.5	27.0	4.3
2050	59.7	7.5	28.5	4.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	68.3	7.0	21.2	3.5
2020	64.6	7.1	24.1	4.2
2030	60.4	7.2	27.4	5.0
2040	56.5	7.2	30.5	5.8
2050	52.9	7.1	33.4	6.6
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	68.3	7.0	21.2	3.5
2020	62.7	7.1	25.5	4.7
2030	56.3	7.1	30.3	6.3
2040	50.2	6.8	34.9	8.1
2050	44.3	6.4	39.0	10.3

Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Amarillo Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	64.6	5.8	25.1	4.5
2020	61.3	5.9	28.0	4.8
2030	57.9	5.9	31.1	5.1
2040	54.5	5.9	34.2	5.4
2050	51.5	5.8	37.1	5.6
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	64.6	5.8	25.1	4.5
2020	59.2	5.8	29.6	5.4
2030	53.1	5.8	34.6	6.5
2040	47.2	5.7	39.5	7.6
2050	41.4	5.5	44.3	8.8
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	64.6	5.8	25.1	4.5
2020	56.7	5.9	31.4	6.0
2030	47.8	5.8	38.5	7.9
2040	38.7	5.5	45.6	10.2
2050	30.4	5.1	51.9	12.6

Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Austin-Round Rock Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	54.7	7.0	31.4	6.9
2020	52.0	6.9	34.0	7.1
2030	49.0	6.7	37.1	7.2
2040	45.7	6.5	40.4	7.4
2050	42.7	6.2	43.6	7.5
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	54.7	7.0	31.4	6.9
2020	50.8	6.7	34.7	7.8
2030	46.2	6.4	38.8	8.6
2040	41.4	6.0	43.1	9.5
2050	37.1	5.6	47.1	10.2
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	54.7	7.0	31.4	6.9
2020	49.7	6.5	35.4	8.4
2030	43.5	6.0	40.5	10.0
2040	36.8	5.5	45.9	11.8
2050	31.0	4.9	50.7	13.4

Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Beaumont-Port Arthur Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	59.3	24.2	12.5	4.0
2020	57.3	24.4	14.0	4.3
2030	55.1	24.5	15.7	4.7
2040	53.0	24.5	17.5	5.0
2050	51.1	24.5	19.2	5.2
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	59.3	24.2	12.5	4.0
2020	55.5	24.1	15.7	4.7
2030	51.4	23.7	19.5	5.4
2040	47.0	22.8	23.9	6.3
2050	42.6	21.6	28.7	7.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	59.3	24.2	12.5	4.0
2020	53.4	23.8	17.6	5.2
2030	46.9	22.3	24.2	6.6
2040	39.9	19.8	32.0	8.3
2050	32.9	16.8	40.2	10.1

Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Brownsville-Harlingen Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	10.7	0.3	88.1	0.9
2020	8.2	0.3	90.6	0.9
2030	6.3	0.3	92.5	0.9
2040	5.0	0.2	94.0	0.8
2050	3.9	0.2	95.1	0.8
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	10.7	0.3	88.1	0.9
2020	8.3	0.3	90.4	1.0
2030	6.3	0.3	92.3	1.1
2040	4.8	0.2	93.8	1.2
2050	3.6	0.2	94.9	1.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	10.7	0.3	88.1	0.9
2020	8.2	0.3	90.3	1.2
2030	6.3	0.3	91.9	1.5
2040	4.7	0.2	93.2	1.9
2050	3.4	0.2	94.0	2.4

Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for College Station-Bryan Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	59.9	11.5	22.5	6.1
2020	56.2	11.6	25.8	6.4
2030	53.6	11.4	28.6	6.4
2040	50.8	11.2	31.7	6.3
2050	48.5	10.8	34.5	6.2
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	59.9	11.5	22.5	6.1
2020	54.9	11.4	26.8	6.9
2030	50.6	11.1	30.8	7.5
2040	46.1	10.6	35.4	7.9
2050	42.2	9.9	39.7	8.2
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	59.9	11.5	22.5	6.1
2020	53.2	11.4	27.9	7.5
2030	47.1	10.8	33.3	8.8
2040	40.6	10.1	39.4	9.9
2050	35.2	8.8	44.9	11.1

Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Corpus Christi Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	36.4	3.1	57.7	2.8
2020	33.6	3.0	60.4	3.0
2030	31.0	2.9	63.1	3.0
2040	28.4	2.8	65.7	3.1
2050	26.5	2.7	67.8	3.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	36.4	3.1	57.7	2.8
2020	32.3	3.0	61.5	3.2
2030	28.5	2.8	65.1	3.6
2040	24.8	2.7	68.4	4.1
2050	21.8	2.5	71.2	4.5
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	36.4	3.1	57.7	2.8
2020	30.8	3.0	62.6	3.6
2030	25.6	2.8	67.1	4.5
2040	21.1	2.5	70.7	5.7
2050	17.2	2.3	73.3	7.2



Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	50.5	14.7	27.4	7.4
2020	47.7	14.6	30.0	7.7
2030	44.9	14.4	32.9	7.8
2040	41.9	14.1	36.0	8.0
2050	39.1	13.8	39.1	8.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	50.5	14.7	27.4	7.4
2020	45.1	14.9	31.4	8.6
2030	39.7	14.7	35.8	9.8
2040	34.5	14.3	40.1	11.1
2050	29.8	13.6	44.3	12.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	50.5	14.7	27.4	7.4
2020	42.6	15.0	32.8	9.6
2030	34.7	14.8	38.6	11.9
2040	27.7	13.9	43.7	14.7
2050	21.6	12.7	48.0	17.7

Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for El Paso Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	13.1	2.6	82.2	2.1
2020	11.8	2.5	83.6	2.1
2030	10.6	2.4	84.9	2.1
2040	9.7	2.3	85.9	2.1
2050	8.9	2.2	86.8	2.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	13.1	2.6	82.2	2.1
2020	10.9	2.4	84.4	2.3
2030	9.0	2.3	86.2	2.5
2040	7.6	2.1	87.6	2.7
2050	6.4	2.0	88.6	3.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	13.1	2.6	82.2	2.1
2020	9.9	2.4	85.2	2.5
2030	7.6	2.1	87.4	2.9
2040	5.9	1.9	88.7	3.5
2050	4.6	1.7	89.4	4.3

Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	39.6	16.8	35.4	8.2
2020	37.0	16.5	38.2	8.3
2030	34.4	16.1	41.2	8.3
2040	31.8	15.6	44.3	8.3
2050	29.6	15.0	47.3	8.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	39.6	16.8	35.4	8.2
2020	34.7	16.4	39.6	9.3
2030	30.1	15.7	43.9	10.3
2040	26.0	14.8	48.0	11.2
2050	22.2	13.8	51.9	12.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	39.6	16.8	35.4	8.2
2020	32.7	16.1	40.9	10.3
2030	26.3	15.0	46.2	12.5
2040	20.7	13.6	50.9	14.8
2050	16.2	12.2	54.6	17.0

Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Killeen-Temple Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	54.1	18.6	20.3	7.0
2020	51.9	18.9	21.8	7.4
2030	49.6	19.1	23.5	7.8
2040	47.7	19.0	25.2	8.1
2050	46.3	18.8	26.6	8.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	54.1	18.6	20.3	7.0
2020	50.5	18.5	22.9	8.1
2030	46.4	18.3	26.0	9.3
2040	42.7	17.6	29.0	10.7
2050	39.2	16.7	32.0	12.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	54.1	18.6	20.3	7.0
2020	49.0	18.0	24.1	8.9
2030	43.1	17.1	28.6	11.2
2040	37.4	15.5	32.9	14.2
2050	32.3	13.6	36.5	17.6

Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Laredo Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	3.4	0.2	95.7	0.7
2020	3.0	0.2	96.1	0.7
2030	2.7	0.2	96.4	0.7
2040	2.5	0.2	96.7	0.6
2050	2.2	0.2	97.0	0.6
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	3.4	0.2	95.7	0.7
2020	2.9	0.2	96.2	0.7
2030	2.5	0.2	96.6	0.7
2040	2.2	0.2	97.0	0.6
2050	2.0	0.1	97.4	0.5
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	3.4	0.2	95.7	0.7
2020	2.8	0.2	96.2	0.8
2030	2.2	0.2	96.7	0.9
2040	1.9	0.1	97.0	1.0
2050	1.5	0.1	97.2	1.2

Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Longview Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	66.0	17.2	14.1	2.7
2020	63.6	17.2	16.3	2.9
2030	60.8	17.2	18.7	3.3
2040	58.1	16.9	21.4	3.6
2050	55.7	16.7	23.8	3.8
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	66.0	17.2	14.1	2.7
2020	61.6	16.8	18.4	3.2
2030	56.2	16.2	23.6	4.0
2040	50.5	15.1	29.6	4.8
2050	44.7	13.9	35.7	5.7
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	66.0	17.2	14.1	2.7
2020	59.0	16.6	20.7	3.7
2030	50.3	15.3	29.2	5.2
2040	40.9	13.2	38.6	7.3
2050	31.9	10.8	47.9	9.4

Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Lubbock Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	56.9	7.0	32.6	3.5
2020	53.6	7.1	35.6	3.7
2030	50.4	7.2	38.6	3.8
2040	47.5	7.2	41.4	3.9
2050	45.1	7.2	43.8	3.9
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	56.9	7.0	32.6	3.5
2020	52.2	7.0	36.6	4.2
2030	47.5	7.0	40.6	4.9
2040	43.2	6.9	44.2	5.7
2050	39.4	6.7	47.4	6.5
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	56.9	7.0	32.6	3.5
2020	50.7	6.9	37.6	4.8
2030	44.5	6.8	42.3	6.4
2040	39.0	6.3	46.2	8.5
2050	34.2	5.7	49.0	11.1

Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for McAllen-Edinburg-Mission Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	7.8	0.4	90.6	1.2
2020	5.8	0.3	92.7	1.2
2030	4.4	0.3	94.2	1.1
2040	3.5	0.3	95.2	1.0
2050	2.8	0.3	96.0	0.9
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	7.8	0.4	90.6	1.2
2020	6.3	0.3	92.1	1.3
2030	4.9	0.3	93.4	1.4
2040	3.9	0.3	94.3	1.5
2050	3.2	0.3	95.0	1.5
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	7.8	0.4	90.6	1.2
2020	6.8	0.3	91.4	1.5
2030	5.8	0.3	92.2	1.7
2040	4.7	0.3	92.9	2.1
2050	3.9	0.3	93.2	2.6



Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Midland Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	53.2	6.2	37.9	2.7
2020	49.6	6.0	41.5	2.9
2030	45.9	6.0	45.0	3.1
2040	42.5	5.9	48.4	3.2
2050	39.5	5.7	51.5	3.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	53.2	6.2	37.9	2.7
2020	47.4	5.9	43.5	3.2
2030	41.5	5.7	49.0	3.8
2040	36.1	5.3	54.2	4.4
2050	31.1	5.0	58.8	5.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	53.2	6.2	37.9	2.7
2020	44.8	5.9	45.6	3.7
2030	36.9	5.4	52.9	4.8
2040	29.7	4.8	59.3	6.2
2050	23.5	4.1	64.3	8.1

Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Odessa Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	41.1	4.1	52.7	2.1
2020	37.3	4.0	56.6	2.1
2030	33.4	3.8	60.7	2.1
2040	29.8	3.7	64.4	2.1
2050	26.5	3.6	67.9	2.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	41.1	4.1	52.7	2.1
2020	35.2	3.9	58.7	2.2
2030	29.4	3.7	64.5	2.4
2040	24.4	3.6	69.6	2.4
2050	20.0	3.4	74.1	2.5
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	41.1	4.1	52.7	2.1
2020	32.5	3.9	61.1	2.5
2030	25.0	3.5	68.6	2.9
2040	18.9	3.2	74.5	3.4
2050	14.0	2.9	79.3	3.8

Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for San Angelo Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	58.1	3.6	35.5	2.8
2020	54.9	3.6	38.5	3.0
2030	51.8	3.4	41.7	3.1
2040	49.0	3.3	44.5	3.2
2050	46.9	3.2	46.7	3.2
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	58.1	3.6	35.5	2.8
2020	53.8	3.6	39.4	3.2
2030	49.7	3.3	43.4	3.6
2040	45.8	3.2	47.0	4.0
2050	42.4	3.0	50.2	4.4
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	58.1	3.6	35.5	2.8
2020	52.3	3.6	40.5	3.6
2030	46.7	3.3	45.5	4.5
2040	41.4	3.1	49.8	5.7
2050	36.9	2.8	53.3	7.0

Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for San Antonio-New Braunfels Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	36.0	6.1	54.1	3.8
2020	33.6	6.0	56.4	4.0
2030	31.1	5.9	58.9	4.1
2040	28.8	5.8	61.2	4.2
2050	26.7	5.7	63.3	4.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	36.0	6.1	54.1	3.8
2020	32.7	6.0	56.8	4.5
2030	29.5	5.9	59.4	5.2
2040	26.4	5.8	61.8	6.0
2050	23.9	5.6	63.6	6.9
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	36.0	6.1	54.1	3.8
2020	32.0	6.1	56.9	5.0
2030	28.2	5.9	59.3	6.6
2040	24.8	5.7	60.8	8.7
2050	22.0	5.4	61.1	11.5

Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Sherman-Denison Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	78.7	5.7	11.3	4.3
2020	76.3	5.9	13.3	4.5
2030	73.8	6.1	15.3	4.8
2040	71.2	6.2	17.6	5.0
2050	69.0	6.3	19.7	5.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	78.7	5.7	11.3	4.3
2020	74.5	5.7	14.8	5.0
2030	69.4	5.6	19.1	5.9
2040	63.6	5.4	24.2	6.8
2050	57.5	5.1	29.8	7.6
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	78.7	5.7	11.3	4.3
2020	72.3	5.5	16.6	5.6
2030	63.9	5.2	23.7	7.2
2040	54.0	4.7	32.2	9.1
2050	43.6	4.0	41.4	11.0

Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Texarkana Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	66.3	24.0	6.5	3.2
2020	64.6	24.9	7.2	3.3
2030	62.8	25.7	7.9	3.6
2040	61.2	26.3	8.6	3.9
2050	59.9	27.0	9.1	4.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	66.3	24.0	6.5	3.2
2020	63.3	25.3	7.7	3.7
2030	60.1	26.2	9.1	4.6
2040	56.8	26.8	10.7	5.7
2050	53.5	27.3	12.4	6.8
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	66.3	24.0	6.5	3.2
2020	61.6	25.6	8.3	4.5
2030	56.5	26.5	10.4	6.6
2040	50.9	26.4	13.0	9.7
2050	45.2	25.5	15.7	13.6

Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Tyler Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	62.2	17.7	17.2	2.9
2020	58.9	18.2	19.7	3.2
2030	55.5	18.4	22.6	3.5
2040	52.2	18.4	25.6	3.8
2050	49.3	18.4	28.3	4.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	62.2	17.7	17.2	2.9
2020	56.9	17.9	21.6	3.6
2030	51.2	17.4	26.8	4.6
2040	45.3	16.8	32.3	5.6
2050	39.7	15.9	37.8	6.6
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	62.2	17.7	17.2	2.9
2020	54.8	17.5	23.5	4.2
2030	46.6	16.2	31.3	5.9
2040	38.1	14.5	39.2	8.2
2050	30.2	12.5	46.6	10.7

Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Victoria Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	48.7	5.9	43.2	2.2
2020	45.7	5.9	45.9	2.5
2030	42.6	6.0	48.6	2.8
2040	39.8	6.0	51.1	3.1
2050	37.5	6.1	53.0	3.4
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	48.7	5.9	43.2	2.2
2020	44.5	5.9	47.0	2.6
2030	40.1	5.9	50.8	3.2
2040	36.0	5.9	54.1	4.0
2050	32.2	6.0	56.8	5.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	48.7	5.9	43.2	2.2
2020	43.0	6.0	48.1	2.9
2030	37.4	6.0	52.5	4.1
2040	32.0	6.0	56.0	6.0
2050	27.2	5.9	58.2	8.7



Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Waco Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	58.4	15.2	23.4	3.0
2020	55.3	15.3	26.3	3.1
2030	52.5	15.0	29.2	3.3
2040	49.9	14.6	32.0	3.5
2050	47.7	14.2	34.6	3.5
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	58.4	15.2	23.4	3.0
2020	53.3	15.3	27.9	3.5
2030	48.4	14.9	32.7	4.0
2040	43.5	14.3	37.6	4.6
2050	38.9	13.5	42.5	5.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	58.4	15.2	23.4	3.0
2020	51.3	15.2	29.7	3.8
2030	43.9	14.6	36.7	4.8
2040	36.9	13.4	43.7	6.0
2050	30.3	11.9	50.5	7.3

Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Wichita Falls Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	71.4	8.9	15.2	4.5
2020	69.2	9.0	17.0	4.8
2030	66.7	9.2	19.0	5.1
2040	64.5	9.3	20.8	5.4
2050	62.5	9.4	22.6	5.5
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	71.4	8.9	15.2	4.5
2020	67.6	9.0	18.2	5.2
2030	63.5	9.1	21.5	5.9
2040	59.2	9.1	25.0	6.7
2050	55.1	9.0	28.5	7.4
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	71.4	8.9	15.2	4.5
2020	65.7	9.1	19.6	5.6
2030	59.3	9.1	24.8	6.8
2040	52.9	8.7	30.3	8.1
2050	46.4	8.2	36.0	9.4

Table 7: (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2000 and Projected Percent of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2010 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Wichita Falls Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	71.4	8.9	15.2	4.5
2020	69.2	9.0	17.0	4.8
2030	66.7	9.2	19.0	5.1
2040	64.5	9.3	20.8	5.4
2050	62.5	9.4	22.6	5.5
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	71.4	8.9	15.2	4.5
2020	67.6	9.0	18.2	5.2
2030	63.5	9.1	21.5	5.9
2040	59.2	9.1	25.0	6.7
2050	55.1	9.0	28.5	7.4
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	71.4	8.9	15.2	4.5
2020	65.7	9.1	19.6	5.6
2030	59.3	9.1	24.8	6.8
2040	52.9	8.7	30.3	8.1
2050	46.4	8.2	36.0	9.4

Table 8:  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
 Net Migration for Abilene Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	34.6	40.2	29.0	25.6	25.4
2020	35.5	39.2	32.2	29.5	31.6
2030	39.0	41.7	35.8	32.1	37.3
2040	40.0	43.4	37.1	34.9	41.4
2050	40.9	42.5	38.6	37.8	45.8
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	34.6	40.2	29.0	25.6	25.4
2020	35.2	39.7	32.0	29.1	30.2
2030	38.3	41.8	35.5	31.6	33.5
2040	39.0	43.5	36.8	34.5	36.3
2050	40.0	42.3	38.1	37.3	40.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	34.6	40.2	29.0	25.6	25.4
2020	34.8	40.3	31.8	28.8	29.3
2030	37.3	42.0	35.4	31.1	31.7
2040	37.8	43.1	36.6	34.3	33.9
2050	38.9	41.0	37.4	37.6	37.3

Table 8 (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
 Net Migration for Amarillo Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	34.4	40.6	30.4	24.5	27.9
2020	36.4	41.7	33.3	27.4	32.6
2030	38.1	43.6	36.6	29.8	36.2
2040	39.2	44.5	38.8	32.4	39.4
2050	40.5	45.0	41.0	34.0	43.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	34.4	40.6	30.4	24.5	27.9
2020	35.9	42.1	33.0	27.1	30.5
2030	37.1	44.2	36.1	29.5	32.6
2040	37.9	45.1	38.1	32.3	34.7
2050	39.0	45.3	40.4	33.9	37.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	34.4	40.6	30.4	24.5	27.9
2020	35.5	42.6	33.2	26.8	29.2
2030	35.8	44.7	36.1	29.2	30.5
2040	36.1	45.3	38.0	31.8	31.8
2050	36.7	45.1	39.5	33.3	33.1

Table 8 (continued)

Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
Net Migration for Austin-Round Rock Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	32.6	37.8	32.1	26.1	29.2
2020	36.2	41.4	35.4	28.7	33.2
2030	38.9	45.6	38.1	30.5	37.0
2040	39.7	47.5	40.7	32.6	40.0
2050	40.7	47.2	42.2	34.2	44.2
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	32.6	37.8	32.1	26.1	29.2
2020	35.8	40.6	35.5	28.3	32.9
2030	37.9	44.8	38.1	30.1	35.7
2040	38.7	47.2	40.7	32.5	38.1
2050	39.8	47.0	42.5	34.0	41.5
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	32.6	37.8	32.1	26.1	29.2
2020	35.3	39.9	35.5	28.0	32.8
2030	36.9	44.0	38.0	29.8	35.0
2040	37.7	46.7	40.5	32.5	36.9
2050	38.8	46.6	42.4	34.1	39.7

Table 8 (continued)

Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
Net Migration for Beaumont-Port Arthur Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	37.0	42.2	33.3	26.5	29.8
2020	37.8	42.5	34.4	29.2	33.3
2030	39.1	43.3	36.3	31.2	36.8
2040	40.2	44.2	37.8	33.0	39.4
2050	40.7	44.1	39.0	34.4	41.9
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	37.0	42.2	33.3	26.5	29.8
2020	37.5	43.0	34.7	28.5	31.7
2030	38.2	43.7	36.4	30.0	33.8
2040	38.5	44.5	37.7	31.6	34.9
2050	38.5	44.0	38.5	32.4	36.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	37.0	42.2	33.3	26.5	29.8
2020	37.1	43.5	35.3	27.9	30.2
2030	36.8	44.1	36.9	29.2	31.5
2040	36.2	44.1	38.0	30.7	32.1
2050	35.6	43.1	38.2	31.2	32.7

Table 8 (continued)

Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
Net Migration for Brownsville-Harlingen Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	30.6	54.6	33.7	28.3	36.9
2020	30.3	55.8	39.4	28.7	41.2
2030	31.5	52.9	44.0	30.3	43.3
2040	32.1	50.6	48.4	30.9	45.8
2050	32.4	51.3	50.0	31.6	48.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	30.6	54.6	33.7	28.3	36.9
2020	30.6	57.4	39.3	28.8	39.5
2030	31.8	56.9	44.5	30.5	40.3
2040	32.7	53.6	48.7	31.4	42.5
2050	32.8	52.7	49.9	32.0	44.4
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	30.6	54.6	33.7	28.3	36.9
2020	31.0	59.3	38.6	28.9	38.9
2030	32.2	61.2	41.9	30.7	38.9
2040	33.3	57.9	45.2	32.0	40.6
2050	33.4	54.6	44.5	32.5	41.9



Table 8 (continued)

Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
Net Migration for College Station-Bryan Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	25.8	27.2	28.1	22.8	25.1
2020	28.0	29.2	30.5	23.7	29.1
2030	27.8	28.3	33.5	24.3	30.7
2040	28.5	28.2	35.4	25.9	33.6
2050	30.3	29.8	37.5	27.4	37.7
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	25.8	27.2	28.1	22.8	25.1
2020	28.3	29.6	30.7	24.1	29.4
2030	28.8	29.6	34.2	25.2	32.5
2040	29.6	29.6	36.5	26.9	34.7
2050	31.7	31.7	38.8	28.9	38.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	25.8	27.2	28.1	22.8	25.1
2020	28.5	29.8	31.4	24.7	29.6
2030	29.5	30.4	35.3	26.3	34.6
2040	30.4	30.2	38.2	27.7	36.1
2050	32.3	32.3	40.6	29.7	38.2

Table 8 (continued)

Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
Net Migration for Corpus Christi Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	36.0	45.8	35.2	30.7	33.7
2020	37.3	46.4	37.4	32.8	37.7
2030	38.4	46.5	39.8	34.6	41.7
2040	39.4	46.6	41.9	36.4	45.5
2050	39.7	45.0	42.7	37.5	48.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	36.0	45.8	35.2	30.7	33.7
2020	37.0	47.3	37.4	32.6	36.0
2030	37.9	47.3	39.8	34.6	38.2
2040	39.2	47.3	42.1	36.7	40.0
2050	39.5	45.3	42.8	37.8	41.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	36.0	45.8	35.2	30.7	33.7
2020	36.8	48.4	38.0	32.4	34.7
2030	37.5	48.6	40.9	34.5	36.1
2040	39.0	48.2	43.5	37.0	36.8
2050	39.2	45.8	44.0	38.1	37.2

Table 8 (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
 Net Migration for Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	33.6	40.4	31.8	25.3	31.1
2020	36.7	43.2	35.0	28.4	36.2
2030	38.8	45.5	37.5	30.9	40.2
2040	40.3	46.7	39.9	33.5	43.0
2050	41.8	47.5	41.7	35.2	46.7
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	33.6	40.4	31.8	25.3	31.1
2020	35.9	43.1	35.4	28.0	34.5
2030	37.2	45.3	38.0	30.3	36.2
2040	38.6	46.5	40.7	32.9	38.2
2050	40.1	47.5	43.0	34.3	41.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	33.6	40.4	31.8	25.3	31.1
2020	35.1	42.9	35.7	27.7	33.1
2030	35.7	45.1	38.2	29.8	33.6
2040	37.0	46.2	41.2	32.6	35.2
2050	38.5	47.5	44.0	33.7	37.9

Table 8 (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
 Net Migration for El Paso Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	31.3	41.5	31.7	29.9	30.3
2020	32.8	41.9	34.0	31.5	34.9
2030	35.1	43.6	36.3	34.1	39.3
2040	36.8	44.1	37.6	36.0	41.0
2050	37.6	42.9	37.9	36.9	43.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	31.3	41.5	31.7	29.9	30.3
2020	32.3	41.6	33.9	31.1	33.6
2030	34.4	42.8	36.1	33.6	36.0
2040	36.1	42.9	37.3	35.6	36.3
2050	36.7	41.4	37.5	36.3	38.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	31.3	41.5	31.7	29.9	30.3
2020	31.7	41.0	34.0	30.7	32.5
2030	33.8	41.6	36.2	33.2	33.9
2040	35.5	40.4	37.2	35.3	33.5
2050	35.8	38.6	37.0	35.7	34.8

Table 8 (continued)

Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
Net Migration for Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land Metropolitan  
Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	33.2	41.4	32.0	26.6	33.5
2020	36.5	44.2	35.3	30.0	38.6
2030	38.9	46.4	38.4	32.5	43.5
2040	40.5	47.5	41.1	34.7	46.6
2050	41.9	48.0	43.0	36.6	49.4
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	33.2	41.4	32.0	26.6	33.5
2020	35.9	43.9	35.4	29.8	37.3
2030	37.9	46.3	38.4	32.3	40.8
2040	39.4	47.5	40.9	34.7	42.9
2050	40.8	48.1	42.9	36.4	45.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	33.2	41.4	32.0	26.6	33.5
2020	35.3	43.6	35.5	29.7	36.3
2030	37.1	46.1	38.3	32.2	39.0
2040	38.6	47.6	40.7	34.9	40.8
2050	40.2	48.2	42.5	36.7	42.8

Table 8 (continued)

Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
Net Migration for Killeen-Temple Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	30.0	34.5	28.5	24.5	25.5
2020	33.1	36.4	31.8	27.1	29.5
2030	35.3	39.9	34.4	29.2	32.5
2040	36.1	39.4	36.0	31.9	35.7
2050	38.0	39.9	37.4	34.0	40.5
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	30.0	34.5	28.5	24.5	25.5
2020	32.4	36.0	31.7	26.5	28.3
2030	34.2	39.7	34.5	28.3	30.3
2040	34.8	39.3	36.2	30.8	32.7
2050	36.3	39.4	37.4	32.2	35.4
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	30.0	34.5	28.5	24.5	25.5
2020	31.8	35.4	31.6	26.1	27.4
2030	33.0	39.2	34.7	27.6	28.7
2040	33.2	38.4	36.5	29.7	30.8
2050	34.0	38.0	37.1	30.6	32.3

Table 8 (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
 Net Migration for Laredo Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	27.8	35.4	31.1	27.4	33.1
2020	28.9	38.2	36.7	28.6	38.5
2030	30.9	41.6	38.3	30.5	45.7
2040	32.3	43.4	38.9	31.9	51.7
2050	33.1	44.4	40.7	32.7	55.2
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	27.8	35.4	31.1	27.4	33.1
2020	28.9	37.6	36.8	28.6	37.1
2030	30.9	40.3	38.4	30.6	43.3
2040	32.6	40.9	39.5	32.3	47.3
2050	33.3	41.6	40.6	33.0	48.7
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	27.8	35.4	31.1	27.4	33.1
2020	28.8	36.8	37.3	28.5	35.8
2030	31.0	38.9	38.7	30.8	39.3
2040	32.9	38.0	40.8	32.7	40.3
2050	33.5	38.5	39.4	33.4	40.6

Table 8 (continued)

Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
Net Migration for Longview Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	37.1	42.5	34.5	23.5	25.6
2020	38.2	42.7	36.4	27.4	30.6
2030	40.1	43.8	38.7	29.6	34.8
2040	41.3	45.6	40.9	32.4	38.3
2050	42.0	45.5	42.1	34.1	42.8
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	37.1	42.5	34.5	23.5	25.6
2020	38.1	43.8	36.7	27.2	28.0
2030	39.4	45.2	39.0	29.2	30.2
2040	39.8	47.0	41.3	32.0	32.9
2050	40.3	47.2	42.5	33.2	34.8
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	37.1	42.5	34.5	23.5	25.6
2020	37.9	44.8	37.3	27.2	26.1
2030	38.3	46.8	39.8	29.3	28.1
2040	38.0	48.3	42.8	31.8	30.8
2050	37.8	48.4	44.1	32.6	31.2



Table 8 (continued)

Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
Net Migration for Lubbock Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	30.6	35.6	28.3	25.6	26.3
2020	33.0	37.1	30.8	28.2	31.3
2030	34.8	39.7	32.8	30.0	34.7
2040	35.4	39.5	34.5	32.0	37.9
2050	36.4	39.0	36.0	33.4	41.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	30.6	35.6	28.3	25.6	26.3
2020	32.0	36.0	30.6	27.7	30.9
2030	33.1	37.8	32.8	29.4	33.6
2040	33.7	36.6	34.5	31.2	36.1
2050	34.5	36.4	35.9	32.3	38.5
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	30.6	35.6	28.3	25.6	26.3
2020	31.0	34.6	30.3	27.2	30.6
2030	31.7	35.1	32.5	28.9	33.4
2040	32.1	33.2	34.0	30.4	35.2
2050	32.8	33.3	35.0	31.2	37.1

Table 8 (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
 Net Migration for McAllen-Edinburg-Mission Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	28.3	55.4	31.1	26.7	34.5
2020	29.4	53.8	35.1	28.3	38.8
2030	31.3	50.6	38.1	30.4	41.3
2040	33.0	50.3	39.8	32.2	44.4
2050	33.9	52.7	40.9	33.2	48.5
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	28.3	55.4	31.1	26.7	34.5
2020	29.7	56.6	35.1	28.4	37.1
2030	31.7	55.3	37.5	30.7	37.9
2040	33.9	53.9	38.8	33.0	40.6
2050	34.8	55.8	39.5	34.0	43.5
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	28.3	55.4	31.1	26.7	34.5
2020	29.9	60.3	34.9	28.5	35.8
2030	32.3	62.9	37.7	31.1	35.8
2040	34.8	60.4	39.7	33.7	38.3
2050	35.7	60.4	40.3	34.7	40.8

Table 8 (continued)

Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
Net Migration for Midland Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	33.5	42.2	32.0	25.6	29.9
2020	35.7	42.4	34.1	28.7	34.8
2030	38.0	45.0	37.5	31.1	38.3
2040	39.1	46.5	39.1	33.3	39.9
2050	39.9	46.3	41.1	35.1	42.2
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	33.5	42.2	32.0	25.6	29.9
2020	35.4	42.2	34.2	28.8	32.6
2030	37.3	44.9	37.9	31.4	34.1
2040	38.3	46.7	39.7	33.8	34.7
2050	39.1	46.5	41.7	35.6	35.7
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	33.5	42.2	32.0	25.6	29.9
2020	35.1	42.1	35.1	29.0	31.4
2030	36.7	44.8	39.2	31.7	32.2
2040	37.7	46.6	42.0	34.3	32.8
2050	38.2	46.4	43.9	35.9	33.4

Table 8 (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
 Net Migration for Odessa Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	31.4	42.0	31.3	25.7	30.9
2020	33.6	42.2	33.4	28.3	36.0
2030	35.8	44.9	36.2	29.8	40.7
2040	36.1	47.9	37.6	31.3	43.5
2050	37.0	47.6	39.4	32.7	47.5
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	31.4	42.0	31.3	25.7	30.9
2020	33.3	42.7	33.0	28.5	34.1
2030	35.1	45.3	34.8	30.3	37.7
2040	35.7	48.1	35.8	32.0	39.8
2050	36.4	47.7	36.7	33.4	43.4
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	31.4	42.0	31.3	25.7	30.9
2020	33.0	43.3	32.6	28.6	33.2
2030	34.6	45.7	34.4	30.7	35.4
2040	35.4	48.2	35.2	32.7	38.0
2050	36.1	47.7	35.5	34.0	41.3

Table 8 (continued)

Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
Net Migration for San Angelo Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	34.4	41.3	28.8	27.0	27.7
2020	35.8	40.9	33.7	29.0	32.8
2030	36.3	41.8	37.0	30.4	38.4
2040	36.2	40.5	37.6	32.4	41.3
2050	36.9	39.2	39.1	33.7	45.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	34.4	41.3	28.8	27.0	27.7
2020	35.5	41.2	33.5	28.7	31.1
2030	35.4	41.3	36.4	30.2	34.6
2040	35.4	39.9	36.7	32.3	36.2
2050	36.1	38.4	37.9	33.7	39.5
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	34.4	41.3	28.8	27.0	27.7
2020	35.1	41.7	33.1	28.5	30.0
2030	34.6	40.7	36.1	30.1	32.2
2040	34.5	38.8	35.7	32.2	33.6
2050	34.8	36.7	36.6	33.3	36.1

Table 8 (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
 Net Migration for San Antonio-New Braunfels Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	34.1	42.7	33.4	29.4	30.4
2020	36.1	44.4	35.1	32.1	34.5
2030	38.2	45.8	37.5	34.6	38.3
2040	39.7	46.9	38.9	36.8	41.3
2050	40.7	46.8	38.5	38.5	43.8
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	34.1	42.7	33.4	29.4	30.4
2020	35.9	44.5	35.3	31.9	32.8
2030	37.7	46.0	37.7	34.3	35.0
2040	39.2	47.1	39.3	36.6	36.4
2050	40.2	47.0	39.1	38.2	38.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	34.1	42.7	33.4	29.4	30.4
2020	35.7	44.6	35.5	31.8	31.5
2030	37.3	46.5	37.9	34.0	33.1
2040	38.8	48.0	39.8	36.5	34.3
2050	39.7	48.2	39.9	38.0	35.5

Table 8 (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
 Net Migration for Sherman-Denison Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	39.5	43.6	33.2	23.1	26.6
2020	40.1	44.5	34.6	27.7	32.8
2030	41.2	44.2	37.8	30.6	39.4
2040	43.4	46.3	40.2	33.6	45.3
2050	44.7	47.0	42.3	36.1	51.2
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	39.5	43.6	33.2	23.1	26.6
2020	40.2	45.9	34.6	27.0	30.8
2030	40.6	46.3	37.8	29.3	36.2
2040	41.9	47.7	40.1	31.9	40.4
2050	42.4	47.9	42.0	33.2	44.9
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	39.5	43.6	33.2	23.1	26.6
2020	40.2	47.4	34.6	26.5	29.6
2030	39.4	48.8	38.2	28.6	33.8
2040	39.5	48.9	41.0	30.9	37.7
2050	39.2	48.4	43.1	31.0	41.9

Table 8 (continued)

Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
Net Migration for Texarkana Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	37.9	42.1	32.7	27.4	26.8
2020	38.6	42.8	33.6	29.9	31.7
2030	39.2	42.6	35.5	31.8	35.2
2040	40.6	43.5	37.3	33.8	39.8
2050	41.5	43.7	38.0	35.8	45.4
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	37.9	42.1	32.7	27.4	26.8
2020	38.3	42.9	33.5	28.7	29.8
2030	38.4	42.4	35.5	29.7	33.0
2040	39.4	43.2	37.2	31.2	37.2
2050	40.0	43.0	37.7	31.9	42.7
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	37.9	42.1	32.7	27.4	26.8
2020	37.9	43.2	33.4	27.8	28.9
2030	37.5	42.3	35.3	28.1	32.1
2040	37.9	42.8	36.7	29.3	36.5
2050	38.2	42.1	36.9	28.9	41.5



Table 8 (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
 Net Migration for Tyler Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	35.6	42.3	31.8	23.0	25.9
2020	36.6	42.6	33.2	26.8	31.1
2030	39.6	44.1	37.7	29.6	35.5
2040	41.4	47.2	39.5	32.8	39.6
2050	42.8	48.4	40.6	34.7	44.2
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	35.6	42.3	31.8	23.0	25.9
2020	36.2	43.5	32.7	26.8	29.5
2030	38.5	44.8	36.9	29.6	32.5
2040	39.5	47.8	38.2	32.7	35.8
2050	40.5	48.6	39.0	34.2	39.2
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	35.6	42.3	31.8	23.0	25.9
2020	35.6	44.4	32.1	26.8	28.5
2030	37.0	45.5	36.0	29.6	31.1
2040	37.6	47.7	36.7	32.7	34.5
2050	38.1	48.1	37.0	33.8	36.9

Table 8 (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
 Net Migration for Victoria Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	37.1	45.9	35.5	28.7	29.7
2020	36.5	44.9	33.6	30.4	29.1
2030	36.3	43.1	33.3	32.0	30.4
2040	36.7	42.4	34.4	33.9	30.9
2050	36.7	40.2	34.2	35.0	30.5
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	37.1	45.9	35.5	28.7	29.7
2020	36.5	45.6	33.5	30.2	27.6
2030	36.1	44.4	33.3	31.8	28.0
2040	36.4	43.5	34.6	33.6	27.0
2050	36.2	41.4	34.3	34.8	26.9
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	37.1	45.9	35.5	28.7	29.7
2020	36.7	46.8	33.5	30.3	25.7
2030	36.1	46.3	33.5	31.9	25.7
2040	36.2	45.6	34.6	33.6	25.0
2050	35.8	43.9	34.3	34.7	25.7

Table 8 (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
 Net Migration for Waco Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	33.2	40.1	31.2	24.1	22.6
2020	34.6	40.4	33.3	27.6	28.3
2030	36.5	40.9	37.2	30.0	32.5
2040	37.5	40.9	39.9	32.6	37.2
2050	38.3	39.8	42.0	34.4	42.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	33.2	40.1	31.2	24.1	22.6
2020	34.0	41.2	33.5	27.0	27.4
2030	35.4	41.3	37.4	29.1	30.6
2040	36.1	41.2	40.2	31.3	34.4
2050	36.6	39.6	42.2	32.4	38.5
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	33.2	40.1	31.2	24.1	22.6
2020	33.3	42.0	33.8	26.4	27.0
2030	34.1	41.7	37.8	28.3	29.6
2040	34.4	41.1	40.7	30.1	32.6
2050	34.2	38.5	42.6	30.7	35.3

Table 8 (continued)

Median Age by Race/Ethnicity for 2000 and Projected for 2010 to 2040  
Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific  
Net Migration for Wichita Falls Metropolitan Statistical Area

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	35.2	40.0	29.7	24.8	26.5
2020	36.0	40.2	31.8	27.7	31.0
2030	37.2	40.6	33.5	29.9	34.7
2040	37.8	40.7	34.3	32.6	37.8
2050	38.4	40.1	35.6	34.3	42.2
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	35.2	40.0	29.7	24.8	26.5
2020	35.7	40.7	31.7	27.2	29.5
2030	36.5	40.8	33.3	29.3	31.7
2040	36.7	40.9	34.1	31.7	34.3
2050	37.2	39.9	35.2	33.1	37.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	35.2	40.0	29.7	24.8	26.5
2020	35.4	41.2	32.1	26.7	29.0
2030	35.6	40.9	34.5	28.6	30.5
2040	35.4	40.6	35.5	30.7	32.4
2050	35.3	38.9	36.3	31.6	34.4

Table 9:  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Abilene Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	126	0.5
Black	2,678	11.2
Hispanic	18,781	78.5
Other	2,355	9.8
Total	23,940	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-10,605	-38.6
Black	2,111	7.7
Hispanic	29,196	106.3
Other	6,765	24.6
Total	27,467	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-27,554	-100.7
Black	806	2.9
Hispanic	40,026	146.4
Other	14,069	51.4
Total	27,347	100.0

Table 9 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Amarillo Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-7,312	-14.8
Black	2,950	6.0
Hispanic	48,417	97.7
Other	5,484	11.1
Total	49,539	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-11,890	-10.6
Black	5,258	4.7
Hispanic	97,402	87.5
Other	20,515	18.4
Total	111,285	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-25,154	-12.7
Black	8,262	4.2
Hispanic	170,468	85.7
Other	45,396	22.8
Total	198,972	100.0

Table 9 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Austin-Round Rock Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-37,645	-9.6
Black	11,086	2.8
Hispanic	381,001	96.9
Other	38,842	9.9
Total	393,284	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	269,466	17.6
Black	60,781	3.9
Hispanic	996,189	64.7
Other	212,849	13.8
Total	1,539,285	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	668,660	19.3
Black	132,216	3.8
Hispanic	2,086,264	60.3
Other	573,511	16.6
Total	3,460,651	100.0

Table 9 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Beaumont-Port Arthur Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-11,394	-26.1
Black	12,074	27.7
Hispanic	35,396	81.3
Other	7,445	17.1
Total	43,521	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-27,135	-28.4
Black	10,218	10.7
Hispanic	92,925	97.3
Other	19,538	20.4
Total	95,546	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-53,945	-33.7
Black	-2,976	-1.9
Hispanic	176,153	110.1
Other	40,758	25.5
Total	159,990	100.0



Table 9 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Brownsville-Harlingen Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-17,359	-6.8
Black	216	0.1
Hispanic	272,397	106.2
Other	1,211	0.5
Total	256,465	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-16,718	-5.2
Black	206	0.1
Hispanic	333,362	103.4
Other	5,448	1.7
Total	322,298	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-18,187	-5.4
Black	453	0.1
Hispanic	339,362	101.1
Other	14,054	4.2
Total	335,682	100.0

Table 9 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 College Station-Bryan Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	13,822	16.9
Black	6,944	8.6
Hispanic	55,259	68.1
Other	5,176	6.4
Total	81,201	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	26,751	16.8
Black	12,058	7.6
Hispanic	102,539	64.4
Other	17,777	11.2
Total	159,125	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	29,497	12.1
Black	15,308	6.3
Hispanic	160,260	65.9
Other	38,304	15.7
Total	243,369	100.0

Table 9 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Corpus Christi Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-20,433	-25.2
Black	223	0.3
Hispanic	98,126	120.7
Other	3,414	4.2
Total	81,330	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-36,611	-31.2
Black	445	0.4
Hispanic	141,117	120.2
Other	12,466	10.6
Total	117,417	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-60,532	-49.8
Black	-864	-0.7
Hispanic	155,741	128.1
Other	27,247	22.4
Total	121,592	100.0

Table 9 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-195,064	-14.2
Black	132,867	9.7
Hispanic	1,287,037	93.9
Other	145,347	10.6
Total	1,370,187	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-16,416	-0.4
Black	537,142	12.2
Hispanic	3,039,355	68.9
Other	852,104	19.3
Total	4,412,185	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	279,373	2.8
Black	1,142,440	11.5
Hispanic	6,102,478	61.4
Other	2,416,788	24.3
Total	9,941,079	100.0

Table 9 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 El Paso Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-8,375	-2.8
Black	4,137	1.4
Hispanic	299,301	99.2
Other	6,544	2.2
Total	301,607	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-23,961	-5.0
Black	4,813	1.0
Hispanic	471,918	99.6
Other	21,057	4.4
Total	473,827	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-43,077	-7.5
Black	2,503	0.4
Hispanic	568,252	99.7
Other	42,332	7.4
Total	570,010	100.0

Table 9 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-199,041	-15.0
Black	88,564	6.7
Hispanic	1,331,665	100.6
Other	102,446	7.7
Total	1,323,634	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-115,429	-2.9
Black	383,352	9.4
Hispanic	3,094,213	75.8
Other	722,398	17.7
Total	4,084,534	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-27,063	-0.2
Black	734,371	8.8
Hispanic	5,664,744	68.2
Other	1,928,799	23.2
Total	8,300,851	100.0

Table 9 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Killeen-Temple Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	34,074	24.2
Black	27,175	19.2
Hispanic	63,013	44.6
Other	16,971	12.0
Total	141,233	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	54,724	18.7
Black	40,628	14.0
Hispanic	140,035	48.2
Other	55,428	19.1
Total	290,815	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	60,052	13.1
Black	41,747	9.1
Hispanic	232,852	50.9
Other	123,011	26.9
Total	457,662	100.0

Table 9 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Laredo Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	1,208	0.7
Black	290	0.2
Hispanic	173,314	98.8
Other	539	0.3
Total	175,351	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	1,191	0.5
Black	241	0.1
Hispanic	241,449	99.0
Other	896	0.4
Total	243,777	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-190	-0.1
Black	160	0.1
Hispanic	275,130	98.4
Other	4,380	1.6
Total	279,480	100.0



Table 9 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Longview Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-8,026	-32.2
Black	3,071	12.3
Hispanic	26,590	106.8
Other	3,270	13.1
Total	24,905	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-6,233	-7.0
Black	5,336	6.0
Hispanic	77,817	88.0
Other	11,474	13.0
Total	88,394	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-14,358	-7.8
Black	6,070	3.3
Hispanic	160,208	87.3
Other	31,627	17.2
Total	183,547	100.0

Table 9 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Lubbock Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-1,966	-2.8
Black	5,909	8.2
Hispanic	64,232	89.1
Other	3,953	5.5
Total	72,128	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-3,432	-2.8
Black	7,380	6.1
Hispanic	99,841	83.1
Other	16,302	13.6
Total	120,091	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-12,293	-7.8
Black	5,479	3.5
Hispanic	124,392	79.2
Other	39,436	25.1
Total	157,014	100.0

Table 9 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 McAllen-Edinburg-Mission Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-26,122	-5.4
Black	606	0.1
Hispanic	503,463	104.8
Other	2,587	0.5
Total	480,534	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-10,538	-1.3
Black	1,108	0.1
Hispanic	772,996	99.3
Other	14,807	1.9
Total	778,373	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	8,597	0.9
Black	2,245	0.2
Hispanic	956,736	95.2
Other	37,023	3.7
Total	1,004,601	100.0

Table 9 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Midland Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-4,955	-13.4
Black	1,459	3.9
Hispanic	38,417	103.7
Other	2,142	5.8
Total	37,063	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-8,881	-12.3
Black	1,855	2.6
Hispanic	72,070	99.8
Other	7,157	9.9
Total	72,201	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-17,396	-16.5
Black	1,440	1.4
Hispanic	105,384	99.7
Other	16,279	15.4
Total	105,707	100.0

Table 9 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Odessa Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-4,935	-8.8
Black	1,353	2.4
Hispanic	58,979	104.7
Other	950	1.7
Total	56,347	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-12,327	-14.9
Black	1,879	2.3
Hispanic	90,804	109.6
Other	2,526	3.0
Total	82,882	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-23,313	-23.8
Black	1,115	1.1
Hispanic	113,892	116.4
Other	6,140	6.3
Total	97,834	100.0

Table 9 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 San Angelo Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-3,038	-15.1
Black	214	1.1
Hispanic	22,006	108.5
Other	1,107	5.5
Total	20,289	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-8,803	-42.7
Black	-58	-0.3
Hispanic	26,797	130.1
Other	2,663	12.9
Total	20,599	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-19,408	-166.2
Black	-542	-4.6
Hispanic	26,108	223.5
Other	5,521	47.3
Total	11,679	100.0

Table 9 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 San Antonio-New Braunfels Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-81,325	-18.0
Black	18,799	4.2
Hispanic	483,342	106.8
Other	31,644	7.0
Total	452,460	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	33,942	2.7
Black	61,138	4.9
Hispanic	997,392	80.1
Other	152,822	12.3
Total	1,245,294	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	172,296	8.0
Black	100,456	4.7
Hispanic	1,465,005	68.1
Other	413,967	19.2
Total	2,151,724	100.0

Table 9 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Sherman-Denison Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-10,116	-441.6
Black	822	35.9
Hispanic	10,578	461.7
Other	1,007	44.0
Total	2,291	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-10,416	-39.4
Black	513	1.9
Hispanic	30,203	114.4
Other	6,103	23.1
Total	26,403	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-16,684	-28.3
Black	281	0.5
Hispanic	60,914	103.0
Other	14,644	24.8
Total	59,155	100.0



Table 9 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Texarkana Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-2,244	-37.0
Black	4,355	71.9
Hispanic	2,912	48.0
Other	1,038	17.1
Total	6,061	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-7,522	-94.7
Black	5,168	65.1
Hispanic	6,354	80.0
Other	3,938	49.6
Total	7,938	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-17,087	-312.7
Black	2,814	51.5
Hispanic	9,340	170.9
Other	10,398	190.3
Total	5,465	100.0

Table 9 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Tyler Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-15,167	-64.6
Black	5,617	23.9
Hispanic	29,967	127.7
Other	3,051	13.0
Total	23,468	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-11,250	-12.5
Black	10,547	11.7
Hispanic	77,211	85.8
Other	13,523	15.0
Total	90,031	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-15,022	-8.7
Black	10,704	6.2
Hispanic	142,477	82.3
Other	34,962	20.2
Total	173,121	100.0

Table 9 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Victoria Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-1,461	-6.0
Black	1,739	7.1
Hispanic	22,254	90.7
Other	2,009	8.2
Total	24,541	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-7,387	-29.1
Black	1,605	6.3
Hispanic	27,253	107.3
Other	3,935	15.5
Total	25,406	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-14,642	-70.2
Black	1,300	6.2
Hispanic	26,228	125.7
Other	7,984	38.3
Total	20,870	100.0

Table 9 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Waco Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-5,735	-12.9
Black	3,737	8.4
Hispanic	43,569	98.0
Other	2,868	6.5
Total	44,439	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-21,352	-29.4
Black	5,720	7.9
Hispanic	79,271	109.1
Other	9,021	12.4
Total	72,660	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-42,936	-45.8
Black	2,983	3.2
Hispanic	115,911	123.6
Other	17,820	19.0
Total	93,778	100.0

Table 9 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Wichita Falls Metropolitan Statistical Area

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-1,954	-10.5
Black	2,629	14.1
Hispanic	15,326	82.2
Other	2,638	14.2
Total	18,639	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-14,151	-73.1
Black	2,003	10.3
Hispanic	25,644	132.5
Other	5,865	30.3
Total	19,361	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-32,155	-261.5
Black	-68	-0.6
Hispanic	35,883	291.9
Other	8,631	70.2
Total	12,291	100.0

Table 10: Total Population and Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projected Population and Percent Population Change 2010-2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Council of Government Regions in Texas - Ranked by Total Population Size in 2010

Council of Government Region	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)										
North Central Texas	6,539,950	7,078,266	7,507,196	7,797,896	7,935,383	8.2	6.1	3.9	1.8	21.3
Houston-Galveston	6,087,133	6,609,165	7,018,314	7,297,940	7,440,144	8.6	6.2	4.0	1.9	22.2
Alamo Area	2,249,718	2,420,546	2,558,514	2,646,681	2,704,140	7.6	5.7	3.4	2.2	20.2
Capital Area	1,830,003	1,990,364	2,098,589	2,174,611	2,220,862	8.8	5.4	3.6	2.1	21.4
Lower Rio Grande Valley	1,203,123	1,379,945	1,571,478	1,758,905	1,951,002	14.7	13.9	11.9	10.9	62.2
East Texas	829,749	861,370	883,590	893,533	901,205	3.8	2.6	1.1	0.9	8.6
Rio Grande	825,913	916,503	1,002,213	1,072,421	1,134,759	11.0	9.4	7.0	5.8	37.4
Coastal Bend	571,280	611,764	647,922	675,230	700,708	7.1	5.9	4.2	3.8	22.7
Central Texas	449,641	495,988	533,081	567,906	596,381	10.3	7.5	6.5	5.0	32.6
Panhandle	427,927	459,948	491,421	517,885	540,372	7.5	6.8	5.4	4.3	26.3
Permian Basin	417,679	458,358	494,236	526,205	554,032	9.7	7.8	6.5	5.3	32.6
South Plains	411,659	444,473	475,908	503,117	529,163	8.0	7.1	5.7	5.2	28.5
South East Texas	388,745	406,787	420,661	427,989	431,938	4.6	3.4	1.7	0.9	11.1
Deep East Texas	378,477	392,749	405,459	414,721	425,594	3.8	3.2	2.3	2.6	12.4
Heart of Texas	349,273	366,438	382,348	393,165	403,263	4.9	4.3	2.8	2.6	15.5
South Texas	330,590	388,425	449,572	510,665	575,273	17.5	15.7	13.6	12.7	74.0
West Central Texas	327,390	342,621	354,150	361,005	367,328	4.7	3.4	1.9	1.8	12.2
Brazos Valley	319,447	342,950	366,058	385,532	408,260	7.4	6.7	5.3	5.9	27.8
Ark-Tex	281,947	292,790	301,405	305,946	309,685	3.8	2.9	1.5	1.2	9.8
Nortex	222,860	230,830	239,102	244,753	249,408	3.6	3.6	2.4	1.9	11.9
Texoma	193,229	198,889	202,668	202,729	201,528	2.9	1.9	0.0	-0.6	4.3
Golden Crescent	188,626	199,870	210,861	219,289	228,096	6.0	5.5	4.0	4.0	20.9
Middle Rio Grande	167,010	187,820	210,478	232,556	257,700	12.5	12.1	10.5	10.8	54.3
Concho Valley	154,192	161,751	168,986	178,624	180,131	4.9	4.5	3.3	3.2	16.8

Table 10: Continued

Council of Government Region	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010- 2020	%Change 2020- 2030	%Change 2030- 2040	%Change 2040- 2050	%Change 2010- 2050
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)										
North Central Texas	6,539,950	7,529,519	8,621,461	9,789,186	10,995,559	15.1	14.5	13.5	12.3	68.1
Houston-Galveston	6,087,133	7,075,093	8,111,578	9,157,981	10,205,569	16.2	14.6	12.9	11.4	67.7
Alamo Area	2,249,718	2,586,176	2,924,534	3,230,136	3,519,081	15.0	13.1	10.4	8.9	56.4
Capital Area	1,830,003	2,200,953	2,573,614	2,967,407	3,398,682	20.3	16.9	15.3	14.5	85.7
Lower Rio Grande Valley	1,203,123	1,453,822	1,735,641	2,021,145	2,319,393	20.8	19.4	16.4	14.8	92.8
East Texas	829,749	897,131	965,057	1,026,765	1,095,762	8.1	7.6	6.4	6.7	32.1
Rio Grande	825,913	950,385	1,079,420	1,194,976	1,306,261	15.1	13.6	10.7	9.3	58.2
Coastal Bend	571,280	620,189	665,829	699,139	728,111	8.6	7.4	5.0	4.1	27.5
Central Texas	449,641	523,489	595,042	670,395	746,827	16.4	13.7	12.7	11.4	66.1
Panhandle	427,927	471,251	519,668	567,127	615,669	10.1	10.3	9.1	8.6	43.9
Permian Basin	417,679	469,448	522,173	573,520	624,145	12.4	11.2	9.8	8.8	49.4
South Plains	411,659	451,636	493,274	531,410	569,812	9.7	9.2	7.7	7.2	38.4
South East Texas	388,745	413,151	438,434	461,529	485,214	6.3	6.1	5.3	5.1	24.8
Deep East Texas	378,477	404,527	428,835	446,855	465,082	6.9	6.0	4.2	4.1	22.9
Heart of Texas	349,273	374,621	401,244	422,345	443,249	7.3	7.1	5.3	4.9	26.9
South Texas	330,590	399,830	474,870	549,811	627,363	20.9	18.8	15.8	14.1	89.8
West Central Texas	327,390	344,797	358,886	367,057	373,596	5.3	4.1	2.3	1.8	14.1
Brazos Valley	319,447	362,015	407,910	451,812	504,274	13.3	12.7	10.8	11.6	57.9
Ark-Tex	281,947	296,490	310,231	320,342	330,826	5.2	4.6	3.3	3.3	17.3
Nortex	222,860	231,365	240,982	247,548	252,827	3.8	4.2	2.7	2.1	13.4
Texoma	193,229	205,755	217,964	226,554	234,278	6.5	5.9	3.9	3.4	21.2
Golden Crescent	188,626	201,744	215,032	225,329	235,724	7.0	6.6	4.8	4.6	25.0
Middle Rio Grande	167,010	187,729	208,598	227,365	246,699	12.4	11.1	9.0	8.5	47.7
Concho Valley	154,192	162,166	169,940	174,861	178,746	5.2	4.8	2.9	2.2	15.9

Table 10: Continued

Council of Government Region	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010- 2020	%Change 2020- 2030	%Change 2030- 2040	%Change 2040- 2050	%Change 2010- 2050
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)										
North Central Texas	6,539,950	8,049,465	10,115,541	12,888,231	16,541,609	23.1	25.7	27.4	28.3	152.9
Houston-Galveston	6,087,133	7,591,647	9,466,708	11,712,202	14,416,642	24.7	24.7	23.7	23.1	136.8
Alamo Area	2,249,718	2,759,232	3,323,204	3,886,655	4,450,313	22.6	20.4	17.0	14.5	97.8
Capital Area	1,830,003	2,441,264	3,190,612	4,129,875	5,358,126	33.4	30.7	29.4	29.7	192.8
Lower Rio Grande Valley	1,203,123	1,525,927	1,888,065	2,236,009	2,560,965	26.8	23.7	18.4	14.5	112.9
East Texas	829,749	936,323	1,059,245	1,192,453	1,355,704	12.8	13.1	12.6	13.7	63.4
Rio Grande	825,913	980,456	1,142,673	1,281,526	1,398,989	18.7	16.5	12.2	9.2	69.4
Coastal Bend	571,280	624,454	671,447	697,181	707,916	9.3	7.5	3.8	1.5	23.9
Central Texas	449,641	551,856	659,690	780,639	914,416	22.7	19.5	18.3	17.1	103.4
Panhandle	427,927	484,478	554,343	633,691	726,044	13.2	14.4	14.3	14.6	69.7
Permian Basin	417,679	479,978	547,298	613,899	676,892	14.9	14.0	12.2	10.3	62.1
South Plains	411,659	457,807	506,423	550,335	591,983	11.2	10.6	8.7	7.6	43.8
South East Texas	388,745	418,420	455,653	498,941	551,607	7.6	8.9	9.5	10.6	41.9
Deep East Texas	378,477	418,106	455,430	482,461	504,915	10.5	8.9	5.9	4.7	33.4
Heart of Texas	349,273	382,710	419,015	447,898	474,365	9.6	9.5	6.9	5.9	35.8
South Texas	330,590	409,389	493,464	570,742	638,866	23.8	0.5	15.7	11.9	93.3
West Central Texas	327,390	347,819	363,456	371,954	375,854	6.2	4.5	2.3	1.0	14.8
Brazos Valley	319,447	382,528	453,474	524,839	609,323	19.7	18.5	15.7	16.1	90.7
Ark-Tex	281,947	301,626	321,195	338,929	359,693	7.0	6.5	5.5	6.1	27.6
Nortex	222,860	231,941	241,920	248,400	252,635	4.1	4.3	2.7	1.7	13.4
Texoma	193,229	213,118	234,926	254,726	276,147	10.3	10.2	8.4	8.4	42.9
Golden Crescent	188,626	204,094	218,376	228,685	236,514	8.2	7.0	4.7	3.4	25.4
Middle Rio Grande	167,010	186,755	203,184	213,427	218,192	11.8	8.8	5.0	2.2	30.6
Concho Valley	154,192	162,585	169,742	172,198	171,587	5.4	4.4	1.4	0.4	11.3





Table 11

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Alamo Area Council of Governments

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	37.2	5.9	53.2	3.7
2020	34.5	5.9	55.7	3.9
2030	31.9	5.8	58.3	4.0
2040	29.4	5.7	60.7	4.2
2050	27.4	5.6	62.8	4.2
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	37.2	5.9	53.2	3.7
2020	33.8	5.9	56.0	4.3
2030	30.4	5.8	58.8	5.0
2040	27.3	5.7	61.2	5.8
2050	24.6	5.5	63.2	6.7
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	37.2	5.9	53.2	3.7
2020	33.1	5.9	56.1	4.9
2030	29.2	5.8	58.6	6.4
2040	25.8	5.5	60.2	8.5
2050	22.9	5.2	60.7	11.2

Table 11 (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Ark-Tex Council of Governments

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	69.6	16.2	11.3	2.9
2020	67.0	16.6	13.2	3.2
2030	64.2	16.8	15.4	3.6
2040	61.6	16.8	17.6	4.0
2050	59.4	16.7	19.5	4.4
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	69.6	16.2	11.3	2.9
2020	65.9	16.6	14.1	3.4
2030	62.0	16.6	17.4	4.0
2040	57.6	16.3	21.2	4.9
2050	53.1	15.8	25.1	6.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	69.6	16.2	11.3	2.9
2020	64.4	16.5	15.2	3.9
2030	58.4	16.2	20.1	5.3
2040	51.4	15.1	25.9	7.6
2050	43.9	13.6	31.9	10.6

Table 11 (continued)  
 Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of  
 the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 Under Alternative  
 Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Brazos Valley Council of Governments

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	61.5	12.7	20.9	4.9
2020	57.8	12.8	24.1	5.3
2030	55.0	12.6	27.0	5.4
2040	52.1	12.3	30.1	5.5
2050	50.0	11.8	32.8	5.4
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	61.5	12.7	20.9	4.9
2020	56.9	12.5	25.0	5.6
2030	52.7	12.0	29.1	6.2
2040	48.1	11.5	33.8	6.6
2050	44.0	10.7	38.4	6.9
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	61.5	12.7	20.9	4.9
2020	55.4	12.3	26.2	6.1
2030	49.4	11.6	31.8	7.2
2040	42.9	10.7	38.1	8.3
2050	37.1	9.3	44.1	9.5

Table 11 (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Capital Area Council of Governments

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	56.1	6.8	30.5	6.6
2020	53.2	6.7	33.3	6.8
2030	49.9	6.6	36.5	7.0
2040	46.6	6.4	39.8	7.2
2050	43.5	6.1	43.1	7.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	56.1	6.8	30.5	6.6
2020	52.1	6.5	34.0	7.4
2030	47.5	6.2	38.1	8.2
2040	42.5	5.9	42.5	9.1
2050	37.9	5.5	46.7	9.9
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	56.1	6.8	30.5	6.6
2020	50.9	6.4	34.7	8.0
2030	44.7	5.9	39.8	9.6
2040	37.8	5.4	45.4	11.4
2050	31.9	4.8	50.3	13.0

Table 11 (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Central Texas Council of Governments

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	55.7	17.4	20.4	6.5
2020	53.3	17.7	22.1	6.9
2030	50.7	18.0	24.0	7.3
2040	48.7	17.9	25.8	7.6
2050	47.1	17.7	27.4	7.8
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	55.7	17.4	20.4	6.5
2020	52.1	17.4	23.0	7.5
2030	47.8	17.3	26.2	8.7
2040	43.9	16.7	29.3	10.1
2050	40.4	15.9	32.3	11.4
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	55.7	17.4	20.4	6.5
2020	50.5	17.0	24.2	8.3
2030	44.6	16.2	28.7	10.5
2040	38.7	14.9	33.0	13.4
2050	33.5	13.1	36.7	16.7

Table 11 (continued)  
 Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of  
 the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 Under Alternative  
 Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Coastal Bend Council of Governments

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	34.0	3.2	60.3	2.5
2020	31.3	3.1	63.0	2.6
2030	28.7	2.9	65.7	2.7
2040	26.2	2.8	68.3	2.7
2050	24.1	2.7	70.5	2.7
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	34.0	3.2	60.3	2.5
2020	30.3	3.0	63.8	2.9
2030	26.8	2.9	67.1	3.2
2040	23.6	2.7	70.2	3.5
2050	20.8	2.6	72.8	3.8
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	34.0	3.2	60.3	2.5
2020	29.3	3.0	64.6	3.1
2030	24.8	2.8	68.5	3.9
2040	20.9	2.6	71.6	4.9
2050	17.6	2.4	73.9	6.1

Table 11 (continued)  
 Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of  
 the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 Under Alternative  
 Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Concho Valley Council of Governments

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	58.2	2.8	36.6	2.4
2020	54.8	2.8	39.9	2.5
2030	51.4	2.7	43.3	2.6
2040	48.5	2.6	46.3	2.6
2050	46.1	2.5	48.8	2.6
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	58.2	2.8	36.6	2.4
2020	54.1	2.8	40.5	2.6
2030	49.8	2.7	44.6	2.9
2040	46.0	2.5	48.3	3.2
2050	42.6	2.4	51.5	3.5
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	58.2	2.8	36.6	2.4
2020	52.9	2.8	41.4	2.9
2030	47.7	2.6	46.2	3.5
2040	42.7	2.4	50.6	4.3
2050	38.1	2.2	54.4	5.3



Table 11 (continued)  
 Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of  
 the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 Under Alternative  
 Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Deep East Texas Council of Governments

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	69.2	15.4	13.1	2.3
2020	66.5	15.7	15.2	2.6
2030	63.7	15.8	17.5	3.0
2040	61.0	15.8	19.9	3.3
2050	58.9	15.6	21.9	3.6
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	69.2	15.4	13.1	2.3
2020	66.0	15.5	15.8	2.7
2030	62.4	15.4	19.1	3.1
2040	58.4	15.2	22.8	3.6
2050	54.6	14.8	26.4	4.2
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	69.2	15.4	13.1	2.3
2020	65.3	15.3	16.6	2.8
2030	60.5	14.8	21.1	3.6
2040	54.7	14.0	26.4	4.9
2050	48.6	12.9	31.9	6.6

Table 11 (continued)  
 Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of  
 the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 Under Alternative  
 Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 East Texas Council of Governments

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	68.5	15.0	14.0	2.5
2020	65.7	15.2	16.3	2.8
2030	62.7	15.2	19.0	3.1
2040	59.6	15.0	21.9	3.5
2050	57.1	14.7	24.5	3.7
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	68.5	15.0	14.0	2.5
2020	64.3	14.9	17.8	3.0
2030	59.4	14.4	22.6	3.6
2040	53.9	13.7	28.0	4.4
2050	48.2	12.8	33.8	5.2
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	68.5	15.0	14.0	2.5
2020	62.6	14.6	19.4	3.4
2030	55.1	13.6	26.7	4.6
2040	46.6	12.0	35.0	6.4
2050	37.7	10.2	43.6	8.5

Table 11 (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	52.8	6.0	39.0	2.2
2020	48.9	6.1	42.5	2.5
2030	45.3	6.1	45.8	2.8
2040	42.0	5.9	49.0	3.1
2050	39.3	5.7	51.7	3.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	52.8	6.0	39.0	2.2
2020	48.0	6.0	43.5	2.5
2030	43.2	5.9	47.9	3.0
2040	38.7	5.6	52.3	3.4
2050	34.6	5.3	56.1	4.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	52.8	6.0	39.0	2.2
2020	46.6	6.1	44.5	2.8
2030	40.6	5.9	49.9	3.6
2040	34.6	5.5	54.9	5.0
2050	29.5	5.0	58.8	6.7

Table 11 (continued)  
 Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of  
 the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 Under Alternative  
 Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Heart of Texas Council of Governments

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	61.9	13.7	21.7	2.7
2020	58.6	13.9	24.7	2.8
2030	55.6	13.7	27.7	3.0
2040	52.7	13.4	30.7	3.2
2050	50.4	13.0	33.3	3.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	61.9	13.7	21.7	2.7
2020	57.4	13.8	25.8	3.0
2030	52.7	13.4	30.4	3.5
2040	47.9	12.9	35.2	4.0
2050	43.4	12.2	40.0	4.4
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	61.9	13.7	21.7	2.7
2020	55.7	13.7	27.3	3.3
2030	49.2	13.0	33.7	4.1
2040	42.4	12.1	40.4	5.1
2050	35.8	10.8	47.1	6.3

Table 11 (continued)  
 Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of  
 the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 Under Alternative  
 Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Houston-Galveston Area Council

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	39.9	16.8	35.2	8.1
2020	37.3	16.5	38.0	8.2
2030	34.7	16.1	41.0	8.2
2040	32.2	15.6	44.1	8.1
2050	29.8	15.0	47.2	8.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	39.9	16.8	35.2	8.1
2020	35.1	16.4	39.4	9.1
2030	30.5	15.7	43.7	10.1
2040	26.2	14.8	47.9	11.1
2050	22.6	13.8	51.7	11.9
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	39.9	16.8	35.2	8.1
2020	33.1	16.1	40.7	10.1
2030	26.7	15.0	46.0	12.3
2040	21.0	13.7	50.7	14.6
2050	16.5	12.2	54.4	16.9

Table 11 (continued)  
 Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of  
 the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 Under Alternative  
 Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	8.8	0.4	89.7	1.1
2020	6.7	0.3	91.9	1.1
2030	5.1	0.3	93.6	1.0
2040	3.9	0.3	94.8	1.0
2050	3.1	0.3	95.7	0.9
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	8.8	0.4	89.7	1.1
2020	7.0	0.3	91.5	1.2
2030	5.4	0.3	93.0	1.3
2040	4.2	0.3	94.1	1.4
2050	3.5	0.2	94.9	1.4
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	8.8	0.4	89.7	1.1
2020	7.3	0.3	91.0	1.4
2030	5.9	0.3	92.1	1.7
2040	4.6	0.3	93.0	2.1
2050	3.8	0.3	93.4	2.5

Table 11 (continued)  
 Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of  
 the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 Under Alternative  
 Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Middle Rio Grande Development Council

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	15.1	0.6	83.1	1.2
2020	13.3	0.6	84.9	1.2
2030	11.5	0.6	86.7	1.2
2040	10.1	0.5	88.2	1.2
2050	8.9	0.5	89.5	1.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	15.1	0.6	83.1	1.2
2020	13.2	0.6	85.0	1.2
2030	11.3	0.6	86.9	1.2
2040	9.8	0.5	88.5	1.2
2050	8.5	0.5	89.9	1.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	15.1	0.6	83.1	1.2
2020	13.1	0.6	85.0	1.3
2030	11.1	0.6	86.8	1.5
2040	9.6	0.5	88.2	1.7
2050	8.4	0.4	89.3	1.9

Table 11 (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Nortex Regional Planning Commission

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	73.8	7.0	15.5	3.7
2020	71.2	7.1	17.7	4.0
2030	68.5	7.2	20.0	4.3
2040	65.9	7.3	22.3	4.5
2050	63.7	7.3	24.3	4.7
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	73.8	7.0	15.5	3.7
2020	70.1	7.1	18.6	4.2
2030	65.9	7.1	22.2	4.8
2040	61.8	7.0	25.9	5.3
2050	57.6	6.9	29.7	5.8
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	73.8	7.0	15.5	3.7
2020	68.5	7.0	20.0	4.5
2030	62.4	6.9	25.4	5.3
2040	55.8	6.6	31.4	6.2
2050	49.2	6.1	37.5	7.2



Table 11 (continued)  
 Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of  
 the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 Under Alternative  
 Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 North Central Texas Council of Governments

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	50.9	14.5	27.3	7.3
2020	48.0	14.5	29.9	7.6
2030	45.2	14.3	32.8	7.7
2040	42.2	14.0	35.9	7.9
2050	39.5	13.6	39.0	7.9
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	50.9	14.5	27.3	7.3
2020	45.5	14.7	31.3	8.5
2030	40.1	14.6	35.7	9.6
2040	34.8	14.2	40.1	10.9
2050	30.2	13.5	44.2	12.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	50.9	14.5	27.3	7.3
2020	42.9	14.9	32.7	9.5
2030	35.1	14.6	38.5	11.8
2040	27.9	13.8	43.7	14.6
2050	21.8	12.6	48.0	17.6

Table 11 (continued)  
 Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of  
 the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 Under Alternative  
 Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Panhandle Regional Planning Commission

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	60.8	4.5	31.0	3.7
2020	57.0	4.5	34.5	4.0
2030	53.2	4.5	38.0	4.3
2040	49.6	4.4	41.4	4.6
2050	46.6	4.3	44.4	4.7
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	60.8	4.5	31.0	3.7
2020	55.3	4.5	35.8	4.4
2030	49.5	4.5	40.8	5.2
2040	44.0	4.3	45.6	6.1
2050	38.8	4.1	50.1	7.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	60.8	4.5	31.0	3.7
2020	53.1	4.5	37.5	4.9
2030	45.0	4.4	44.2	6.4
2040	36.8	4.2	50.6	8.4
2050	29.4	3.8	56.2	10.6

Table 11 (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Permian Basin Regional Planning Commission

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	46.5	4.7	46.6	2.2
2020	43.0	4.6	50.1	2.3
2030	39.6	4.4	53.6	2.4
2040	36.3	4.3	56.9	2.5
2050	33.4	4.1	60.1	2.4
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	46.5	4.7	46.6	2.2
2020	41.5	4.5	51.5	2.5
2030	36.7	4.3	56.3	2.7
2040	32.3	4.1	60.6	3.0
2050	28.4	3.8	64.6	3.2
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	46.5	4.7	46.6	2.2
2020	39.8	4.5	53.0	2.7
2030	33.7	4.2	58.8	3.3
2040	28.3	3.8	63.7	4.2
2050	24.0	3.3	67.5	5.2

Table 11 (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Rio Grande Council of Governments

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	13.8	2.5	81.6	2.1
2020	12.4	2.4	83.1	2.1
2030	11.0	2.4	84.5	2.1
2040	10.1	2.3	85.5	2.1
2050	9.2	2.2	86.5	2.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	13.8	2.5	81.6	2.1
2020	11.5	2.4	83.8	2.3
2030	9.6	2.2	85.7	2.5
2040	8.0	2.1	87.2	2.7
2050	6.8	2.0	88.3	2.9
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	13.8	2.5	81.6	2.1
2020	10.6	2.3	84.6	2.5
2030	8.1	2.1	86.9	2.9
2040	6.3	1.9	88.3	3.5
2050	4.9	1.7	89.1	4.3

Table 11 (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for South East Texas Regional Planning Commission

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	58.8	24.3	12.9	4.0
2020	56.7	24.5	14.4	4.4
2030	54.6	24.6	16.1	4.7
2040	52.4	24.7	17.9	5.0
2050	50.4	24.6	19.7	5.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	58.8	24.3	12.9	4.0
2020	54.9	24.3	16.1	4.7
2030	50.8	23.7	20.0	5.5
2040	46.4	22.8	24.5	6.3
2050	41.8	21.6	29.4	7.2
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	58.8	24.3	12.9	4.0
2020	53.0	23.8	18.0	5.2
2030	46.2	22.3	24.8	6.7
2040	39.2	19.8	32.6	8.4
2050	32.1	16.7	41.0	10.2

Table 11 (continued)

Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for South Plains Association of Governments

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	53.0	6.1	38.0	2.9
2020	49.4	6.1	41.5	3.0
2030	45.8	6.1	45.0	3.1
2040	42.5	6.1	48.2	3.2
2050	39.8	6.0	51.0	3.2
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	53.0	6.1	38.0	2.9
2020	48.3	6.1	42.2	3.4
2030	43.6	6.1	46.3	4.0
2040	39.6	6.0	49.9	4.5
2050	36.0	5.7	53.2	5.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	53.0	6.1	38.0	2.9
2020	47.2	6.1	42.9	3.8
2030	41.5	5.9	47.5	5.1
2040	36.4	5.6	51.2	6.8
2050	32.1	5.1	53.9	8.9

Table 11 (continued)  
 Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of  
 the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 Under Alternative  
 Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 South Texas Development Council

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	3.6	0.2	95.6	0.6
2020	3.2	0.2	96.0	0.6
2030	2.8	0.2	96.4	0.6
2040	2.5	0.2	96.8	0.5
2050	2.2	0.1	97.2	0.5
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	3.6	0.2	95.6	0.6
2020	3.1	0.2	96.1	0.6
2030	2.7	0.2	96.5	0.6
2040	2.5	0.1	96.9	0.5
2050	2.1	0.1	97.3	0.5
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	3.6	0.2	95.6	0.6
2020	3.1	0.2	96.0	0.7
2030	2.7	0.1	96.4	0.8
2040	2.3	0.1	96.7	0.9
2050	2.0	0.1	96.9	1.0

Table 11 (continued)  
 Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of  
 the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 Under Alternative  
 Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Texoma Council of Governments

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	79.0	5.3	11.9	3.8
2020	76.8	5.4	13.8	4.0
2030	74.1	5.6	16.0	4.3
2040	71.5	5.6	18.3	4.6
2050	69.3	5.6	20.4	4.7
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	79.0	5.3	11.9	3.8
2020	75.3	5.2	15.1	4.4
2030	70.7	5.1	19.2	5.0
2040	65.4	4.9	24.0	5.7
2050	59.8	4.6	29.3	6.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)				
2010	79.0	5.3	11.9	3.8
2020	73.4	5.1	16.7	4.8
2030	65.9	4.8	23.2	6.1
2040	57.0	4.3	30.9	7.8
2050	47.2	3.7	39.5	9.6



Table 11 (continued)  
 Percent of Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projections of  
 the Population by Race/Ethnicity from 2020 to 2050 Under Alternative  
 Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 West Central Texas Council of Governments

Year	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)				
2010	69.4	5.1	22.8	2.7
2020	66.5	5.3	25.3	2.9
2030	63.4	5.5	28.0	3.1
2040	60.8	5.5	30.5	3.2
2050	58.7	5.5	32.5	3.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)				
2010	69.4	5.1	22.8	2.7
2020	65.5	5.3	26.1	3.1
2030	61.5	5.3	29.7	3.5
2040	57.5	5.3	33.2	4.0
2050	53.8	5.2	36.6	4.4
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010				
2010	69.4	5.1	22.8	2.7
2020	64.3	5.2	27.2	3.3
2030	58.5	5.2	32.1	4.2
2040	52.7	5.0	36.9	5.4
2050	47.1	4.6	41.5	6.8

Table 12:  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity Under Alternative Assumptions  
 of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Alamo Area Council of Governments

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	34.5	43.6	33.3	29.4	30.5
2020	36.4	45.0	35.1	32.1	34.6
2030	38.3	45.9	37.5	34.5	38.5
2040	39.8	46.9	38.9	36.7	41.4
2050	40.7	46.7	38.5	38.4	43.9
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	34.5	43.6	33.3	29.4	30.5
2020	36.2	45.3	35.3	31.9	32.9
2030	37.9	46.5	37.7	34.2	35.1
2040	39.3	47.5	39.2	36.6	36.5
2050	40.2	47.4	39.1	38.1	38.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	34.5	43.6	33.3	29.4	30.5
2020	36.0	45.7	35.5	31.7	31.6
2030	37.6	47.5	37.9	34.0	33.3
2040	39.1	48.8	39.8	36.5	34.3
2050	39.9	48.9	39.9	37.9	35.6

Table 12: (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity Under Alternative Assumptions  
 of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Ark-Tex Council of Governments

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	39.0	43.8	34.6	23.5	27.0
2020	39.3	44.3	35.3	26.9	30.4
2030	39.6	43.6	37.1	29.7	33.2
2040	40.9	44.5	39.4	32.3	36.3
2050	41.6	44.8	40.6	33.7	40.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	39.0	43.8	34.6	23.5	27.0
2020	39.3	45.1	35.5	26.1	28.4
2030	38.9	44.4	37.3	28.1	30.3
2040	39.6	44.9	39.6	30.4	32.7
2050	39.5	44.7	40.5	30.8	33.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	39.0	43.8	34.6	23.5	27.0
2020	39.4	46.1	36.2	25.3	26.3
2030	38.1	45.9	38.0	26.8	27.4
2040	37.5	45.6	40.5	28.4	30.4
2050	36.5	44.8	41.1	28.2	30.5

Table 12: (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity Under Alternative Assumptions  
 of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Brazos Valley Council of Governments

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	29.0	32.9	30.1	23.3	25.3
2020	30.6	33.7	31.9	24.8	29.3
2030	31.4	34.2	35.2	25.8	31.4
2040	31.4	32.8	36.9	27.3	34.1
2050	32.7	33.3	38.8	28.8	37.9
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	29.0	32.9	30.1	23.3	25.3
2020	30.7	34.5	32.1	25.0	29.5
2030	32.0	36.0	35.6	26.3	32.7
2040	32.3	35.3	37.7	27.8	34.8
2050	33.5	35.3	39.7	29.5	38.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	29.0	32.9	30.1	23.3	25.3
2020	30.7	35.0	32.6	25.2	29.6
2030	32.4	37.1	36.5	26.8	34.0
2040	32.7	36.7	39.1	28.2	35.1
2050	33.6	36.1	41.2	29.8	37.4

Table 12: (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity Under Alternative Assumptions  
 of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Capital Area Council of Governments

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	33.1	38.7	32.2	26.0	29.2
2020	36.6	42.0	35.5	28.7	33.2
2030	39.1	45.8	38.2	30.5	36.9
2040	39.9	47.5	40.9	32.6	40.0
2050	40.8	47.2	42.4	34.2	44.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	33.1	38.7	32.2	26.0	29.2
2020	36.2	41.3	35.6	28.3	32.9
2030	38.2	45.3	38.2	30.2	35.7
2040	39.0	47.6	40.9	32.5	38.0
2050	39.9	47.4	42.7	34.0	41.4
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	33.1	38.7	32.2	26.0	29.2
2020	35.8	40.7	35.6	28.0	32.8
2030	37.3	44.7	38.1	29.8	34.9
2040	38.0	47.5	40.6	32.5	36.8
2050	39.0	47.3	42.5	34.0	39.6

Table 12: (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity Under Alternative Assumptions  
 of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Central Texas Council of Governments

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	30.8	36.2	28.7	24.6	25.6
2020	33.6	37.3	31.9	27.1	29.5
2030	35.6	40.3	34.5	29.2	32.6
2040	36.4	40.0	36.2	31.8	35.8
2050	38.1	40.2	37.5	33.7	40.5
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	30.8	36.2	28.7	24.6	25.6
2020	32.9	37.0	31.8	26.6	28.4
2030	34.6	40.1	34.6	28.4	30.3
2040	35.1	40.0	36.3	30.8	32.7
2050	36.4	39.8	37.5	32.2	35.4
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	30.8	36.2	28.7	24.6	25.6
2020	32.3	36.5	31.7	26.2	27.5
2030	33.5	39.8	34.7	27.7	28.8
2040	33.5	39.3	36.7	29.7	30.8
2050	34.2	38.5	37.2	30.7	32.3

Table 12: (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity Under Alternative Assumptions  
 of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Coastal Bend Council of Governments

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	35.8	45.7	34.7	30.9	32.8
2020	36.6	46.2	36.5	32.4	36.7
2030	37.5	46.2	38.9	33.9	41.0
2040	38.3	46.4	40.4	35.3	44.8
2050	38.4	44.9	40.8	36.1	46.9
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	35.8	45.7	34.7	30.9	32.8
2020	36.4	47.0	36.5	32.2	35.3
2030	37.2	46.9	38.9	33.9	37.9
2040	38.1	46.8	40.5	35.5	39.6
2050	38.2	44.8	40.7	36.3	40.5
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	35.8	45.7	34.7	30.9	32.8
2020	36.4	48.0	36.8	32.1	34.2
2030	37.0	48.0	39.5	34.0	35.8
2040	38.2	47.5	41.3	36.1	36.3
2050	38.1	45.0	41.1	36.8	37.1

Table 12: (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity Under Alternative Assumptions  
 of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Concho Valley Council of Governments

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	36.9	44.2	29.3	28.5	29.3
2020	37.6	43.8	34.4	30.4	34.1
2030	37.7	43.9	38.1	31.9	39.3
2040	37.8	42.3	39.3	33.5	43.3
2050	38.1	41.3	41.1	34.6	46.9
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	36.9	44.2	29.3	28.5	29.3
2020	37.5	44.5	34.2	30.2	32.3
2030	37.2	44.1	37.6	31.7	36.3
2040	37.2	42.0	38.5	33.5	38.1
2050	37.3	40.4	39.8	34.5	40.9
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	36.9	44.2	29.3	28.5	29.3
2020	37.4	45.5	33.9	30.0	30.9
2030	36.7	44.5	37.2	31.6	33.5
2040	36.6	41.8	37.9	33.6	34.7
2050	36.6	39.5	38.4	34.5	37.1



Table 12: (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity Under Alternative Assumptions  
 of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Deep East Texas Council of Governments

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	39.1	44.6	33.8	24.3	27.1
2020	38.8	44.1	34.7	27.1	29.5
2030	38.5	42.3	36.6	29.3	32.0
2040	38.9	42.3	37.7	31.6	34.3
2050	38.8	41.5	38.8	32.6	36.2
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	39.1	44.6	33.8	24.3	27.1
2020	39.6	46.1	34.8	27.1	27.6
2030	39.2	45.2	36.6	29.4	28.2
2040	39.4	44.8	37.8	31.9	30.0
2050	39.2	43.7	38.6	33.1	29.9
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	39.1	44.6	33.8	24.3	27.1
2020	40.7	48.3	35.7	27.0	25.5
2030	40.4	49.4	37.9	29.5	25.4
2040	40.1	48.3	39.2	32.5	26.7
2050	39.3	46.9	39.4	33.8	26.7

Table 12: (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity Under Alternative Assumptions  
 of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 East Texas Council of Governments

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	38.6	44.1	35.0	23.7	27.2
2020	39.1	44.4	36.0	27.2	31.0
2030	40.2	44.3	39.0	29.6	34.4
2040	41.4	45.6	41.2	32.1	37.6
2050	42.1	46.0	42.5	33.3	41.2
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	38.6	44.1	35.0	23.7	27.2
2020	39.2	45.7	36.1	26.7	28.7
2030	39.7	46.2	39.0	28.7	30.8
2040	40.2	47.3	41.2	31.0	33.2
2050	40.2	47.5	42.3	31.6	34.9
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	38.6	44.1	35.0	23.7	27.2
2020	39.4	47.2	36.6	26.3	26.9
2030	39.0	48.7	39.3	28.3	28.6
2040	38.5	49.0	41.7	30.4	31.1
2050	37.6	48.9	42.3	30.5	31.9

Table 12: (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity Under Alternative Assumptions  
 of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	38.9	47.5	37.6	28.6	31.1
2020	38.3	47.4	37.3	30.5	31.2
2030	37.7	45.2	37.7	32.0	33.2
2040	38.2	44.4	39.4	33.8	34.4
2050	38.2	43.2	40.8	34.8	34.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	38.9	47.5	37.6	28.6	31.1
2020	38.3	48.3	37.4	30.2	29.9
2030	37.5	46.7	37.9	31.7	30.9
2040	37.8	45.5	39.5	33.5	30.9
2050	37.6	44.1	40.4	34.5	29.8
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	38.9	47.5	37.6	28.6	31.1
2020	38.5	49.5	37.8	30.2	27.6
2030	37.6	49.0	38.4	31.7	28.0
2040	37.6	47.5	39.9	33.5	28.0
2050	37.1	46.2	40.9	34.4	27.2

Table 12: (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity Under Alternative Assumptions  
 of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Heart of Texas Council of Governments

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	35.5	42.5	32.4	24.2	23.2
2020	36.3	42.5	34.3	27.7	28.9
2030	37.6	42.1	37.8	30.2	32.8
2040	38.5	42.2	40.5	32.7	37.1
2050	39.0	41.3	42.5	34.3	41.5
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	35.5	42.5	32.4	24.2	23.2
2020	36.1	43.8	34.5	27.1	27.8
2030	37.0	43.7	38.0	29.2	31.0
2040	37.7	43.8	40.8	31.3	34.6
2050	37.8	42.5	42.7	32.3	38.4
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	35.5	42.5	32.4	24.2	23.2
2020	36.0	45.4	34.9	26.5	27.1
2030	36.3	46.2	38.5	28.4	29.5
2040	36.5	45.7	41.5	30.2	32.5
2050	35.9	43.8	43.2	30.7	35.1

Table 12: (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity Under Alternative Assumptions  
 of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Houston-Galveston Area Council

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	33.2	41.5	32.1	26.6	33.5
2020	36.5	44.1	35.3	30.0	38.5
2030	38.9	46.3	38.4	32.4	43.4
2040	40.4	47.3	41.0	34.7	46.5
2050	41.8	47.8	42.9	36.5	49.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	33.2	41.5	32.1	26.6	33.5
2020	35.9	43.9	35.4	29.8	37.2
2030	37.9	46.3	38.4	32.2	40.7
2040	39.4	47.5	40.9	34.6	42.8
2050	40.8	48.0	42.8	36.3	45.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	33.2	41.5	32.1	26.6	33.5
2020	35.4	43.7	35.5	29.7	36.2
2030	37.1	46.1	38.3	32.2	38.9
2040	38.6	47.5	40.7	34.8	40.7
2050	40.1	48.1	42.5	36.6	42.6

Table 12: (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity Under Alternative Assumptions  
 of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	29.1	54.9	31.4	27.3	35.0
2020	29.8	54.6	36.2	28.5	39.3
2030	31.4	51.4	40.2	30.4	41.8
2040	32.7	50.3	42.4	31.8	44.8
2050	33.4	52.0	43.7	32.7	48.4
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	29.1	54.9	31.4	27.3	35.0
2020	30.0	56.8	36.2	28.6	37.8
2030	31.8	55.7	40.0	30.7	38.6
2040	33.5	53.6	41.7	32.5	41.1
2050	34.2	54.6	42.2	33.4	43.8
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	29.1	54.9	31.4	27.3	35.0
2020	30.3	59.7	35.9	28.7	36.8
2030	32.3	62.0	39.7	31.0	36.7
2040	34.4	59.4	41.6	33.3	38.9
2050	35.0	58.7	41.4	34.1	41.2

Table 12: (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity Under Alternative Assumptions  
 of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Middle Rio Grande Development Council

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	32.8	47.6	29.0	30.4	28.8
2020	32.4	47.7	35.0	30.2	34.0
2030	33.1	46.5	41.1	31.5	38.7
2040	33.3	46.9	46.7	31.7	42.2
2050	33.1	45.0	49.0	31.8	46.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	32.8	47.6	29.0	30.4	28.8
2020	32.7	48.3	35.1	30.4	33.8
2030	33.4	46.8	41.1	31.8	37.9
2040	33.6	45.9	46.6	32.2	39.7
2050	33.3	42.7	49.7	32.4	44.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	32.8	47.6	29.0	30.4	28.8
2020	33.2	49.5	35.1	30.9	32.3
2030	34.1	47.8	41.0	32.6	33.2
2040	34.5	45.7	46.9	33.4	35.2
2050	34.2	41.4	48.4	33.5	38.6

Table 12: (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity Under Alternative Assumptions  
 of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Nortex Regional Planning Commission

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	37.4	42.3	30.3	24.7	27.4
2020	37.5	42.2	32.7	27.8	31.5
2030	38.3	41.7	34.6	30.0	35.3
2040	38.8	42.0	36.0	32.5	38.1
2050	39.3	41.4	37.2	34.1	42.2
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	37.4	42.3	30.3	24.7	27.4
2020	37.5	43.0	32.5	27.3	30.0
2030	38.0	42.5	34.6	29.3	32.4
2040	38.2	42.7	35.8	31.7	34.7
2050	38.3	41.8	36.8	33.0	37.8
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	37.4	42.3	30.3	24.7	27.4
2020	37.6	44.0	32.8	26.8	29.4
2030	37.5	43.8	35.6	28.5	30.8
2040	37.2	43.6	37.1	30.7	32.7
2050	36.7	41.9	37.6	31.6	34.7



Table 12: (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity Under Alternative Assumptions  
 of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 North Central Texas Council of Governments

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	33.6	40.4	31.8	25.3	31.1
2020	36.7	43.2	34.9	28.3	36.2
2030	38.8	45.4	37.5	30.8	40.1
2040	40.3	46.6	39.9	33.5	43.0
2050	41.7	47.3	41.7	35.2	46.7
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	33.6	40.4	31.8	25.3	31.1
2020	35.9	43.1	35.3	27.9	34.5
2030	37.2	45.3	38.0	30.2	36.2
2040	38.6	46.4	40.7	32.8	38.2
2050	40.1	47.4	43.0	34.2	41.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	33.6	40.4	31.8	25.3	31.1
2020	35.1	42.9	35.6	27.6	33.1
2030	35.7	45.1	38.2	29.8	33.5
2040	37.0	46.1	41.2	32.5	35.2
2050	38.4	47.4	44.0	33.7	37.9

Table 12: (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity Under Alternative Assumptions  
 of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Panhandle Regional Planning Commission

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	34.7	42.3	31.3	24.9	27.9
2020	36.2	42.8	34.1	27.7	32.2
2030	37.3	43.6	37.1	30.0	35.5
2040	38.3	44.1	39.4	32.5	38.3
2050	39.3	44.2	41.3	33.7	41.6
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	34.7	42.3	31.3	24.9	27.9
2020	35.8	43.3	33.9	27.4	30.4
2030	36.4	44.2	36.8	29.6	32.4
2040	37.0	44.4	38.8	32.0	34.1
2050	37.7	44.2	40.7	33.2	36.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	34.7	42.3	31.3	24.9	27.9
2020	35.4	43.9	34.0	27.1	28.9
2030	35.3	44.9	36.8	29.1	29.7
2040	35.4	44.7	38.7	31.5	31.0
2050	35.6	43.9	39.8	32.5	31.6

Table 12: (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity Under Alternative Assumptions  
 of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Permian Basin Regional Planning Commission

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	33.3	42.2	32.8	27.0	30.6
2020	35.0	42.3	34.7	29.3	35.1
2030	36.9	44.3	37.8	31.1	38.7
2040	37.5	46.0	39.6	32.7	41.1
2050	38.3	45.7	41.4	34.0	44.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	33.3	42.2	32.8	27.0	30.6
2020	34.7	42.3	34.6	29.3	33.4
2030	36.2	44.0	37.6	31.2	35.7
2040	36.8	45.2	39.3	33.0	36.9
2050	37.5	44.4	41.0	34.3	38.9
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	33.3	42.2	32.8	27.0	30.6
2020	34.4	42.2	34.8	29.3	31.9
2030	35.6	43.6	38.0	31.5	32.7
2040	36.2	43.8	40.2	33.5	34.0
2050	36.7	42.0	41.8	34.8	35.6

Table 12: (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity Under Alternative Assumptions  
 of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Rio Grande Council of Governments

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	31.6	42.2	31.6	30.0	30.4
2020	32.9	42.5	34.0	31.5	34.8
2030	35.1	43.9	36.3	34.1	39.2
2040	36.7	44.2	37.6	35.9	41.0
2050	37.5	42.8	37.9	36.7	43.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	31.6	42.2	31.6	30.0	30.4
2020	32.4	42.4	33.9	31.1	33.6
2030	34.5	43.4	36.1	33.6	36.0
2040	36.1	43.3	37.3	35.6	36.4
2050	36.6	41.5	37.6	36.2	38.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	31.6	42.2	31.6	30.0	30.4
2020	31.9	42.1	34.0	30.7	32.5
2030	33.9	42.5	36.3	33.2	33.9
2040	35.6	41.4	37.2	35.3	33.6
2050	35.8	39.2	37.0	35.7	34.8

Table 12: (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity Under Alternative Assumptions  
 of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 South East Texas Regional Planning Commission

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	36.9	42.1	33.2	26.6	29.8
2020	37.6	42.4	34.2	29.3	33.4
2030	39.0	43.2	36.2	31.2	36.9
2040	40.1	44.1	37.6	33.0	39.6
2050	40.6	43.9	38.9	34.4	42.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	36.9	42.1	33.2	26.6	29.8
2020	37.4	42.9	34.5	28.5	31.8
2030	38.0	43.7	36.3	30.0	33.9
2040	38.3	44.4	37.5	31.6	35.0
2050	38.4	44.0	38.4	32.4	36.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	36.9	42.1	33.2	26.6	29.8
2020	36.9	43.4	35.1	27.9	30.3
2030	36.7	44.1	36.8	29.2	31.6
2040	36.1	44.1	37.8	30.7	32.2
2050	35.5	43.1	38.1	31.2	32.8

Table 12: (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity Under Alternative Assumptions  
 of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 South Plains Association of Governments

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	31.5	38.6	28.7	25.9	26.6
2020	33.4	38.9	31.4	28.4	31.5
2030	35.1	40.8	34.4	30.1	35.0
2040	35.8	41.4	36.0	31.9	38.0
2050	36.5	40.8	37.8	33.1	41.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	31.5	38.6	28.7	25.9	26.6
2020	32.6	38.1	31.2	28.0	31.0
2030	33.8	39.4	34.3	29.7	33.8
2040	34.3	38.9	36.0	31.4	36.1
2050	35.0	38.0	37.4	32.4	38.6
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	31.5	38.6	28.7	25.9	26.6
2020	31.8	37.1	30.9	27.7	30.7
2030	32.5	37.3	33.9	29.4	33.4
2040	32.9	35.6	35.5	30.9	35.1
2050	33.5	34.8	36.4	31.7	37.0

Table 12: (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity Under Alternative Assumptions  
 of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 South Texas Development Council

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	28.1	36.4	31.3	27.8	33.4
2020	29.0	39.0	37.2	28.6	38.7
2030	30.6	42.2	38.6	30.2	45.8
2040	31.5	44.7	40.2	31.0	51.6
2050	32.2	46.8	42.5	31.8	55.3
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	28.1	36.4	31.3	27.8	33.4
2020	29.0	38.7	37.3	28.7	37.4
2030	31.0	41.5	38.7	30.6	43.6
2040	32.4	43.8	41.3	32.0	47.5
2050	33.0	45.6	42.5	32.7	49.1
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	28.1	36.4	31.3	27.8	33.4
2020	29.2	38.4	37.6	28.8	36.1
2030	31.4	41.2	39.5	31.0	39.5
2040	33.2	43.4	42.3	32.8	40.7
2050	33.8	45.7	42.3	33.5	41.0

Table 12: (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity Under Alternative Assumptions  
 of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 Texoma Council of Governments

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	39.7	44.0	33.6	23.5	27.5
2020	40.0	44.4	35.1	27.5	32.8
2030	40.7	43.6	37.9	30.4	38.6
2040	42.4	45.2	40.3	33.2	43.3
2050	43.4	45.5	42.3	35.2	48.6
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	39.7	44.0	33.6	23.5	27.5
2020	40.5	46.1	35.0	26.7	31.0
2030	40.5	46.1	37.8	29.1	35.6
2040	41.6	47.1	40.3	31.7	39.2
2050	41.9	47.0	41.9	32.8	43.4
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	39.7	44.0	33.6	23.5	27.5
2020	40.9	47.9	35.1	26.2	29.2
2030	39.9	49.3	38.2	28.4	32.2
2040	39.8	49.0	40.8	30.8	35.2
2050	39.2	48.2	42.8	31.0	39.8



Table 12: (continued)  
 Median Age by Race/Ethnicity Under Alternative Assumptions  
 of Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for  
 West Central Texas Council of Governments

Year	Total	Anglo	Black	Hispanic	Other
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)					
2010	37.7	43.7	29.8	26.3	26.6
2020	37.6	42.6	32.4	29.4	32.3
2030	39.4	42.8	36.7	32.0	37.8
2040	40.5	44.4	38.5	34.5	41.4
2050	41.2	43.8	40.1	36.5	46.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)					
2010	37.7	43.7	29.8	26.3	26.6
2020	37.6	43.7	32.3	29.0	30.9
2030	39.0	43.6	36.6	31.3	34.5
2040	39.8	44.9	38.4	33.8	37.2
2050	40.2	43.9	39.5	35.6	41.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)					
2010	37.7	43.7	29.8	26.3	26.6
2020	37.7	45.0	32.1	28.6	29.7
2030	38.6	45.2	36.5	30.6	31.9
2040	38.8	45.7	38.2	33.1	34.3
2050	39.0	43.9	38.9	34.9	37.8



Table 13:  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Alamo Area Council of Governments

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-99,109	-21.8
Black	18,754	4.1
Hispanic	502,572	110.6
Other	32,205	7.1
Total	454,422	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	27,560	2.2
Black	60,976	4.8
Hispanic	1,027,456	80.9
Other	153,371	12.1
Total	1,269,363	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	178,773	8.2
Black	100,108	4.5
Hispanic	1,505,146	68.4
Other	416,568	18.9
Total	2,200,595	100.0

Table 13 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Ark-Tex Council of Governments

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-12,343	-44.5
Black	6,271	22.6
Hispanic	28,428	102.5
Other	5,382	19.4
Total	27,738	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-20,553	-42.1
Black	6,734	13.8
Hispanic	50,985	104.3
Other	11,713	24.0
Total	48,879	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-38,163	-49.1
Black	3,266	4.2
Hispanic	82,627	106.3
Other	30,016	38.6
Total	77,746	100.0

Table 13 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Brazos Valley Council of Governments

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	7,358	8.3
Black	7,762	8.7
Hispanic	67,235	75.7
Other	6,458	7.3
Total	88,813	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	25,537	13.7
Black	13,444	7.3
Hispanic	126,886	68.7
Other	18,960	10.3
Total	184,827	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	29,996	10.3
Black	16,241	5.6
Hispanic	201,676	69.6
Other	41,963	14.5
Total	289,876	100.0

Table 13 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Capital Area Council of Governments

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-58,314	-14.9
Black	11,241	2.9
Hispanic	397,616	101.7
Other	40,316	10.3
Total	390,859	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	265,807	16.9
Black	60,841	3.9
Hispanic	1,027,642	65.5
Other	214,389	13.7
Total	1,568,679	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	679,319	19.2
Black	132,947	3.8
Hispanic	2,138,252	60.6
Other	577,605	16.4
Total	3,528,123	100.0

Table 13 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Central Texas Council of Governments

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	30,232	20.6
Black	27,408	18.7
Hispanic	71,718	48.9
Other	17,382	11.8
Total	146,740	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	51,102	17.2
Black	40,767	13.7
Hispanic	149,506	50.3
Other	55,811	18.8
Total	297,186	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	55,661	11.9
Black	41,846	9.0
Hispanic	243,864	52.5
Other	123,404	26.6
Total	464,775	100.0

Table 13 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Coastal Bend Council of Governments

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-24,468	-19.0
Black	598	0.5
Hispanic	148,948	115.1
Other	4,350	3.4
Total	129,428	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-42,443	-27.1
Black	593	0.4
Hispanic	185,139	118.1
Other	13,542	8.6
Total	156,831	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-69,736	-51.1
Black	-1,293	-0.9
Hispanic	178,629	130.7
Other	29,036	21.3
Total	136,636	100.0



Table 13 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Concho Valley Council of Governments

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-6,814	-26.3
Black	187	0.7
Hispanic	31,456	121.3
Other	1,110	4.3
Total	25,939	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-13,636	-55.5
Black	-98	-0.4
Hispanic	35,664	145.2
Other	2,624	10.7
Total	24,554	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-24,215	-139.2
Black	-623	-3.6
Hispanic	36,802	211.6
Other	5,431	31.2
Total	17,395	100.0

Table 13 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Deep East Texas Council of Governments

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-11,074	-23.5
Black	8,320	17.7
Hispanic	43,456	92.2
Other	6,415	13.6
Total	47,117	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-7,473	-8.7
Black	10,539	12.2
Hispanic	73,083	84.4
Other	10,456	12.1
Total	86,605	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-16,694	-13.1
Black	7,015	5.5
Hispanic	111,448	88.1
Other	24,669	19.5
Total	126,438	100.0

Table 13 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 East Texas Council of Governments

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-54,082	-75.7
Black	7,829	11.0
Hispanic	104,984	146.9
Other	12,725	17.8
Total	71,456	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-40,125	-15.0
Black	15,468	5.8
Hispanic	254,655	95.7
Other	36,015	13.5
Total	266,013	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-57,229	-10.9
Black	13,347	2.5
Hispanic	475,206	90.4
Other	94,631	18.0
Total	525,955	100.0

Table 13 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-9,784	-24.8
Black	1,670	4.2
Hispanic	44,349	112.4
Other	3,235	8.2
Total	39,470	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-17,901	-38.0
Black	1,177	2.5
Hispanic	58,668	124.6
Other	5,154	10.9
Total	47,098	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-29,995	-62.7
Black	575	1.2
Hispanic	65,590	137.0
Other	11,718	24.5
Total	47,888	100.0

Table 13 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Heart of Texas Council of Governments

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-12,818	-23.7
Black	4,267	7.9
Hispanic	58,540	108.4
Other	4,001	7.4
Total	53,990	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-23,942	-25.5
Black	6,030	6.4
Hispanic	101,765	108.3
Other	10,123	10.8
Total	93,976	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-46,349	-37.1
Black	3,194	2.6
Hispanic	147,715	118.1
Other	20,532	16.4
Total	125,092	100.0

Table 13 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Houston-Galveston Area Council

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-209,990	-15.6
Black	91,680	6.8
Hispanic	1,365,897	101.0
Other	105,424	7.8
Total	1,353,011	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-129,387	-3.2
Black	385,680	9.4
Hispanic	3,133,629	76.1
Other	728,514	17.7
Total	4,118,436	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-49,693	-0.6
Black	733,577	8.8
Hispanic	5,703,069	68.5
Other	1,942,556	23.3
Total	8,329,509	100.0

Table 13 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-43,974	-5.9
Black	798	0.1
Hispanic	787,196	105.3
Other	3,859	0.5
Total	747,879	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-27,749	-2.5
Black	1,274	0.1
Hispanic	1,122,440	100.6
Other	20,305	1.8
Total	1,116,270	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-9,770	-0.8
Black	2,643	0.2
Hispanic	1,313,718	96.8
Other	51,251	3.8
Total	1,357,842	100.0

Table 13 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Middle Rio Grande Development Council

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-2,399	-2.7
Black	241	0.3
Hispanic	91,894	101.3
Other	954	1.1
Total	90,690	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-4,295	-5.4
Black	167	0.2
Hispanic	82,921	104.1
Other	896	1.1
Total	79,689	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-7,080	-13.9
Black	15	0.0
Hispanic	56,020	109.5
Other	2,227	4.4
Total	51,182	100.0



Table 13 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Nortex Regional Planning Commission

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-5,462	-20.6
Black	2,679	10.1
Hispanic	25,927	97.7
Other	3,404	12.8
Total	26,548	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-19,085	-63.7
Black	1,945	6.5
Hispanic	40,536	135.3
Other	6,571	21.9
Total	29,967	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-39,966	-134.2
Black	-198	-0.7
Hispanic	60,049	201.7
Other	9,890	33.2
Total	29,775	100.0

Table 13 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 North Central Texas Council of Governments

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-196,290	-14.1
Black	133,845	9.6
Hispanic	1,311,257	94.0
Other	146,621	10.5
Total	1,395,433	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-16,506	-0.4
Black	537,782	12.1
Hispanic	3,079,987	69.1
Other	854,346	19.2
Total	4,455,609	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	274,812	2.8
Black	1,141,769	11.4
Hispanic	6,160,131	61.6
Other	2,424,947	24.2
Total	10,001,659	100.0

Table 13 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Panhandle Regional Planning Commission

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-7,830	-7.0
Black	3,694	3.3
Hispanic	107,149	95.3
Other	9,432	8.4
Total	112,445	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-20,895	-11.2
Black	5,819	3.1
Hispanic	175,681	93.6
Other	27,137	14.5
Total	187,742	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-45,993	-15.4
Black	8,093	2.7
Hispanic	275,108	92.3
Other	60,909	20.4
Total	298,117	100.0

Table 13 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Permian Basin Regional Planning Commission

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-8,859	-6.5
Black	2,919	2.1
Hispanic	137,970	101.2
Other	4,323	3.2
Total	136,353	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-16,650	-8.0
Black	3,719	1.8
Hispanic	208,566	101.0
Other	10,831	5.2
Total	206,466	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-31,699	-12.3
Black	2,512	1.0
Hispanic	262,234	101.2
Other	26,166	10.1
Total	259,213	100.0

Table 13 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Rio Grande Council of Governments

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-9,444	-3.0
Black	4,145	1.3
Hispanic	307,369	99.5
Other	6,776	2.2
Total	308,846	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-25,053	-5.2
Black	4,818	1.0
Hispanic	479,316	99.8
Other	21,267	4.4
Total	480,348	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-43,807	-7.6
Black	2,502	0.4
Hispanic	571,874	99.8
Other	42,507	7.4
Total	573,076	100.0

Table 13 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 South East Texas Regional Planning Commission

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-10,713	-24.8
Black	11,860	27.5
Hispanic	34,946	80.9
Other	7,100	16.4
Total	43,193	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-25,402	-26.3
Black	10,169	10.5
Hispanic	92,496	95.9
Other	19,206	19.9
Total	96,469	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-50,708	-31.1
Black	-2,688	-1.7
Hispanic	175,846	108.0
Other	40,412	24.8
Total	162,862	100.0

Table 13 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 South Plains Association of Governments

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-7,707	-6.6
Black	6,609	5.6
Hispanic	113,601	96.7
Other	5,001	4.3
Total	117,504	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-13,319	-8.4
Black	7,796	4.9
Hispanic	146,412	92.6
Other	17,264	10.9
Total	158,153	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-28,148	-15.6
Black	5,269	2.9
Hispanic	162,667	90.2
Other	40,536	22.5
Total	180,324	100.0

Table 13 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 South Texas Development Council

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	886	0.4
Black	287	0.1
Hispanic	242,912	99.3
Other	598	0.2
Total	244,683	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	1,245	0.4
Black	236	0.1
Hispanic	294,361	99.2
Other	931	0.3
Total	296,773	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	648	0.3
Black	151	0.0
Hispanic	303,104	98.3
Other	4,373	1.4
Total	308,276	100.0



Table 13 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 Texoma Council of Governments

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-13,133	-158.2
Black	1,014	12.2
Hispanic	18,277	220.2
Other	2,141	25.8
Total	8,299	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-12,764	-31.1
Black	567	1.4
Hispanic	45,708	111.3
Other	7,538	18.4
Total	41,049	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-22,577	-27.2
Black	124	0.1
Hispanic	86,172	103.9
Other	19,199	23.2
Total	82,918	100.0

Table 13 (continued)  
 Percent of Net Change from 2010-2050 Due to Each Race/Ethnicity  
 Group Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-  
 Specific Net Migration for  
 West Central Texas Council of Governments

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-11,568	-28.9
Black	3,251	8.1
Hispanic	44,856	112.3
Other	3,399	8.5
Total	39,938	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)		
Anglo	-26,047	-56.3
Black	2,489	5.4
Hispanic	61,939	134.0
Other	7,825	16.9
Total	46,206	100.0
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)		
Anglo	-50,117	-103.4
Black	609	1.3
Hispanic	81,144	167.4
Other	16,828	34.7
Total	48,464	100.0



Table 14: Total Population and Population by Race/Ethnicity in 2010 and Projected Population and Percent Population Change 2010-2050 Under Alternative Assumptions of Age, Sex and Race/Ethnicity-Specific Net Migration for Counties in Texas – Alphabetical Listing

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Assuming Zero Net Migration (0.0 Scenario)										
Anderson	58,458	60,186	61,244	61,342	61,012	3.0	1.8	0.2	-0.5	4.4
Andrews	14,786	16,450	17,973	19,378	20,682	11.3	9.3	7.8	6.7	39.9
Angelina	86,771	91,979	96,610	100,020	102,725	6.0	5.0	3.5	2.7	18.4
Aransas	23,158	22,926	22,325	21,732	21,648	-1.0	-2.6	-2.7	-0.4	-6.5
Archer	9,054	9,060	9,202	9,015	8,679	0.1	1.6	-2.0	-3.7	-4.1
Armstrong	1,901	1,924	1,886	1,802	1,713	1.2	-2.0	-4.5	-4.9	-9.9
Atascosa	44,911	48,394	51,994	54,440	56,773	7.8	7.4	4.7	4.3	26.4
Austin	28,417	29,718	30,831	31,363	32,018	4.6	3.7	1.7	2.1	12.7
Bailey	7,165	7,908	8,705	9,429	10,074	10.4	10.1	8.3	6.8	40.6
Bandera	20,485	20,107	19,566	18,394	17,434	-1.8	-2.7	-6.0	-5.2	-14.9
Bastrop	74,171	77,713	81,327	82,528	82,786	4.8	4.7	1.5	0.3	11.6
Baylor	3,726	3,644	3,562	3,468	3,356	-2.2	-2.3	-2.6	-3.2	-9.9
Bee	31,861	33,577	35,187	36,191	36,851	5.4	4.8	2.9	1.8	15.7
Bell	310,235	348,738	377,619	405,601	428,845	12.4	8.3	7.4	5.7	38.2
Bexar	1,714,773	1,870,689	1,992,798	2,078,166	2,136,918	9.1	6.5	4.3	2.8	24.6
Blanco	10,497	10,480	10,533	10,235	10,022	-0.2	0.5	-2.8	-2.1	-4.5
Borden	641	668	692	668	630	4.2	3.6	-3.5	-5.7	-1.7
Bosque	18,212	18,316	18,535	18,443	18,655	0.6	1.2	-0.5	1.1	2.4
Bowie	92,565	95,735	98,206	98,772	98,626	3.4	2.6	0.6	-0.1	6.5
Brazoria	313,166	337,724	358,541	374,615	383,428	7.8	6.2	4.5	2.4	22.4
Brazos	194,851	214,735	234,965	253,555	274,958	10.2	9.4	7.9	8.4	41.1
Brewster	9,232	9,808	10,405	11,094	12,068	6.2	6.1	6.6	8.8	30.7
Briscoe	1,637	1,724	1,768	1,793	1,839	5.3	2.6	1.4	2.6	12.3

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Brooks	7,223	7,837	8,458	9,135	9,848	8.5	7.9	8.0	7.8	36.3
Brown	38,106	39,167	39,626	39,250	38,850	2.8	1.2	-0.9	-1.0	2.0
Burleson	17,187	17,437	17,623	17,375	17,082	1.5	1.1	-1.4	-1.7	-0.6
Burnet	42,750	43,529	44,299	44,408	44,902	1.8	1.8	0.2	1.1	5.0
Caldwell	38,066	40,260	42,011	42,586	42,544	5.8	4.3	1.4	-0.1	11.8
Calhoun	21,381	22,648	23,795	24,592	25,175	5.9	5.1	3.3	2.4	17.7
Callahan	13,544	13,680	13,988	13,885	13,742	1.0	2.3	-0.7	-1.0	1.5
Cameron	406,220	464,304	528,434	592,589	662,685	14.3	13.8	12.1	11.8	63.1
Camp	12,401	13,059	13,734	14,211	14,696	5.3	5.2	3.5	3.4	18.5
Carson	6,182	6,235	6,408	6,444	6,400	0.9	2.8	0.6	-0.7	3.5
Cass	30,464	30,531	30,473	30,007	29,609	0.2	-0.2	-1.5	-1.3	-2.8
Castro	8,062	8,889	9,732	10,446	11,159	10.3	9.5	7.3	6.8	38.4
Chambers	35,096	37,277	39,346	39,836	39,446	6.2	5.6	1.2	-1.0	12.4
Cherokee	50,845	53,662	56,407	58,604	60,948	5.5	5.1	3.9	4.0	19.9
Childress	7,041	7,317	7,655	8,042	8,460	3.9	4.6	5.1	5.2	20.2
Clay	10,752	10,805	10,833	10,613	10,343	0.5	0.3	-2.0	-2.5	-3.8
Cochran	3,127	3,538	3,914	4,183	4,427	13.1	10.6	6.9	5.8	41.6
Coke	3,320	3,209	3,182	3,105	3,045	-3.3	-0.8	-2.4	-1.9	-8.3
Coleman	8,895	8,900	8,968	8,969	9,063	0.1	0.8	0.0	1.0	1.9
Collin	782,341	828,675	865,233	884,212	865,919	5.9	4.4	2.2	-2.1	10.7
Collingsworth	3,057	3,263	3,500	3,724	3,920	6.7	7.3	6.4	5.3	28.2
Colorado	20,874	21,339	21,878	22,218	22,864	2.2	2.5	1.6	2.9	9.5
Comal	108,472	110,894	113,146	112,556	111,647	2.2	2.0	-0.5	-0.8	2.9
Comanche	13,974	14,316	14,790	15,198	15,778	2.4	3.3	2.8	3.8	12.9
Concho	4,087	4,213	4,309	4,386	4,447	3.1	2.3	1.8	1.4	8.8
Cooke	38,437	40,175	41,797	42,601	43,366	4.5	4.0	1.9	1.8	12.8
Coryell	75,388	81,351	87,176	92,342	95,405	7.9	7.2	5.9	3.3	26.6

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Cottle	1,505	1,587	1,616	1,650	1,678	5.4	1.8	2.1	1.7	11.5
Crane	4,375	4,966	5,522	5,884	6,182	13.5	11.2	6.6	5.1	41.3
Crockett	3,719	4,192	4,549	4,769	5,053	12.7	8.5	4.8	6.0	35.9
Crosby	6,059	6,473	6,880	7,166	7,445	6.8	6.3	4.2	3.9	22.9
Culberson	2,398	2,757	3,080	3,311	3,569	15.0	11.7	7.5	7.8	48.8
Dallam	6,703	7,740	8,644	9,531	10,370	15.5	11.7	10.3	8.8	54.7
Dallas	2,368,139	2,606,067	2,792,044	2,938,026	3,049,758	10.0	7.1	5.2	3.8	28.8
Dawson	13,833	14,704	15,512	16,430	17,330	6.3	5.5	5.9	5.5	25.3
Deaf Smith	19,372	21,906	24,918	28,004	31,343	13.1	13.7	12.4	11.9	61.8
Delta	5,231	5,306	5,347	5,342	5,316	1.4	0.8	-0.1	-0.5	1.6
Denton	662,614	720,105	763,485	791,841	796,412	8.7	6.0	3.7	0.6	20.2
De Witt	20,097	20,550	21,051	21,345	21,760	2.3	2.4	1.4	1.9	8.3
Dickens	2,444	2,495	2,524	2,511	2,477	2.1	1.2	-0.5	-1.4	1.4
Dimmit	9,996	11,027	12,206	13,222	14,421	10.3	10.7	8.3	9.1	44.3
Donley	3,677	3,765	3,786	3,700	3,687	2.4	0.6	-2.3	-0.4	0.3
Duval	11,782	12,708	13,550	14,351	15,189	7.9	6.6	5.9	5.8	28.9
Eastland	18,583	19,019	19,258	19,260	19,388	2.3	1.3	0.0	0.7	4.3
Ector	137,130	153,315	167,301	180,950	193,477	11.8	9.1	8.2	6.9	41.1
Edwards	2,002	2,162	2,202	2,228	2,285	8.0	1.9	1.2	2.6	14.1
Ellis	149,610	159,859	169,689	174,273	175,957	6.9	6.1	2.7	1.0	17.6
El Paso	800,647	889,003	972,618	1,041,020	1,100,897	11.0	9.4	7.0	5.8	37.5
Erath	37,890	40,486	43,511	46,112	49,136	6.9	7.5	6.0	6.6	29.7
Falls	17,866	18,388	18,581	18,563	18,507	2.9	1.0	-0.1	-0.3	3.6
Fannin	33,915	34,480	35,019	35,018	34,994	1.7	1.6	-0.0	-0.1	3.2
Fayette	24,554	24,174	24,104	23,565	23,169	-1.5	-0.3	-2.2	-1.7	-5.6
Fisher	3,974	4,025	4,099	4,118	4,150	1.3	1.8	0.5	0.8	4.4
Floyd	6,446	6,876	7,393	7,785	8,192	6.7	7.5	5.3	5.2	27.1

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Foard	1,336	1,405	1,448	1,416	1,413	5.2	3.1	-2.2	-0.2	5.8
Fort Bend	585,375	620,488	653,326	667,200	656,122	6.0	5.3	2.1	-1.7	12.1
Franklin	10,605	10,748	10,874	10,849	10,777	1.3	1.2	-0.2	-0.7	1.6
Freestone	19,816	20,442	21,073	21,473	22,102	3.2	3.1	1.9	2.9	11.5
Frio	17,217	18,646	20,068	21,316	22,604	8.3	7.6	6.2	6.0	31.3
Gaines	17,526	20,064	22,858	25,644	28,208	14.5	13.9	12.2	10.0	60.9
Galveston	291,309	307,372	318,459	321,886	320,135	5.5	3.6	1.1	-0.5	9.9
Garza	6,461	6,941	7,361	7,782	8,175	7.4	6.1	5.7	5.1	26.5
Gillespie	24,837	23,907	23,525	23,178	23,496	-3.7	-1.6	-1.5	1.4	-5.4
Glasscock	1,226	1,354	1,464	1,505	1,530	10.4	8.1	2.8	1.7	24.8
Goliad	7,210	7,252	7,271	7,050	6,847	0.6	0.3	-3.0	-2.9	-5.0
Gonzales	19,807	21,350	23,077	24,687	26,541	7.8	8.1	7.0	7.5	34.0
Gray	22,535	24,085	25,817	27,640	29,650	6.9	7.2	7.1	7.3	31.6
Grayson	120,877	124,234	125,852	125,110	123,168	2.8	1.3	-0.6	-1.6	1.9
Gregg	121,730	129,243	134,510	138,490	141,308	6.2	4.1	3.0	2.0	16.1
Grimes	26,604	27,928	29,050	29,642	30,318	5.0	4.0	2.0	2.3	14.0
Guadalupe	131,533	137,706	143,384	144,899	143,186	4.7	4.1	1.1	-1.2	8.9
Hale	36,273	40,356	44,781	49,255	54,005	11.3	11.0	10.0	9.6	48.9
Hall	3,353	3,405	3,569	3,679	3,757	1.6	4.8	3.1	2.1	12.0
Hamilton	8,517	8,344	8,300	8,315	8,396	-2.0	-0.5	0.2	1.0	-1.4
Hansford	5,613	6,128	6,735	7,239	7,715	9.2	9.9	7.5	6.6	37.4
Hardeman	4,139	4,251	4,391	4,490	4,581	2.7	3.3	2.3	2.0	10.7
Hardin	54,635	56,845	58,334	58,436	57,740	4.0	2.6	0.2	-1.2	5.7
Harris	4,092,459	4,489,883	4,790,725	5,011,544	5,144,422	9.7	6.7	4.6	2.7	25.7
Harrison	65,631	68,752	71,399	72,722	73,674	4.8	3.9	1.9	1.3	12.3
Hartley	6,062	6,274	6,640	6,874	7,060	3.5	5.8	3.5	2.7	16.5
Haskell	5,899	5,880	5,943	5,950	5,972	-0.3	1.1	0.1	0.4	1.2

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Hays	157,107	183,040	202,195	218,271	235,986	16.5	10.5	8.0	8.1	50.2
Hemphill	3,807	4,139	4,513	4,862	5,180	8.7	9.0	7.7	6.5	36.1
Henderson	78,532	80,156	80,912	81,109	82,173	2.1	0.9	0.2	1.3	4.6
Hidalgo	774,769	890,857	1,015,658	1,136,305	1,255,303	15.0	14.0	11.9	10.5	62.0
Hill	35,089	36,333	37,362	37,891	38,637	3.5	2.8	1.4	2.0	10.1
Hockley	22,935	25,064	26,821	28,171	29,245	9.3	7.0	5.0	3.8	27.5
Hood	51,182	50,806	50,119	49,000	48,223	-0.7	-1.4	-2.2	-1.6	-5.8
Hopkins	35,161	36,969	38,552	39,614	40,653	5.1	4.3	2.8	2.6	15.6
Houston	23,732	23,709	23,642	23,668	23,804	-0.1	-0.3	0.1	0.6	0.3
Howard	35,012	36,604	37,991	38,926	39,277	4.5	3.8	2.5	0.9	12.2
Hudspeth	3,476	3,929	4,363	4,581	4,833	13.0	11.0	5.0	5.5	39.0
Hunt	86,129	90,670	95,009	97,933	100,748	5.3	4.8	3.1	2.9	17.0
Hutchinson	22,150	23,379	24,698	25,546	26,231	5.5	5.6	3.4	2.7	18.4
Irion	1,599	1,738	1,854	1,884	1,921	8.7	6.7	1.6	2.0	20.1
Jack	9,044	9,339	9,643	9,790	9,958	3.3	3.3	1.5	1.7	10.1
Jackson	14,075	14,901	15,755	16,420	17,098	5.9	5.7	4.2	4.1	21.5
Jasper	35,710	36,806	37,817	38,511	39,073	3.1	2.7	1.8	1.5	9.4
Jeff Davis	2,342	2,453	2,461	2,416	2,474	4.7	0.3	-1.8	2.4	5.6
Jefferson	252,273	264,860	275,032	281,893	287,222	5.0	3.8	2.5	1.9	13.9
Jim Hogg	5,300	5,859	6,432	6,994	7,601	10.5	9.8	8.7	8.7	43.4
Jim Wells	40,838	45,962	51,024	56,375	62,653	12.5	11.0	10.5	11.1	53.4
Johnson	150,934	160,685	169,289	173,814	176,390	6.5	5.4	2.7	1.5	16.9
Jones	20,202	20,662	20,995	21,016	20,982	2.3	1.6	0.1	-0.2	3.9
Karnes	14,824	15,203	15,519	15,765	15,854	2.6	2.1	1.6	0.6	6.9
Kaufman	103,350	110,241	117,098	121,108	122,944	6.7	6.2	3.4	1.5	19.0
Kendall	33,410	33,619	34,317	33,973	33,307	0.6	2.1	-1.0	-2.0	-0.3
Kenedy	416	453	477	476	465	8.9	5.3	-0.2	-2.3	11.8



Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Kent	808	819	865	843	825	1.4	5.6	-2.5	-2.1	2.1
Kerr	49,625	48,544	47,648	46,701	46,410	-2.2	-1.8	-2.0	-0.6	-6.5
Kimble	4,607	4,549	4,547	4,448	4,454	-1.3	-0.0	-2.2	0.1	-3.3
King	286	300	316	313	286	4.9	5.3	-0.9	-8.6	0.0
Kinney	3,598	3,686	3,749	3,782	3,874	2.4	1.7	0.9	2.4	7.7
Kleberg	32,061	35,447	38,711	42,082	45,605	10.6	9.2	8.7	8.4	42.2
Knox	3,719	3,884	4,145	4,410	4,680	4.4	6.7	6.4	6.1	25.8
Lamar	49,793	51,527	52,728	53,121	53,336	3.5	2.3	0.7	0.4	7.1
Lamb	13,977	15,086	16,448	17,819	19,311	7.9	9.0	8.3	8.4	38.2
Lampasas	19,677	20,607	21,495	21,891	22,283	4.7	4.3	1.8	1.8	13.2
La Salle	6,886	7,596	8,166	8,660	9,186	10.3	7.5	6.0	6.1	33.4
Lavaca	19,263	19,016	19,132	19,004	18,978	-1.3	0.6	-0.7	-0.1	-1.5
Lee	16,612	17,191	17,966	18,236	18,357	3.5	4.5	1.5	0.7	10.5
Leon	16,801	17,082	17,350	17,505	17,962	1.7	1.6	0.9	2.6	6.9
Liberty	75,643	81,082	85,284	87,919	89,830	7.2	5.2	3.1	2.2	18.8
Limestone	23,384	24,499	25,317	25,953	26,658	4.8	3.3	2.5	2.7	14.0
Lipscomb	3,302	3,548	3,817	4,011	4,167	7.5	7.6	5.1	3.9	26.2
Live Oak	11,531	11,696	11,788	11,754	11,861	1.4	0.8	-0.3	0.9	2.9
Llano	19,301	17,815	16,419	15,297	14,839	-7.7	-7.8	-6.8	-3.0	-23.1
Loving	82	83	79	67	50	1.2	-4.8	-15.2	-25.4	-39.0
Lubbock	278,831	299,140	317,791	333,269	347,502	7.3	6.2	4.9	4.3	24.6
Lynn	5,915	6,450	7,014	7,446	7,986	9.0	8.7	6.2	7.3	35.0
McCulloch	8,283	8,502	8,758	8,909	9,184	2.6	3.0	1.7	3.1	10.9
McLennan	234,906	248,460	261,480	270,842	278,704	5.8	5.2	3.6	2.9	18.6
McMullen	707	771	795	802	808	9.1	3.1	0.9	0.7	14.3
Madison	13,664	14,282	14,826	15,278	15,833	4.5	3.8	3.0	3.6	15.9
Marion	10,546	10,394	9,926	9,463	9,198	-1.4	-4.5	-4.7	-2.8	-12.8

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Martin	4,799	5,457	6,068	6,520	7,021	13.7	11.2	7.4	7.7	46.3
Mason	4,012	3,875	3,851	3,762	3,716	-3.4	-0.6	-2.3	-1.2	-7.4
Matagorda	36,702	39,023	41,089	42,690	44,325	6.3	5.3	3.9	3.8	20.8
Maverick	54,258	62,775	72,335	81,691	92,230	15.7	15.2	12.9	12.9	70.0
Medina	46,006	48,032	50,296	51,366	51,937	4.4	4.7	2.1	1.1	12.9
Menard	2,242	2,235	2,181	2,104	2,049	-0.3	-2.4	-3.5	-2.6	-8.6
Midland	136,872	148,704	158,483	166,063	171,713	8.6	6.6	4.8	3.4	25.5
Milam	24,757	25,936	27,285	28,408	29,928	4.8	5.2	4.1	5.4	20.9
Mills	4,936	4,871	4,952	4,993	5,060	-1.3	1.7	0.8	1.3	2.5
Mitchell	9,403	9,775	10,141	10,410	10,713	4.0	3.7	2.7	2.9	13.9
Montague	19,719	20,049	20,402	20,548	20,862	1.7	1.8	0.7	1.5	5.8
Montgomery	455,746	483,418	507,853	520,288	522,040	6.1	5.1	2.4	0.3	14.5
Moore	21,904	24,899	27,902	30,974	33,987	13.7	12.1	11.0	9.7	55.2
Morris	12,934	13,313	13,452	13,632	13,910	2.9	1.0	1.3	2.0	7.5
Motley	1,210	1,228	1,227	1,180	1,152	1.5	-0.1	-3.8	-2.4	-4.8
Nacogdoches	64,524	69,817	76,033	82,163	89,389	8.2	8.9	8.1	8.8	38.5
Navarro	47,735	50,682	53,423	55,682	57,978	6.2	5.4	4.2	4.1	21.5
Newton	14,445	14,869	15,055	14,869	14,773	2.9	1.3	-1.2	-0.6	2.3
Nolan	15,216	16,024	16,836	17,484	18,108	5.3	5.1	3.8	3.6	19.0
Nueces	340,223	362,278	380,659	391,397	398,122	6.5	5.1	2.8	1.7	17.0
Ochiltree	10,223	11,475	12,958	14,321	15,649	12.2	12.9	10.5	9.3	53.1
Oldham	2,052	2,228	2,424	2,468	2,499	8.6	8.8	1.8	1.3	21.8
Orange	81,837	85,082	87,295	87,660	86,976	4.0	2.6	0.4	-0.8	6.3
Palo Pinto	28,111	29,450	30,564	31,209	31,868	4.8	3.8	2.1	2.1	13.4
Panola	23,796	24,426	24,832	24,862	24,766	2.6	1.7	0.1	-0.4	4.1
Parker	116,927	120,942	124,304	123,482	120,569	3.4	2.8	-0.7	-2.4	3.1
Parmer	10,269	11,271	12,263	13,071	13,706	9.8	8.8	6.6	4.9	33.5

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Pecos	15,507	16,990	18,369	19,705	21,066	9.6	8.1	7.3	6.9	35.8
Polk	45,413	46,183	46,433	46,363	46,758	1.7	0.5	-0.2	0.9	3.0
Potter	121,073	132,628	143,147	153,071	161,513	9.5	7.9	6.9	5.5	33.4
Presidio	7,818	8,553	9,286	9,999	10,918	9.4	8.6	7.7	9.2	39.7
Rains	10,914	10,943	10,912	10,647	10,549	0.3	-0.3	-2.4	-0.9	-3.3
Randall	120,725	125,564	129,330	130,425	129,347	4.0	3.0	0.8	-0.8	7.1
Reagan	3,367	3,860	4,337	4,679	5,024	14.6	12.4	7.9	7.4	49.2
Real	3,309	3,312	3,284	3,208	3,231	0.1	-0.8	-2.3	0.7	-2.4
Red River	12,860	12,975	12,835	12,622	12,594	0.9	-1.1	-1.7	-0.2	-2.1
Reeves	13,783	14,750	15,704	16,638	17,565	7.0	6.5	5.9	5.6	27.4
Refugio	7,383	7,753	8,121	8,361	8,721	5.0	4.7	3.0	4.3	18.1
Roberts	929	1,025	1,088	1,096	1,089	10.3	6.1	0.7	-0.6	17.2
Robertson	16,622	17,153	17,552	17,689	17,821	3.2	2.3	0.8	0.7	7.2
Rockwall	78,337	81,678	85,577	87,041	84,970	4.3	4.8	1.7	-2.4	8.5
Runnels	10,501	10,807	11,179	11,400	11,764	2.9	3.4	2.0	3.2	12.0
Rusk	53,330	55,266	56,848	57,424	57,618	3.6	2.9	1.0	0.3	8.0
Sabine	10,834	10,514	10,172	9,768	9,632	-3.0	-3.3	-4.0	-1.4	-11.1
San Augustine	8,865	8,872	8,747	8,605	8,632	0.1	-1.4	-1.6	0.3	-2.6
San Jacinto	26,384	26,880	26,975	26,513	26,093	1.9	0.4	-1.7	-1.6	-1.1
San Patricio	64,804	71,127	77,622	83,376	89,745	9.8	9.1	7.4	7.6	38.5
San Saba	6,131	6,141	6,254	6,356	6,464	0.2	1.8	1.6	1.7	5.4
Schleicher	3,461	3,784	4,068	4,291	4,415	9.3	7.5	5.5	2.9	27.6
Scurry	16,921	18,113	19,234	20,193	21,136	7.0	6.2	5.0	4.7	24.9
Shackelford	3,378	3,548	3,676	3,725	3,802	5.0	3.6	1.3	2.1	12.6
Shelby	25,448	26,891	28,140	29,293	30,350	5.7	4.6	4.1	3.6	19.3
Sherman	3,034	3,296	3,620	3,858	4,008	8.6	9.8	6.6	3.9	32.1
Smith	209,714	220,574	227,673	231,380	233,182	5.2	3.2	1.6	0.8	11.2

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Somervell	8,490	8,791	9,201	9,317	9,360	3.5	4.7	1.3	0.5	10.2
Starr	60,968	72,663	85,414	99,039	115,415	19.2	17.5	16.0	16.5	89.3
Stephens	9,630	9,892	10,238	10,552	10,847	2.7	3.5	3.1	2.8	12.6
Sterling	1,143	1,233	1,297	1,353	1,352	7.9	5.2	4.3	-0.1	18.3
Stonewall	1,490	1,504	1,538	1,511	1,470	0.9	2.3	-1.8	-2.7	-1.3
Sutton	4,128	4,544	4,903	5,100	5,280	10.1	7.9	4.0	3.5	27.9
Swisher	7,854	8,247	8,781	9,244	9,699	5.0	6.5	5.3	4.9	23.5
Tarrant	1,809,034	1,956,598	2,073,248	2,148,314	2,178,210	8.2	6.0	3.6	1.4	20.4
Taylor	131,506	140,946	146,962	151,200	154,468	7.2	4.3	2.9	2.2	17.5
Terrell	984	1,046	1,080	1,097	1,088	6.3	3.3	1.6	-0.8	10.6
Terry	12,651	13,768	14,839	16,031	17,265	8.8	7.8	8.0	7.7	36.5
Throckmorton	1,641	1,660	1,669	1,631	1,590	1.2	0.5	-2.3	-2.5	-3.1
Titus	32,334	35,686	38,938	41,987	44,864	10.4	9.1	7.8	6.9	38.8
Tom Green	110,224	115,817	121,150	125,834	130,191	5.1	4.6	3.9	3.5	18.1
Travis	1,024,266	1,125,881	1,187,826	1,232,915	1,263,296	9.9	5.5	3.8	2.5	23.3
Trinity	14,585	14,469	14,194	13,725	13,464	-0.8	-1.9	-3.3	-1.9	-7.7
Tyler	21,766	21,760	21,641	21,223	20,901	-0.0	-0.5	-1.9	-1.5	-4.0
Upshur	39,309	40,252	40,946	40,797	40,348	2.4	1.7	-0.4	-1.1	2.6
Upton	3,355	3,679	3,997	4,200	4,343	9.7	8.6	5.1	3.4	29.4
Uvalde	26,405	28,709	31,579	34,177	37,193	8.7	10.0	8.2	8.8	40.9
Val Verde	48,879	55,181	61,690	68,461	76,081	12.9	11.8	11.0	11.1	55.7
Van Zandt	52,579	53,344	54,149	53,700	53,353	1.5	1.5	-0.8	-0.6	1.5
Victoria	86,793	94,153	100,780	106,191	111,697	8.5	7.0	5.4	5.2	28.7
Walker	67,861	71,462	74,652	77,040	79,546	5.3	4.5	3.2	3.3	17.2
Waller	43,205	46,929	50,490	53,603	56,609	8.6	7.6	6.2	5.6	31.0
Ward	10,658	11,580	12,478	13,287	14,040	8.7	7.8	6.5	5.7	31.7
Washington	33,718	34,333	34,692	34,488	34,286	1.8	1.0	-0.6	-0.6	1.7

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Webb	250,304	293,121	338,098	381,800	425,655	17.1	15.3	12.9	11.5	70.1
Wharton	41,280	43,450	45,840	47,738	49,359	5.3	5.5	4.1	3.4	19.6
Wheeler	5,410	5,594	5,822	6,020	6,224	3.4	4.1	3.4	3.4	15.0
Wichita	131,500	137,167	142,881	147,442	150,923	4.3	4.2	3.2	2.4	14.8
Wilbarger	13,535	14,504	15,398	16,126	16,881	7.2	6.2	4.7	4.7	24.7
Willacy	22,134	24,784	27,386	30,011	33,014	12.0	10.5	9.6	10.0	49.2
Williamson	422,679	450,281	471,909	486,570	484,961	6.5	4.8	3.1	-0.3	14.7
Wilson	42,918	44,034	45,458	45,125	43,766	2.6	3.2	-0.7	-3.0	2.0
Winkler	7,110	7,944	8,665	9,243	9,830	11.7	9.1	6.7	6.4	38.3
Wise	59,127	62,531	65,402	66,532	66,941	5.8	4.6	1.7	0.6	13.2
Wood	41,964	41,113	40,098	38,782	38,380	-2.0	-2.5	-3.3	-1.0	-8.5
Yoakum	7,879	8,850	9,894	10,777	11,621	12.3	11.8	8.9	7.8	47.5
Young	18,550	19,019	19,726	20,195	20,734	2.5	3.7	2.4	2.7	11.8
Zapata	14,018	16,782	19,628	22,832	26,602	19.7	17.0	16.3	16.5	89.8
Zavala	11,677	13,372	15,267	17,127	19,199	14.5	14.2	12.2	12.1	64.4

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Assuming Net Migration Equal to One-Half of 2000-2010 (0.5 Scenario)										
Anderson	58,458	61,202	63,373	64,222	64,243	4.7	3.5	1.3	0.0	9.9
Andrews	14,786	16,987	19,224	21,482	23,676	14.9	13.2	11.7	10.2	60.1
Angelina	86,771	93,295	99,831	105,199	110,080	7.5	7.0	5.4	4.6	26.9
Aransas	23,158	24,550	25,123	25,096	25,204	6.0	2.3	-0.1	0.4	8.8
Archer	9,054	9,431	9,910	10,069	9,931	4.2	5.1	1.6	-1.4	9.7
Armstrong	1,901	1,911	1,838	1,714	1,570	0.5	-3.8	-6.7	-8.4	-17.4
Atascosa	44,911	52,224	59,951	66,787	73,182	16.3	14.8	11.4	9.6	62.9
Austin	28,417	32,774	37,706	42,974	49,168	15.3	15.0	14.0	14.4	73.0
Bailey	7,165	8,088	9,060	10,058	11,085	12.9	12.0	11.0	10.2	54.7
Bandera	20,485	22,439	23,862	24,143	24,073	9.5	6.3	1.2	-0.3	17.5
Bastrop	74,171	88,279	106,301	125,914	148,450	19.0	20.4	18.5	17.9	100.1
Baylor	3,726	3,609	3,500	3,342	3,144	-3.1	-3.0	-4.5	-5.9	-15.6
Bee	31,861	33,629	35,119	35,743	35,856	5.5	4.4	1.8	0.3	12.5
Bell	310,235	371,281	429,284	492,019	557,163	19.7	15.6	14.6	13.2	79.6
Bexar	1,714,773	1,967,590	2,216,912	2,442,098	2,656,573	14.7	12.7	10.2	8.8	54.9
Blanco	10,497	11,527	12,494	12,778	12,913	9.8	8.4	2.3	1.1	23.0
Borden	641	663	681	645	589	3.4	2.7	-5.3	-8.7	-8.1
Bosque	18,212	19,382	20,466	20,993	21,533	6.4	5.6	2.6	2.6	18.2
Bowie	92,565	95,768	98,803	100,006	100,503	3.5	3.2	1.2	0.5	8.6
Brazoria	313,166	372,259	438,727	512,195	588,988	18.9	17.9	16.7	15.0	88.1
Brazos	194,851	226,878	262,701	299,137	343,698	16.4	15.8	13.9	14.9	76.4
Brewster	9,232	9,982	10,637	11,165	11,794	8.1	6.6	5.0	5.6	27.8
Briscoe	1,637	1,709	1,720	1,717	1,716	4.4	0.6	-0.2	-0.1	4.8
Brooks	7,223	7,802	8,288	8,775	9,256	8.0	6.2	5.9	5.5	28.1
Brown	38,106	39,935	41,050	41,184	41,034	4.8	2.8	0.3	-0.4	7.7

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Burleson	17,187	18,502	19,770	20,508	21,086	7.7	6.9	3.7	2.8	22.7
Burnet	42,750	47,748	52,700	56,473	60,532	11.7	10.4	7.2	7.2	41.6
Caldwell	38,066	44,401	51,327	57,444	63,211	16.6	15.6	11.9	10.0	66.1
Calhoun	21,381	23,935	26,659	29,203	31,666	11.9	11.4	9.5	8.4	48.1
Callahan	13,544	14,060	14,822	15,196	15,440	3.8	5.4	2.5	1.6	14.0
Cameron	406,220	479,754	560,637	641,946	728,518	18.1	16.9	14.5	13.5	79.3
Camp	12,401	13,499	14,678	15,611	16,663	8.9	8.7	6.4	6.7	34.4
Carson	6,182	6,319	6,550	6,699	6,737	2.2	3.7	2.3	0.6	9.0
Cass	30,464	31,323	31,861	31,678	31,326	2.8	1.7	-0.6	-1.1	2.8
Castro	8,062	8,880	9,618	10,129	10,605	10.1	8.3	5.3	4.7	31.5
Chambers	35,096	41,934	49,836	58,010	66,757	19.5	18.8	16.4	15.1	90.2
Cherokee	50,845	55,557	60,764	65,857	71,816	9.3	9.4	8.4	9.0	41.2
Childress	7,041	7,330	7,665	7,919	8,179	4.1	4.6	3.3	3.3	16.2
Clay	10,752	11,276	11,803	12,041	12,111	4.9	4.7	2.0	0.6	12.6
Cochran	3,127	3,503	3,829	3,994	4,135	12.0	9.3	4.3	3.5	32.2
Coke	3,320	3,163	3,075	2,912	2,791	-4.7	-2.8	-5.3	-4.2	-15.9
Coleman	8,895	8,945	9,089	9,063	9,137	0.6	1.6	-0.3	0.8	2.7
Collin	782,341	975,957	1,211,461	1,496,177	1,794,493	24.7	24.1	23.5	19.9	129.4
Collingsworth	3,057	3,249	3,422	3,561	3,674	6.3	5.3	4.1	3.2	20.2
Colorado	20,874	21,903	22,887	23,535	24,497	4.9	4.5	2.8	4.1	17.4
Comal	108,472	128,974	150,591	169,835	188,057	18.9	16.8	12.8	10.7	73.4
Comanche	13,974	14,583	15,226	15,640	16,176	4.4	4.4	2.7	3.4	15.8
Concho	4,087	4,242	4,309	4,322	4,264	3.8	1.6	0.3	-1.3	4.3
Cooke	38,437	40,951	43,398	44,979	46,484	6.5	6.0	3.6	3.3	20.9
Coryell	75,388	84,400	93,638	103,118	110,876	12.0	10.9	10.1	7.5	47.1
Cottle	1,505	1,579	1,590	1,592	1,564	4.9	0.7	0.1	-1.8	3.9
Crane	4,375	5,028	5,712	6,204	6,699	14.9	13.6	8.6	8.0	53.1

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Crockett	3,719	4,143	4,447	4,561	4,666	11.4	7.3	2.6	2.3	25.5
Crosby	6,059	6,774	7,623	8,485	9,547	11.8	12.5	11.3	12.5	57.6
Culberson	2,398	2,740	3,042	3,181	3,353	14.3	11.0	4.6	5.4	39.8
Dallam	6,703	7,725	8,652	9,593	10,561	15.2	12.0	10.9	10.1	57.6
Dallas	2,368,139	2,621,131	2,859,701	3,086,679	3,311,187	10.7	9.1	7.9	7.3	39.8
Dawson	13,833	14,663	15,458	16,237	16,951	6.0	5.4	5.0	4.4	22.5
Deaf Smith	19,372	22,308	25,853	29,506	33,421	15.2	15.9	14.1	13.3	72.5
Delta	5,231	5,359	5,497	5,532	5,487	2.4	2.6	0.6	-0.8	4.9
Denton	662,614	822,601	1,014,812	1,242,750	1,495,119	24.1	23.4	22.5	20.3	125.6
De Witt	20,097	20,574	21,154	21,453	21,931	2.4	2.8	1.4	2.2	9.1
Dickens	2,444	2,477	2,452	2,397	2,285	1.4	-1.0	-2.2	-4.7	-6.5
Dimmit	9,996	10,982	11,966	12,674	13,347	9.9	9.0	5.9	5.3	33.5
Donley	3,677	3,767	3,754	3,641	3,599	2.4	-0.3	-3.0	-1.2	-2.1
Duval	11,782	12,733	13,529	14,186	14,757	8.1	6.3	4.9	4.0	25.3
Eastland	18,583	19,325	19,802	19,830	19,810	4.0	2.5	0.1	-0.1	6.6
Ector	137,130	157,045	177,335	198,503	220,012	14.5	12.9	11.9	10.8	60.4
Edwards	2,002	2,164	2,171	2,163	2,110	8.1	0.3	-0.4	-2.5	5.4
Ellis	149,610	179,078	213,832	249,455	286,483	19.7	19.4	16.7	14.8	91.5
El Paso	800,647	922,609	1,049,546	1,163,720	1,273,360	15.2	13.8	10.9	9.4	59.0
Erath	37,890	40,917	44,495	47,464	50,665	8.0	8.7	6.7	6.7	33.7
Falls	17,866	18,667	19,008	18,953	18,648	4.5	1.8	-0.3	-1.6	4.4
Fannin	33,915	36,070	38,179	39,458	40,514	6.4	5.8	3.4	2.7	19.5
Fayette	24,554	26,197	28,041	29,103	30,110	6.7	7.0	3.8	3.5	22.6
Fisher	3,974	3,991	3,974	3,904	3,789	0.4	-0.4	-1.8	-2.9	-4.7
Floyd	6,446	6,806	7,193	7,381	7,581	5.6	5.7	2.6	2.7	17.6
Foard	1,336	1,392	1,402	1,358	1,306	4.2	0.7	-3.1	-3.8	-2.2
Fort Bend	585,375	742,705	928,474	1,143,079	1,368,744	26.9	25.0	23.1	19.7	133.8



Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Franklin	10,605	11,076	11,514	11,778	12,018	4.4	4.0	2.3	2.0	13.3
Freestone	19,816	21,118	22,302	23,114	23,944	6.6	5.6	3.6	3.6	20.8
Frio	17,217	19,188	21,229	22,998	24,813	11.4	10.6	8.3	7.9	44.1
Gaines	17,526	20,805	24,602	28,832	33,144	18.7	18.3	17.2	15.0	89.1
Galveston	291,309	321,519	350,673	374,837	396,723	10.4	9.1	6.9	5.8	36.2
Garza	6,461	6,944	7,317	7,623	7,833	7.5	5.4	4.2	2.8	21.2
Gillespie	24,837	26,702	28,672	30,223	31,954	7.5	7.4	5.4	5.7	28.7
Glasscock	1,226	1,351	1,431	1,450	1,424	10.2	5.9	1.3	-1.8	16.2
Goliad	7,210	7,595	8,056	8,293	8,541	5.3	6.1	2.9	3.0	18.5
Gonzales	19,807	21,771	23,979	25,891	28,090	9.9	10.1	8.0	8.5	41.8
Gray	22,535	24,507	27,110	30,259	34,315	8.8	10.6	11.6	13.4	52.3
Grayson	120,877	128,734	136,387	142,117	147,280	6.5	5.9	4.2	3.6	21.8
Gregg	121,730	132,907	144,994	158,334	173,213	9.2	9.1	9.2	9.4	42.3
Grimes	26,604	29,320	31,885	33,839	35,969	10.2	8.7	6.1	6.3	35.2
Guadalupe	131,533	158,712	189,140	220,138	249,990	20.7	19.2	16.4	13.6	90.1
Hale	36,273	39,596	42,963	45,912	48,700	9.2	8.5	6.9	6.1	34.3
Hall	3,353	3,392	3,479	3,506	3,461	1.2	2.6	0.8	-1.3	3.2
Hamilton	8,517	8,528	8,616	8,593	8,503	0.1	1.0	-0.3	-1.0	-0.2
Hansford	5,613	6,146	6,825	7,398	7,911	9.5	11.0	8.4	6.9	40.9
Hardeman	4,139	4,248	4,357	4,404	4,472	2.6	2.6	1.1	1.5	8.0
Hardin	54,635	59,349	63,687	66,742	68,888	8.6	7.3	4.8	3.2	26.1
Harris	4,092,459	4,683,874	5,262,009	5,799,833	6,304,828	14.5	12.3	10.2	8.7	54.1
Harrison	65,631	70,528	76,023	81,190	88,192	7.5	7.8	6.8	8.6	34.4
Hartley	6,062	6,256	6,605	6,717	6,780	3.2	5.6	1.7	0.9	11.8
Haskell	5,899	5,879	5,959	5,949	5,993	-0.3	1.4	-0.2	0.7	1.6
Hays	157,107	211,934	273,247	346,625	438,425	34.9	28.9	26.9	26.5	179.1
Hemphill	3,807	4,190	4,582	4,922	5,300	10.1	9.4	7.4	7.7	39.2

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Henderson	78,532	82,139	85,307	88,118	92,682	4.6	3.9	3.3	5.2	18.0
Hidalgo	774,769	948,305	1,145,413	1,345,740	1,553,142	22.4	20.8	17.5	15.4	100.5
Hill	35,089	37,816	40,266	41,786	43,308	7.8	6.5	3.8	3.6	23.4
Hockley	22,935	24,968	26,580	27,614	28,205	8.9	6.5	3.9	2.1	23.0
Hood	51,182	55,668	59,479	61,791	63,908	8.8	6.8	3.9	3.4	24.9
Hopkins	35,161	37,501	40,053	42,564	45,890	6.7	6.8	6.3	7.8	30.5
Houston	23,732	24,312	24,668	24,701	24,560	2.4	1.5	0.1	-0.6	3.5
Howard	35,012	37,034	38,805	39,868	40,183	5.8	4.8	2.7	0.8	14.8
Hudspeth	3,476	3,923	4,343	4,458	4,590	12.9	10.7	2.6	3.0	32.0
Hunt	86,129	96,046	107,574	119,853	134,056	11.5	12.0	11.4	11.9	55.6
Hutchinson	22,150	22,998	23,783	23,968	23,871	3.8	3.4	0.8	-0.4	7.8
Irion	1,599	1,731	1,804	1,816	1,790	8.3	4.2	0.7	-1.4	11.9
Jack	9,044	9,353	9,693	9,742	9,765	3.4	3.6	0.5	0.2	8.0
Jackson	14,075	14,663	15,200	15,441	15,649	4.2	3.7	1.6	1.3	11.2
Jasper	35,710	36,967	37,919	38,274	38,194	3.5	2.6	0.9	-0.2	7.0
Jeff Davis	2,342	2,455	2,421	2,337	2,336	4.8	-1.4	-3.5	-0.0	-0.3
Jefferson	252,273	267,188	283,813	300,728	319,868	5.9	6.2	6.0	6.4	26.8
Jim Hogg	5,300	5,826	6,288	6,697	7,114	9.9	7.9	6.5	6.2	34.2
Jim Wells	40,838	45,436	49,604	53,465	57,519	11.3	9.2	7.8	7.6	40.8
Johnson	150,934	173,103	198,761	225,251	254,140	14.7	14.8	13.3	12.8	68.4
Jones	20,202	21,570	22,958	23,868	24,631	6.8	6.4	4.0	3.2	21.9
Karnes	14,824	15,575	16,095	16,294	16,202	5.1	3.3	1.2	-0.6	9.3
Kaufman	103,350	128,122	158,947	194,001	233,532	24.0	24.1	22.1	20.4	126.0
Kendall	33,410	38,847	44,741	50,357	55,395	16.3	15.2	12.6	10.0	65.8
Kenedy	416	452	477	474	458	8.7	5.5	-0.6	-3.4	10.1
Kent	808	814	843	795	755	0.7	3.6	-5.7	-5.0	-6.6
Kerr	49,625	52,458	55,039	56,355	57,544	5.7	4.9	2.4	2.1	16.

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Kimble	4,607	4,686	4,723	4,551	4,337	1.7	0.8	-3.6	-4.7	-5.9
King	286	300	315	306	271	4.9	5.0	-2.9	-11.4	-5.2
Kinney	3,598	3,718	3,756	3,740	3,771	3.3	1.0	-0.4	0.8	4.8
Kleberg	32,061	35,597	39,018	42,231	45,268	11.0	9.6	8.2	7.2	41.2
Knox	3,719	3,854	4,038	4,176	4,328	3.6	4.8	3.4	3.6	16.4
Lamar	49,793	52,249	54,505	56,265	58,118	4.9	4.3	3.2	3.3	16.7
Lamb	13,977	14,879	15,949	16,935	17,912	6.5	7.2	6.2	5.8	28.2
Lampasas	19,677	21,837	24,174	26,112	28,076	11.0	10.7	8.0	7.5	42.7
La Salle	6,886	7,853	8,658	9,410	10,323	14.0	10.3	8.7	9.7	49.9
Lavaca	19,263	19,304	19,519	19,313	18,979	0.2	1.1	-1.1	-1.7	-1.5
Lee	16,612	18,032	19,562	20,467	21,070	8.5	8.5	4.6	2.9	26.8
Leon	16,801	18,221	19,651	20,716	22,089	8.5	7.8	5.4	6.6	31.5
Liberty	75,643	85,828	96,315	106,191	116,253	13.5	12.2	10.3	9.5	53.7
Limestone	23,384	25,137	26,638	27,792	29,032	7.5	6.0	4.3	4.5	24.2
Lipscomb	3,302	3,583	3,855	4,035	4,169	8.5	7.6	4.7	3.3	26.3
Live Oak	11,531	11,736	11,745	11,531	11,345	1.8	0.1	-1.8	-1.6	-1.6
Llano	19,301	19,468	19,269	18,654	18,483	0.9	-1.0	-3.2	-0.9	-4.2
Loving	82	81	77	63	45	-1.2	-4.9	-18.2	-28.6	-45.1
Lubbock	278,831	306,938	336,835	365,090	393,999	10.1	9.7	8.4	7.9	41.3
Lynn	5,915	6,364	6,830	7,068	7,350	7.6	7.3	3.5	4.0	24.3
McCulloch	8,283	8,625	8,964	8,949	8,981	4.1	3.9	-0.2	0.4	8.4
McLennan	234,906	252,501	272,564	289,707	306,784	7.5	7.9	6.3	5.9	30.6
McMullen	707	769	788	785	766	8.8	2.5	-0.4	-2.4	8.3
Madison	13,664	14,761	15,799	16,666	17,627	8.0	7.0	5.5	5.8	29.0
Marion	10,546	10,770	10,463	9,831	9,187	2.1	-2.9	-6.0	-6.6	-12.9
Martin	4,799	5,421	6,032	6,396	6,779	13.0	11.3	6.0	6.0	41.3
Mason	4,012	4,032	4,026	3,876	3,760	0.5	-0.1	-3.7	-3.0	-6.

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Matagorda	36,702	39,448	41,823	43,482	44,774	7.5	6.0	4.0	3.0	22.0
Maverick	54,258	63,293	72,834	81,836	91,056	16.7	15.1	12.4	11.3	67.8
Medina	46,006	52,466	59,429	65,348	70,471	14.0	13.3	10.0	7.8	53.2
Menard	2,242	2,255	2,161	2,057	1,941	0.6	-4.2	-4.8	-5.6	-13.4
Midland	136,872	154,213	172,360	189,734	207,093	12.7	11.8	10.1	9.1	51.3
Milam	24,757	26,296	27,889	28,912	30,308	6.2	6.1	3.7	4.8	22.4
Mills	4,936	4,967	5,154	5,352	5,584	0.6	3.8	3.8	4.3	13.1
Mitchell	9,403	9,787	10,120	10,335	10,478	4.1	3.4	2.1	1.4	11.4
Montague	19,719	20,658	21,529	21,920	22,306	4.8	4.2	1.8	1.8	13.1
Montgomery	455,746	564,926	698,439	852,925	1,026,627	24.0	23.6	22.1	20.4	125.3
Moore	21,904	25,317	28,925	32,982	37,420	15.6	14.3	14.0	13.5	70.8
Morris	12,934	13,435	13,692	13,895	14,250	3.9	1.9	1.5	2.6	10.2
Motley	1,210	1,222	1,193	1,115	1,046	1.0	-2.4	-6.5	-6.2	-13.6
Nacogdoches	64,524	71,702	80,093	88,150	97,224	11.1	11.7	10.1	10.3	50.7
Navarro	47,735	52,991	58,575	64,251	70,606	11.0	10.5	9.7	9.9	47.9
Newton	14,445	14,608	14,514	13,972	13,522	1.1	-0.6	-3.7	-3.2	-6.4
Nolan	15,216	16,046	16,856	17,226	17,571	5.5	5.0	2.2	2.0	15.5
Nueces	340,223	370,473	399,947	421,032	438,408	8.9	8.0	5.3	4.1	28.9
Ochiltree	10,223	12,016	14,345	16,903	19,813	17.5	19.4	17.8	17.2	93.8
Oldham	2,052	2,235	2,401	2,399	2,397	8.9	7.4	-0.1	-0.1	16.8
Orange	81,837	86,614	90,934	94,059	96,458	5.8	5.0	3.4	2.6	17.9
Palo Pinto	28,111	30,629	32,955	34,462	35,889	9.0	7.6	4.6	4.1	27.7
Panola	23,796	25,109	26,330	27,097	27,870	5.5	4.9	2.9	2.9	17.1
Parker	116,927	138,373	163,780	191,733	223,325	18.3	18.4	17.1	16.5	91.0
Parmer	10,269	11,795	13,528	15,244	16,953	14.9	14.7	12.7	11.2	65.1
Pecos	15,507	17,051	18,450	19,702	20,878	10.0	8.2	6.8	6.0	34.6
Polk	45,413	48,894	51,675	53,629	55,686	7.7	5.7	3.8	3.8	22.6

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Potter	121,073	134,041	147,734	161,602	175,083	10.7	10.2	9.4	8.3	44.6
Presidio	7,818	8,676	9,431	10,115	10,828	11.0	8.7	7.3	7.0	38.5
Rains	10,914	11,870	12,568	12,765	12,858	8.8	5.9	1.6	0.7	17.8
Randall	120,725	133,494	148,264	162,786	177,431	10.6	11.1	9.8	9.0	47.0
Reagan	3,367	3,850	4,294	4,566	4,824	14.3	11.5	6.3	5.7	43.3
Real	3,309	3,308	3,238	3,085	3,000	-0.0	-2.1	-4.7	-2.8	-9.3
Red River	12,860	13,113	12,943	12,514	12,064	2.0	-1.3	-3.3	-3.6	-6.2
Reeves	13,783	14,880	15,956	17,010	18,054	8.0	7.2	6.6	6.1	31.0
Refugio	7,383	7,659	7,906	7,937	8,050	3.7	3.2	0.4	1.4	9.0
Roberts	929	1,011	1,049	1,037	995	8.8	3.8	-1.1	-4.1	7.1
Robertson	16,622	18,281	19,959	21,442	23,001	10.0	9.2	7.4	7.3	38.4
Rockwall	78,337	97,466	120,573	146,334	171,220	24.4	23.7	21.4	17.0	118.6
Runnels	10,501	10,804	11,109	11,140	11,246	2.9	2.8	0.3	1.0	7.1
Rusk	53,330	59,141	65,601	71,817	78,542	10.9	10.9	9.5	9.4	47.3
Sabine	10,834	11,271	11,400	10,980	10,609	4.0	1.1	-3.7	-3.4	-2.1
San Augustine	8,865	9,005	8,877	8,560	8,278	1.6	-1.4	-3.6	-3.3	-6.6
San Jacinto	26,384	29,335	32,141	34,520	37,195	11.2	9.6	7.4	7.7	41.0
San Patricio	64,804	70,122	75,073	78,669	81,990	8.2	7.1	4.8	4.2	26.5
San Saba	6,131	6,180	6,287	6,289	6,317	0.8	1.7	0.0	0.4	3.0
Schleicher	3,461	3,801	4,086	4,266	4,358	9.8	7.5	4.4	2.2	25.9
Scurry	16,921	18,434	20,057	21,598	23,305	8.9	8.8	7.7	7.9	37.7
Shackelford	3,378	3,557	3,709	3,727	3,790	5.3	4.3	0.5	1.7	12.2
Shelby	25,448	27,749	30,052	32,152	34,172	9.0	8.3	7.0	6.3	34.3
Sherman	3,034	3,305	3,598	3,794	3,981	8.9	8.9	5.4	4.9	31.2
Smith	209,714	231,653	254,486	276,544	299,745	10.5	9.9	8.7	8.4	42.9
Somervell	8,490	9,477	10,670	11,589	12,359	11.6	12.6	8.6	6.6	45.6
Starr	60,968	71,198	81,023	89,949	98,953	16.8	13.8	11.0	10.0	62.3

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Stephens	9,630	10,022	10,441	10,584	10,651	4.1	4.2	1.4	0.6	10.6
Sterling	1,143	1,225	1,274	1,285	1,264	7.2	4.0	0.9	-1.6	10.6
Stonewall	1,490	1,497	1,494	1,442	1,357	0.5	-0.2	-3.5	-5.9	-8.9
Sutton	4,128	4,529	4,896	5,043	5,138	9.7	8.1	3.0	1.9	24.5
Swisher	7,854	8,155	8,584	8,847	9,051	3.8	5.3	3.1	2.3	15.2
Tarrant	1,809,034	2,039,890	2,287,581	2,528,520	2,758,129	12.8	12.1	10.5	9.1	52.5
Taylor	131,506	140,047	145,736	149,857	152,648	6.5	4.1	2.8	1.9	16.1
Terrell	984	1,048	1,073	1,075	1,045	6.5	2.4	0.2	-2.8	6.2
Terry	12,651	13,586	14,398	15,207	15,982	7.4	6.0	5.6	5.1	26.3
Throckmorton	1,641	1,647	1,603	1,543	1,457	0.4	-2.7	-3.7	-5.6	-11.2
Titus	32,334	36,666	41,363	46,110	51,170	13.4	12.8	11.5	11.0	58.3
Tom Green	110,224	115,884	121,881	126,657	130,632	5.1	5.2	3.9	3.1	18.5
Travis	1,024,266	1,198,485	1,342,829	1,474,822	1,612,674	17.0	12.0	9.8	9.3	57.4
Trinity	14,585	15,328	15,603	15,239	14,790	5.1	1.8	-2.3	-2.9	1.4
Tyler	21,766	22,061	22,062	21,479	20,772	1.4	0.0	-2.6	-3.3	-4.6
Upshur	39,309	42,411	45,500	48,254	51,008	7.9	7.3	6.1	5.7	29.8
Upton	3,355	3,701	4,022	4,200	4,410	10.3	8.7	4.4	5.0	31.4
Uvalde	26,405	28,703	31,267	33,323	35,545	8.7	8.9	6.6	6.7	34.6
Val Verde	48,879	54,457	59,790	64,750	69,644	11.4	9.8	8.3	7.6	42.5
Van Zandt	52,579	55,778	58,720	60,374	61,957	6.1	5.3	2.8	2.6	17.8
Victoria	86,793	93,902	100,465	105,735	110,868	8.2	7.0	5.2	4.9	27.7
Walker	67,861	72,239	76,209	79,290	82,655	6.5	5.5	4.0	4.2	21.8
Waller	43,205	52,133	62,492	74,071	86,862	20.7	19.9	18.5	17.3	101.0
Ward	10,658	11,438	12,098	12,591	12,954	7.3	5.8	4.1	2.9	21.5
Washington	33,718	36,052	38,145	39,504	40,804	6.9	5.8	3.6	3.3	21.0
Webb	250,304	305,881	367,576	429,823	494,081	22.2	20.2	16.9	14.9	97.4
Wharton	41,280	43,551	45,988	47,559	48,693	5.5	5.6	3.4	2.4	18.

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Wheeler	5,410	5,612	5,929	6,249	6,676	3.7	5.6	5.4	6.8	23.4
Wichita	131,500	135,811	141,049	145,291	148,625	3.3	3.9	3.0	2.3	13.0
Wilbarger	13,535	14,600	15,580	16,352	17,326	7.9	6.7	5.0	6.0	28.0
Willacy	22,134	25,763	29,591	33,459	37,733	16.4	14.9	13.1	12.8	70.5
Williamson	422,679	534,882	667,844	825,127	992,814	26.5	24.9	23.6	20.3	134.9
Wilson	42,918	50,232	58,085	64,775	70,061	17.0	15.6	11.5	8.2	63.2
Winkler	7,110	8,039	8,857	9,528	10,209	13.1	10.2	7.6	7.1	43.6
Wise	59,127	68,070	78,265	88,876	100,448	15.1	15.0	13.6	13.0	69.9
Wood	41,964	44,567	46,250	46,751	47,786	6.2	3.8	1.1	2.2	13.9
Yoakum	7,879	9,191	10,737	12,225	13,881	16.7	16.8	13.9	13.5	76.2
Young	18,550	19,408	20,569	21,437	22,277	4.6	6.0	4.2	3.9	20.1
Zapata	14,018	16,925	19,983	23,342	27,215	20.7	18.1	16.8	16.6	94.1
Zavala	11,677	13,251	14,918	16,384	17,903	13.5	12.6	9.8	9.3	53.3

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Assuming Net Migration Equal to 2000-2010 (1.0 Scenario)										
Anderson	58,458	62,245	65,595	66,941	66,754	6.5	5.4	2.1	-0.3	14.2
Andrews	14,786	17,487	20,222	22,753	24,794	18.3	15.6	12.5	9.0	67.7
Angelina	86,771	94,245	101,812	107,469	112,170	8.6	8.0	5.6	4.4	29.3
Aransas	23,158	26,041	27,558	27,296	26,276	12.4	5.8	-1.0	-3.7	13.5
Archer	9,054	9,847	10,642	11,312	11,775	8.8	8.1	6.3	4.1	30.1
Armstrong	1,901	1,970	1,964	1,852	1,733	3.6	-0.3	-5.7	-6.4	-8.8
Atascosa	44,911	55,946	67,524	77,771	86,122	24.6	20.7	15.2	10.7	91.8
Austin	28,417	36,542	46,640	59,582	76,037	28.6	27.6	27.7	27.6	167.6
Bailey	7,165	8,426	9,885	11,338	12,711	17.6	17.3	14.7	12.1	77.4
Bandera	20,485	25,100	29,059	31,255	31,965	22.5	15.8	7.6	2.3	56.0
Bastrop	74,171	100,746	140,463	195,452	272,723	35.8	39.4	39.1	39.5	267.7
Baylor	3,726	3,715	3,722	3,614	3,522	-0.3	0.2	-2.9	-2.5	-5.5
Bee	31,861	33,428	34,292	33,716	32,001	4.9	2.6	-1.7	-5.1	0.4
Bell	310,235	394,509	483,841	586,329	703,159	27.2	22.6	21.2	19.9	126.7
Bexar	1,714,773	2,062,088	2,439,700	2,809,942	3,179,649	20.3	18.3	15.2	13.2	85.4
Blanco	10,497	13,018	15,460	16,937	17,697	24.0	18.8	9.6	4.5	68.6
Borden	641	698	692	629	527	8.9	-0.9	-9.1	-16.2	-17.8
Bosque	18,212	20,522	22,632	23,757	24,395	12.7	10.3	5.0	2.7	34.0
Bowie	92,565	95,118	97,314	97,949	98,030	2.8	2.3	0.7	0.1	5.9
Brazoria	313,166	410,571	540,453	711,049	924,456	31.1	31.6	31.6	30.0	195.2
Brazos	194,851	239,527	292,333	348,817	419,114	22.9	22.0	19.3	20.2	115.1
Brewster	9,232	10,226	10,854	10,952	10,739	10.8	6.1	0.9	-1.9	16.3
Briscoe	1,637	1,672	1,628	1,519	1,436	2.1	-2.6	-6.7	-5.5	-12.3
Brooks	7,223	7,619	7,803	7,695	7,329	5.5	2.4	-1.4	-4.8	1.5
Brown	38,106	40,617	42,131	42,188	41,595	6.6	3.7	0.1	-1.4	9.2



Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Burleson	17,187	19,763	22,160	23,843	24,931	15.0	12.1	7.6	4.6	45.1
Burnet	42,750	52,456	62,876	71,614	79,985	22.7	19.9	13.9	11.7	87.1
Caldwell	38,066	49,202	63,015	77,373	92,180	29.3	28.1	22.8	19.1	142.2
Calhoun	21,381	25,263	29,570	33,816	37,899	18.2	17.0	14.4	12.1	77.3
Callahan	13,544	14,768	16,383	17,961	19,667	9.0	10.9	9.6	9.5	45.2
Cameron	406,220	493,571	584,883	668,322	741,902	21.5	18.5	14.3	11.0	82.6
Camp	12,401	14,442	16,551	18,428	20,569	16.5	14.6	11.3	11.6	65.9
Carson	6,182	6,526	6,924	7,213	7,370	5.6	6.1	4.2	2.2	19.2
Cass	30,464	32,050	33,012	33,060	32,834	5.2	3.0	0.1	-0.7	7.8
Castro	8,062	8,901	9,453	9,656	9,612	10.4	6.2	2.1	-0.5	19.2
Chambers	35,096	47,621	64,504	88,058	119,555	35.7	35.5	36.5	35.8	240.7
Cherokee	50,845	57,663	65,537	73,442	83,259	13.4	13.7	12.1	13.4	63.8
Childress	7,041	7,460	7,916	8,240	8,409	6.0	6.1	4.1	2.1	19.4
Clay	10,752	11,655	12,278	12,480	12,503	8.4	5.3	1.6	0.2	16.3
Cochran	3,127	3,458	3,644	3,619	3,527	10.6	5.4	-0.7	-2.5	12.8
Coke	3,320	3,095	2,925	2,610	2,361	-6.8	-5.5	-10.8	-9.5	-28.9
Coleman	8,895	9,274	9,586	9,613	9,555	4.3	3.4	0.3	-0.6	7.4
Collin	782,341	1,150,398	1,712,183	2,575,965	3,801,840	47.0	48.8	50.4	47.6	386.0
Collingsworth	3,057	3,243	3,420	3,626	3,908	6.1	5.5	6.0	7.8	27.8
Colorado	20,874	22,688	24,152	24,964	25,492	8.7	6.5	3.4	2.1	22.1
Comal	108,472	150,366	199,936	252,268	305,977	38.6	33.0	26.2	21.3	182.1
Comanche	13,974	14,855	15,632	15,966	16,052	6.3	5.2	2.1	0.5	14.9
Concho	4,087	4,299	4,376	4,381	4,277	5.2	1.8	0.1	-2.4	4.6
Cooke	38,437	41,744	44,816	47,022	48,881	8.6	7.4	4.9	4.0	27.2
Coryell	75,388	86,638	98,517	111,930	124,214	14.9	13.7	13.6	11.0	64.8
Cottle	1,505	1,578	1,570	1,534	1,478	4.9	-0.5	-2.3	-3.7	-1.8
Crane	4,375	5,349	6,385	7,283	8,147	22.3	19.4	14.1	11.9	86.2

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Crockett	3,719	4,082	4,203	4,126	4,002	9.8	3.0	-1.8	-3.0	7.6
Crosby	6,059	7,247	8,645	10,023	11,305	19.6	19.3	15.9	12.8	86.6
Culberson	2,398	2,722	2,901	2,890	2,867	13.5	6.6	-0.4	-0.8	19.6
Dallam	6,703	8,054	9,333	10,583	11,719	20.2	15.9	13.4	10.7	74.8
Dallas	2,368,139	2,639,966	2,939,645	3,235,511	3,528,964	11.5	11.4	10.1	9.1	49.0
Dawson	13,833	14,756	15,491	15,961	16,047	6.7	5.0	3.0	0.5	16.0
Deaf Smith	19,372	22,599	26,213	29,432	32,166	16.7	16.0	12.3	9.3	66.0
Delta	5,231	5,805	6,274	6,496	6,692	11.0	8.1	3.5	3.0	27.9
Denton	662,614	943,020	1,377,090	2,047,293	3,031,597	42.3	46.0	48.7	48.1	357.5
De Witt	20,097	20,937	21,702	22,240	22,847	4.2	3.7	2.5	2.7	13.7
Dickens	2,444	2,482	2,449	2,261	2,085	1.6	-1.3	-7.7	-7.8	-14.7
Dimmit	9,996	10,870	11,501	11,638	11,349	8.7	5.8	1.2	-2.5	13.5
Donley	3,677	3,873	3,963	3,877	3,819	5.3	2.3	-2.2	-1.5	3.9
Duval	11,782	12,596	12,999	12,949	12,419	6.9	3.2	-0.4	-4.1	5.4
Eastland	18,583	19,857	20,686	20,757	20,461	6.9	4.2	0.3	-1.4	10.1
Ector	137,130	159,521	184,384	210,246	234,964	16.3	15.6	14.0	11.8	71.3
Edwards	2,002	2,174	2,126	2,063	1,966	8.6	-2.2	-3.0	-4.7	-1.8
Ellis	149,610	200,285	267,038	349,418	448,922	33.9	33.3	30.8	28.5	200.1
El Paso	800,647	952,366	1,113,070	1,251,834	1,369,890	18.9	16.9	12.5	9.4	71.1
Erath	37,890	41,649	45,787	48,976	52,086	9.9	9.9	7.0	6.4	37.5
Falls	17,866	19,236	20,121	20,213	19,673	7.7	4.6	0.5	-2.7	10.1
Fannin	33,915	37,727	41,603	44,507	47,234	11.2	10.3	7.0	6.1	39.3
Fayette	24,554	28,422	32,538	35,293	37,438	15.8	14.5	8.5	6.1	52.5
Fisher	3,974	3,913	3,793	3,550	3,295	-1.5	-3.1	-6.4	-7.2	-17.1
Floyd	6,446	6,688	6,852	6,747	6,502	3.8	2.5	-1.5	-3.6	0.9
Foard	1,336	1,365	1,330	1,244	1,110	2.2	-2.6	-6.5	-10.8	-16.9
Fort Bend	585,375	888,595	1,314,652	1,920,868	2,738,553	51.8	47.9	46.1	42.6	367.8

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Franklin	10,605	11,838	13,018	14,076	15,175	11.6	10.0	8.1	7.8	43.1
Freestone	19,816	21,997	23,991	25,375	26,369	11.0	9.1	5.8	3.9	33.1
Frio	17,217	20,080	23,016	25,150	26,701	16.6	14.6	9.3	6.2	55.1
Gaines	17,526	21,681	26,509	32,175	38,054	23.7	22.3	21.4	18.3	117.1
Galveston	291,309	335,006	382,798	431,323	484,451	15.0	14.3	12.7	12.3	66.3
Garza	6,461	6,969	7,321	7,554	7,566	7.9	5.1	3.2	0.2	17.1
Gillespie	24,837	29,929	35,003	38,754	41,558	20.5	17.0	10.7	7.2	67.3
Glasscock	1,226	1,351	1,383	1,340	1,237	10.2	2.4	-3.1	-7.7	0.9
Goliad	7,210	8,518	9,781	10,741	11,354	18.1	14.8	9.8	5.7	57.5
Gonzales	19,807	22,345	24,879	26,835	28,324	12.8	11.3	7.9	5.5	43.0
Gray	22,535	24,928	28,608	33,790	40,897	10.6	14.8	18.1	21.0	81.5
Grayson	120,877	133,647	148,507	163,197	180,032	10.6	11.1	9.9	10.3	48.9
Gregg	121,730	136,671	157,592	185,923	223,052	12.3	15.3	18.0	20.0	83.2
Grimes	26,604	30,863	35,188	38,715	42,163	16.0	14.0	10.0	8.9	58.5
Guadalupe	131,533	182,526	246,038	321,869	407,388	38.8	34.8	30.8	26.6	209.7
Hale	36,273	38,415	40,103	40,601	39,930	5.9	4.4	1.2	-1.7	10.1
Hall	3,353	3,320	3,303	3,151	2,963	-1.0	-0.5	-4.6	-6.0	-11.6
Hamilton	8,517	8,802	9,061	8,981	8,668	3.3	2.9	-0.9	-3.5	1.8
Hansford	5,613	6,513	7,629	8,670	9,598	16.0	17.1	13.6	10.7	71.0
Hardeman	4,139	4,456	4,765	5,041	5,407	7.7	6.9	5.8	7.3	30.6
Hardin	54,635	62,163	69,832	76,886	83,322	13.8	12.3	10.1	8.4	52.5
Harris	4,092,459	4,885,616	5,777,639	6,660,246	7,527,827	19.4	18.3	15.3	13.0	83.9
Harrison	65,631	72,253	80,962	92,038	109,867	10.1	12.1	13.7	19.4	67.4
Hartley	6,062	6,321	6,665	6,734	6,653	4.3	5.4	1.0	-1.2	9.7
Haskell	5,899	6,072	6,336	6,354	6,290	2.9	4.3	0.3	-1.0	6.6
Hays	157,107	246,119	372,120	556,982	824,070	56.7	51.2	49.7	48.0	424.5
Hemphill	3,807	4,389	5,002	5,655	6,351	15.3	14.0	13.1	12.3	66.8

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Henderson	78,532	84,178	89,810	95,277	103,301	7.2	6.7	6.1	8.4	31.5
Hidalgo	774,769	1,005,539	1,271,656	1,531,900	1,779,370	29.8	26.5	20.5	16.2	129.7
Hill	35,089	39,349	42,943	44,967	46,180	12.1	9.1	4.7	2.7	31.6
Hockley	22,935	24,916	26,091	26,475	25,950	8.6	4.7	1.5	-2.0	13.1
Hood	51,182	61,274	71,175	78,328	84,406	19.7	16.2	10.0	7.8	64.9
Hopkins	35,161	38,504	42,634	47,610	54,993	9.5	10.7	11.7	15.5	56.4
Houston	23,732	25,147	26,086	26,250	26,056	6.0	3.7	0.6	-0.7	9.8
Howard	35,012	37,715	39,926	41,296	41,709	7.7	5.9	3.4	1.0	19.1
Hudspeth	3,476	3,981	4,282	4,335	4,243	14.5	7.6	1.2	-2.1	22.1
Hunt	86,129	101,894	122,641	149,788	186,827	18.3	20.4	22.1	24.7	116.9
Hutchinson	22,150	22,540	22,727	22,135	21,267	1.8	0.8	-2.6	-3.9	-4.0
Irion	1,599	1,712	1,746	1,646	1,539	7.1	2.0	-5.7	-6.5	-3.8
Jack	9,044	9,689	10,353	10,787	11,041	7.1	6.9	4.2	2.4	22.1
Jackson	14,075	14,356	14,398	14,011	13,366	2.0	0.3	-2.7	-4.6	-5.0
Jasper	35,710	37,167	38,021	37,949	37,207	4.1	2.3	-0.2	-2.0	4.2
Jeff Davis	2,342	2,478	2,411	2,180	2,081	5.8	-2.7	-9.6	-4.5	-11.1
Jefferson	252,273	268,231	291,737	322,226	362,564	6.3	8.8	10.5	12.5	43.7
Jim Hogg	5,300	5,735	6,023	6,128	6,090	8.2	5.0	1.7	-0.6	14.9
Jim Wells	40,838	44,487	46,867	47,853	47,533	8.9	5.3	2.1	-0.7	16.4
Johnson	150,934	186,847	235,730	299,530	383,739	23.8	26.2	27.1	28.1	154.2
Jones	20,202	22,286	24,446	26,599	28,660	10.3	9.7	8.8	7.7	41.9
Karnes	14,824	16,265	17,611	18,214	17,816	9.7	8.3	3.4	-2.2	20.2
Kaufman	103,350	149,063	215,415	308,894	438,487	44.2	44.5	43.4	42.0	324.3
Kendall	33,410	44,958	58,229	73,221	88,689	34.6	29.5	25.7	21.1	165.5
Kenedy	416	462	494	484	438	11.1	6.9	-2.0	-9.5	5.3
Kent	808	809	795	734	677	0.1	-1.7	-7.7	-7.8	-16.2
Kerr	49,625	57,004	64,156	67,829	69,353	14.9	12.5	5.7	2.2	39.8

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Kimble	4,607	5,052	5,318	5,198	4,969	9.7	5.3	-2.3	-4.4	7.9
King	286	299	306	277	241	4.5	2.3	-9.5	-13.0	-15.7
Kinney	3,598	3,816	3,896	3,896	3,828	6.1	2.1	0.0	-1.7	6.4
Kleberg	32,061	35,499	38,202	40,018	40,555	10.7	7.6	4.8	1.3	26.5
Knox	3,719	3,762	3,844	3,800	3,695	1.2	2.2	-1.1	-2.8	-0.6
Lamar	49,793	53,136	56,747	60,460	64,970	6.7	6.8	6.5	7.5	30.5
Lamb	13,977	14,620	15,232	15,440	15,304	4.6	4.2	1.4	-0.9	9.5
Lampasas	19,677	23,399	27,387	31,337	35,589	18.9	17.0	14.4	13.6	80.9
La Salle	6,886	8,210	9,420	10,455	11,537	19.2	14.7	11.0	10.3	67.5
Lavaca	19,263	19,830	20,256	19,918	19,205	2.9	2.1	-1.7	-3.6	-0.3
Lee	16,612	19,104	21,494	22,927	23,515	15.0	12.5	6.7	2.6	41.6
Leon	16,801	19,495	22,103	24,086	26,297	16.0	13.4	9.0	9.2	56.5
Liberty	75,643	90,780	108,512	128,582	151,846	20.0	19.5	18.5	18.1	100.7
Limestone	23,384	26,085	28,319	29,756	30,871	11.6	8.6	5.1	3.7	32.0
Lipscomb	3,302	3,797	4,374	4,930	5,507	15.0	15.2	12.7	11.7	66.8
Live Oak	11,531	11,854	11,803	11,473	10,984	2.8	-0.4	-2.8	-4.3	-4.7
Llano	19,301	21,407	22,697	22,787	22,551	10.9	6.0	0.4	-1.0	16.8
Loving	82	81	76	58	40	-1.2	-6.2	-23.7	-31.0	-51.2
Lubbock	278,831	313,938	352,940	390,972	430,186	12.6	12.4	10.8	10.0	54.3
Lynn	5,915	6,239	6,473	6,443	6,328	5.5	3.8	-0.5	-1.8	7.0
McCulloch	8,283	9,040	9,610	9,827	9,854	9.1	6.3	2.3	0.3	19.0
McLennan	234,906	255,521	281,009	303,830	326,877	8.8	10.0	8.1	7.6	39.2
McMullen	707	771	774	727	653	9.1	0.4	-6.1	-10.2	-7.6
Madison	13,664	15,654	17,585	19,495	21,611	14.6	12.3	10.9	10.9	58.2
Marion	10,546	11,384	11,531	10,991	10,105	7.9	1.3	-4.7	-8.1	-4.2
Martin	4,799	5,606	6,382	6,949	7,454	16.8	13.8	8.9	7.3	55.3
Mason	4,012	4,211	4,329	4,199	4,007	5.0	2.8	-3.0	-4.6	-0.1

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Matagorda	36,702	39,696	41,864	42,445	41,834	8.2	5.5	1.4	-1.4	14.0
Maverick	54,258	63,502	72,149	78,836	83,377	17.0	13.6	9.3	5.8	53.7
Medina	46,006	56,907	68,762	79,797	89,087	23.7	20.8	16.0	11.6	93.6
Menard	2,242	2,406	2,467	2,374	2,317	7.3	2.5	-3.8	-2.4	3.3
Midland	136,872	159,256	185,176	212,112	239,924	16.4	16.3	14.5	13.1	75.3
Milam	24,757	26,786	28,410	29,133	29,495	8.2	6.1	2.5	1.2	19.1
Mills	4,936	5,240	5,662	6,043	6,437	6.2	8.1	6.7	6.5	30.4
Mitchell	9,403	10,050	10,581	10,914	11,113	6.9	5.3	3.1	1.8	18.2
Montague	19,719	21,462	22,985	23,779	24,244	8.8	7.1	3.5	2.0	22.9
Montgomery	455,746	660,481	965,553	1,415,763	2,061,972	44.9	46.2	46.6	45.6	352.4
Moore	21,904	25,817	30,308	35,643	42,278	17.9	17.4	17.6	18.6	93.0
Morris	12,934	13,917	14,677	15,691	17,117	7.6	5.5	6.9	9.1	32.3
Motley	1,210	1,196	1,114	1,023	918	-1.2	-6.9	-8.2	-10.3	-24.1
Nacogdoches	64,524	73,458	83,503	92,853	101,881	13.8	13.7	11.2	9.7	57.9
Navarro	47,735	55,437	64,176	73,444	84,173	16.1	15.8	14.4	14.6	76.3
Newton	14,445	14,314	13,723	12,645	11,573	-0.9	-4.1	-7.9	-8.5	-19.9
Nolan	15,216	16,176	16,833	16,876	16,548	6.3	4.1	0.3	-1.9	8.8
Nueces	340,223	376,623	413,594	438,565	455,730	10.7	9.8	6.0	3.9	34.0
Ochiltree	10,223	12,755	16,203	20,295	24,935	24.8	27.0	25.3	22.9	143.9
Oldham	2,052	2,233	2,404	2,357	2,309	8.8	7.7	-2.0	-2.0	12.5
Orange	81,837	88,026	94,084	99,829	105,721	7.6	6.9	6.1	5.9	29.2
Palo Pinto	28,111	31,708	34,900	36,819	38,057	12.8	10.1	5.5	3.4	35.4
Panola	23,796	25,965	28,047	29,646	31,224	9.1	8.0	5.7	5.3	31.2
Parker	116,927	159,119	220,119	311,672	453,381	36.1	38.3	41.6	45.5	287.7
Parmer	10,269	12,385	14,861	17,477	20,012	20.6	20.0	17.6	14.5	94.9
Pecos	15,507	17,026	18,197	18,721	18,593	9.8	6.9	2.9	-0.7	19.9
Polk	45,413	51,908	58,037	62,845	66,807	14.3	11.8	8.3	6.3	47.1

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Potter	121,073	134,475	150,155	166,994	184,439	11.1	11.7	11.2	10.4	52.3
Presidio	7,818	8,683	9,155	9,335	9,169	11.1	5.4	2.0	-1.8	17.3
Rains	10,914	13,134	15,142	16,358	17,101	20.3	15.3	8.0	4.5	56.7
Randall	120,725	142,109	171,030	207,994	255,054	17.7	20.4	21.6	22.6	111.3
Reagan	3,367	3,908	4,404	4,688	4,882	16.1	12.7	6.4	4.1	45.0
Real	3,309	3,439	3,387	3,207	3,044	3.9	-1.5	-5.3	-5.1	-8.0
Red River	12,860	13,468	13,497	13,105	12,569	4.7	0.2	-2.9	-4.1	-2.3
Reeves	13,783	14,934	16,004	16,667	16,875	8.4	7.2	4.1	1.2	22.4
Refugio	7,383	7,514	7,525	7,221	6,880	1.8	0.1	-4.0	-4.7	-6.8
Roberts	929	994	987	946	865	7.0	-0.7	-4.2	-8.6	-6.9
Robertson	16,622	19,553	22,578	25,406	27,984	17.6	15.5	12.5	10.1	68.4
Rockwall	78,337	115,985	168,455	240,581	333,656	48.1	45.2	42.8	38.7	325.9
Runnels	10,501	11,010	11,344	11,307	11,071	4.8	3.0	-0.3	-2.1	5.4
Rusk	53,330	63,351	75,713	90,123	107,817	18.8	19.5	19.0	19.6	102.2
Sabine	10,834	12,186	12,997	12,501	11,344	12.5	6.7	-3.8	-9.3	4.7
San Augustine	8,865	9,340	9,317	8,802	8,233	5.4	-0.2	-5.5	-6.5	-7.1
San Jacinto	26,384	32,428	39,026	45,924	53,703	22.9	20.3	17.7	16.9	103.5
San Patricio	64,804	68,331	70,310	69,911	67,771	5.4	2.9	-0.6	-3.1	4.6
San Saba	6,131	6,482	6,812	6,886	6,854	5.7	5.1	1.1	-0.5	11.8
Schleicher	3,461	3,920	4,341	4,604	4,813	13.3	10.7	6.1	4.5	39.1
Scurry	16,921	18,906	20,986	22,884	24,680	11.7	11.0	9.0	7.8	45.9
Shackelford	3,378	3,734	4,026	4,062	3,974	10.5	7.8	0.9	-2.2	17.6
Shelby	25,448	28,649	31,841	34,398	36,487	12.6	11.1	8.0	6.1	43.4
Sherman	3,034	3,440	3,953	4,527	5,085	13.4	14.9	14.5	12.3	67.6
Smith	209,714	243,064	283,362	329,198	382,835	15.9	16.6	16.2	16.3	82.6
Somervell	8,490	10,480	12,796	15,098	17,540	23.4	22.1	18.0	16.2	106.6
Starr	60,968	68,878	74,657	77,464	77,616	13.0	8.4	3.8	0.2	27.3

Table 14: Continued

County name	Total 2010	Total 2020	Total 2030	Total 2040	Total 2050	%Change 2010-2020	%Change 2020-2030	%Change 2030-2040	%Change 2040-2050	%Change 2010-2050
Stephens	9,630	10,297	10,978	11,380	11,598	6.9	6.6	3.7	1.9	20.4
Sterling	1,143	1,214	1,229	1,177	1,055	6.2	1.2	-4.2	-10.4	-7.7
Stonewall	1,490	1,515	1,494	1,440	1,266	1.7	-1.4	-3.6	-12.1	-15.0
Sutton	4,128	4,651	5,119	5,364	5,548	12.7	10.1	4.8	3.4	34.4
Swisher	7,854	8,230	8,597	8,587	8,410	4.8	4.5	-0.1	-2.1	7.1
Tarrant	1,809,034	2,127,850	2,532,853	2,993,599	3,497,034	17.6	19.0	18.2	16.8	93.3
Taylor	131,506	138,279	141,994	144,077	144,272	5.2	2.7	1.5	0.1	9.7
Terrell	984	1,047	1,057	1,000	875	6.4	1.0	-5.4	-12.5	-11.1
Terry	12,651	13,323	13,678	13,741	13,424	5.3	2.7	0.5	-2.3	6.1
Throckmorton	1,641	1,639	1,588	1,492	1,385	-0.1	-3.1	-6.0	-7.2	-15.6
Titus	32,334	37,790	44,022	50,482	57,313	16.9	16.5	14.7	13.5	77.3
Tom Green	110,224	114,995	119,675	122,004	121,963	4.3	4.1	1.9	-0.0	10.7
Travis	1,024,266	1,277,007	1,519,407	1,749,761	2,011,009	24.7	19.0	15.2	14.9	96.3
Trinity	14,585	16,618	18,060	18,356	18,078	13.9	8.7	1.6	-1.5	23.9
Tyler	21,766	22,646	23,007	22,469	21,376	4.0	1.6	-2.3	-4.9	-1.8
Upshur	39,309	45,120	51,477	58,713	67,047	14.8	14.1	14.1	14.2	70.6
Upton	3,355	3,886	4,476	4,954	5,503	15.8	15.2	10.7	11.1	64.0
Uvalde	26,405	28,593	30,341	30,996	30,803	8.3	6.1	2.2	-0.6	16.7
Val Verde	48,879	53,256	56,400	57,859	57,736	9.0	5.9	2.6	-0.2	18.1
Van Zandt	52,579	58,259	63,838	68,084	72,513	10.8	9.6	6.7	6.5	37.9
Victoria	86,793	92,845	97,790	101,124	103,519	7.0	5.3	3.4	2.4	19.3
Walker	67,861	72,778	76,782	79,859	83,588	7.2	5.5	4.0	4.7	23.2
Waller	43,205	58,002	78,038	104,095	136,570	34.2	34.5	33.4	31.2	216.1
Ward	10,658	11,213	11,522	11,471	11,167	5.2	2.8	-0.4	-2.7	4.8
Washington	33,718	37,673	41,527	44,477	47,223	11.7	10.2	7.1	6.2	40.1
Webb	250,304	317,733	392,768	464,297	529,784	26.9	23.6	18.2	14.1	111.7
Wharton	41,280	43,271	45,121	45,368	44,461	4.8	4.3	0.5	-2.0	7.7



Wheeler	5,410	5,934	6,723	7,808	9,249	9.7	13.3	16.1	18.5	71.0
Wichita	131,500	133,363	136,496	138,494	139,319	1.4	2.3	1.5	0.6	5.9
Wilbarger	13,535	14,897	16,186	17,182	18,076	10.1	8.7	6.2	5.2	33.6
Willacy	22,134	26,817	31,526	35,787	39,693	21.2	17.6	13.5	10.9	79.3
Williamson	422,679	633,783	940,542	1,380,749	1,976,958	49.9	48.4	46.8	43.2	367.7
Wilson	42,918	57,292	73,396	89,858	105,355	33.5	28.1	22.4	17.2	145.5
Winkler	7,110	8,371	9,416	10,284	10,982	17.7	12.5	9.2	6.8	54.5
Wise	59,127	74,490	95,538	123,315	160,900	26.0	28.3	29.1	30.5	172.1
Wood	41,964	48,594	54,088	57,291	60,260	15.8	11.3	5.9	5.2	43.6
Yoakum	7,879	9,591	11,690	13,821	16,006	21.7	21.9	18.2	15.8	103.1
Young	18,550	19,914	21,593	22,933	24,160	7.4	8.4	6.2	5.4	30.2
Zapata	14,018	17,043	20,016	22,853	25,376	21.6	17.4	14.2	11.0	81.0
Zavala	11,677	12,895	13,964	14,477	14,552	10.4	8.3	3.7	0.5	24.6

---